

Bricolage for Innovative Qualitative Social Science Research: A Perspective on Its Conceptual Hallmarks

Qualitative Inquiry

1–15

© The Author(s) 2024

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/10778004241265987

journals.sagepub.com/home/qix

Hugo Santiago Sanchez¹, Meltem Eski², and Ioannis Costas Batlle¹

Abstract

In the ever-evolving landscape of qualitative social science research, innovative methodologies are crucial for capturing the complexities of human behavior and societal dynamics. Bricolage, a versatile approach derived from Claude Lévi-Strauss's structuralist inquiry, has flourished within post-structuralism, post-modernism, critical theories, feminism, and cultural studies. Despite its growing recognition, challenges persist in comprehending and applying bricolage due to its interdisciplinary and eclectic nature. More established research methodologies face limitations in adapting to contemporary realities, whereas bricolage offers a pliable and adaptive lens. This paper explores bricolage's conceptual underpinnings and real-world application, aiming to underline the importance of embracing innovative research methodologies. By presenting bricolage as a potent tool for generating knowledge, this study seeks to inspire researchers to explore its rich potential in understanding the multifaceted landscapes of human experience.

Keywords

bricolage research, conceptual hallmarks, online fieldwork, teacher educator professional learning, English language teacher education, Spain, COVID-19

Introduction: Unveiling the Art of Bricolage

In the ever-evolving landscape of qualitative social science research, the quest for innovative methodologies that can capture the intricacies of human behavior and societal dynamics has become increasingly imperative. Among these methodologies, bricolage emerges as a captivating and versatile approach that offers researchers a dynamic toolkit to navigate the complexities of social phenomena.

Originally coined by Claude Lévi-Strauss (1966), bricolage describes a form of “tinkering,” where individuals make use of whatever materials are at hand to construct and solve problems. This concept was foundational in Lévi-Strauss's structuralist approach, aiming to uncover the hidden structures that underpin human meaning-making processes. His perspective positioned bricolage as a metaphor for how cultures employ existing symbols and structures to create new meanings.

As the intellectual climate shifted from structuralism to post-structuralism, so too did the application of bricolage. It gained substantial traction among post-structuralist scholars who embraced its metaphorical potential to describe the eclectic and improvisational nature of constructing knowledge. This period marked a significant transformation, where

bricolage began to illustrate not only the physical piecing together of materials but also the theoretical and methodological assembling in academic research. Notable paradigmatic movements that adopted and adapted bricolage include post-modernism, post-structuralism, and feminism (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011). These movements used bricolage to challenge traditional narratives and to highlight the subjective and constructed nature of knowledge.

Since its conception, bricolage has expanded beyond its anthropological and philosophical origins, evolving from a “metaphor” into a “universal concept” that has influenced a diverse array of disciplines such as education, business studies, and the arts (C. Johnson, 2012). This broader application indicates a shift toward more flexible, adaptive approaches to research and practice. Scholars have argued that this mirrors a larger trend in qualitative research toward eclectic, multi-theoretical, and multi-methodological approaches, recognizing the intricate complexities involved in the

¹University of Bath, UK

²Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Turkey

Corresponding Author:

Hugo Santiago Sanchez, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK.

Email: h.s.sanchez@bath.ac.uk

research process (Rogers, 2012). By integrating bricolage into various fields, researchers and practitioners embrace a resourceful and responsive approach to problem-solving, which reflects the dynamic and interconnected nature of modern challenges. This evolution of bricolage from a specific anthropological tool to a widespread methodological approach illustrates its versatility and enduring relevance in academic and practical contexts.

Drawing upon the foundational principles of bricolage as a versatile and adaptable methodology, researchers are appreciating the benefits of blending diverse theoretical, methodological, and disciplinary perspectives. This integrative approach enables them to effectively address the intricacies inherent in contemporary research questions, producing insight into complex social phenomena. This trend is exemplified in the field of environmental studies, where scholars employ bricolage to examine the interconnectedness of natural environments, human-wildlife interaction, human behavior, sustainability, and environmental education (Bueddefeld et al., 2021). By synthesizing diverse sources of data and frameworks, Bueddefeld et al. (2021) found that bricolage facilitated a holistic understanding of the learning patterns and pro-environmental actions of visitors to national parks, thus transcending the silos of disciplinary boundaries and fostering innovative scholarship.

However, not all evaluations of bricolage have been unequivocally positive. While critics such as Jacques Derrida have challenged the philosophical foundations of Levi-Strauss' bricolage (see C. Johnson, 2012, for an overview), others have voiced concerns about the methodological rigor of bricolage, arguing that its inherent flexibility and eclecticism might compromise the precision that is traditionally upheld in more established methodologies (Hammersley, 2008). These criticisms hinge on the idea that bricolage, by accommodating a wide array of disciplinary perspectives and methods, risks a certain methodological laxity where the rigor of systematic inquiry could be diluted. The challenge here lies in balancing the innovative, adaptive strengths of bricolage with the need for methodological rigor. This critique prompts a reconsideration of how researchers can maintain stringent analytical frameworks while employing a bricolage approach, possibly by establishing clearer criteria for method selection and integration based on the research question(s) at hand.

Another significant critique, raised by Friedman (1998), concerns the depth of inquiry in bricolage. The argument suggests that the interdisciplinary nature of bricolage may lead to a superficial engagement with complex subjects, as the breadth of perspectives integrated may not allow for the depth of exploration that singular, specialized methodologies might afford. This critique is particularly salient in fields requiring deep domain-specific knowledge, where a superficial grasp of multiple disciplines cannot substitute

for in-depth expertise. To counteract this potential superficiality, the concept of "deep interdisciplinarity" (Kincheloe, 2001) offers a robust framework. Deep interdisciplinarity goes beyond merely juxtaposing different disciplinary insights and involves a profound integration of epistemologies and methodologies from diverse fields. This deeper form of engagement fosters a synthesis that not only covers broad thematic areas but also probes into the complexities and nuances of each discipline involved. Researchers adopting bricolage, therefore, must be vigilant in their synthesis of disparate frameworks, ensuring that their integration adds substantive depth and does not merely skim the surface of contributing disciplines. They must develop strategies to deepen their engagement with each disciplinary perspective (Lincoln, 2001), perhaps by collaborating with subject matter experts or by employing more rigorous theoretical justifications for the inclusion of each disciplinary viewpoint.

The capacity of bricolage to represent and convey subjective experiences is also under scrutiny. Critics argue that while bricolage aims to provide a holistic view by integrating various methodological approaches, it may overlook the nuances of individual experiences, thus privileging breadth over depth. This could potentially lead to generalized conclusions that do not adequately reflect the diversity of individual perspectives. To counteract this, bricolage researchers may emphasize methods that allow for deep, narrative-driven data collection and analysis (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011; Rogers, 2012), such as detailed ethnographies or in-depth case studies, which can complement the broader thematic analyses typical of bricolage.

Furthermore, the trend toward standardization in qualitative research, as noted by Pratt et al. (2022), poses a significant challenge to the adaptability and innovation that bricolage represents. This standardization often favors conventional methods that may not be suitable for addressing complex, multifaceted research questions that bricolage is well-equipped to handle. Advocating for the legitimacy and efficacy of bricolage involves demonstrating how this methodology can meet and even exceed the depth and rigor of more established approaches through detailed case studies and empirical examples that showcase the methodological sophistication and comprehensive insights bricolage can provide.

In the present dynamic and multifaceted landscape of social science research, bricolage is increasingly receiving attention across diverse disciplinary perspectives. Scholars are recognizing its applicability and efficacy in varied domains (Ben-Asher, 2022), including indigenous epistemologies and emancipation (Kaomea, 2016), social class and intersectional politics (Poole, 2023), healthcare services (Phillimore et al., 2019), textile and material culture studies (Pérez-Bustos & Bello-Tocancipá, 2023), and

service innovation (Witell et al., 2017). This trend evidences a broader movement toward embracing methodological pluralism and interdisciplinary approaches in social science research.

Despite its growing recognition, the bricolage approach remains a work in progress (Papaioannou, 2024). While it is now considered a legitimate type of qualitative research (Poole, 2023), its interdisciplinary and eclectic nature often renders it challenging to comprehend (Yardley, 2019) and apply effectively in practice. To address these challenges, throughout this paper, we analyze the theoretical and practical aspects of bricolage. Specifically, we examine the conceptual hallmarks of bricolage, meticulously unpacking its fundamental characteristics. In an effort to bridge theoretical insights with real-world applications, we leverage a case study to demonstrate the operationalization of bricolage's principles within social science research. Despite the burgeoning interest in bricolage, a comprehensive examination of its core conceptual elements remains scant within existing scholarly literature. Hence, our contribution lies in synthesizing these foundational elements of bricolage, subsequently offering an empirical analysis of their implementation in practice. Our ultimate aims are twofold: first, to shed light on bricolage as a potent tool for generating knowledge and enhancing understanding in the social sciences, and second, to inspire fellow researchers to adopt the principles of bricolage as they embark upon their own investigative journeys through the rich and dynamic landscapes of human experience.

Unpacking Bricolage: Conceptual Hallmarks

This section examines the six conceptual hallmarks of bricolage: resourcefulness, creativity, problem solving, flexibility, adaptability, and plurality. These characteristics, while presented separately for analytical clarity, inherently overlap and are mutually reinforcing, reflecting the dynamic and adaptive essence of bricolage. This comprehensive framework aids researchers in tackling complex research questions and navigating diverse challenges. Our identification of these hallmarks stems from a review of key theoretical literature on bricolage research (e.g., Denzin & Lincoln, 2011; Kincheloe, 2001, 2004, 2005; Kincheloe et al., 2011; Lincoln, 2001; Rogers, 2012; Yardley, 2019), as well as empirical studies that have adopted bricolage (cited throughout the paper). We contend that these hallmarks manifest in every bricolage project to varying degrees, with some being more pronounced than others depending on the specific affordances and constraints of the research contexts wherein studies are conducted. This nuanced presence highlights the inherent adaptability and applicability of bricolage across different research scenarios.

Furthermore, we argue that bricolage is not merely a research methodology but a philosophy, a distinctive ethos that sets it apart from other qualitative methodologies like participatory research, ethnography, and case study. This philosophical stance emphasizes a holistic, integrative approach that is as much about the mindset and orientation of the researcher as it is about the methods employed. In contrast to methodologies that may prioritize specific aspects of research practice or data collection, bricolage embraces a broader, more inclusive perspective that allows for the fluid incorporation of various theoretical frameworks and methodological techniques. This philosophical foundation underlines bricolage's unique capacity to address complex social phenomena.

Resourcefulness

Resourcefulness embodies the ingenuity and adaptability required to utilize available resources effectively in tackling challenges and realizing research goals. It encompasses not only the ability to think innovatively but also the skill to adapt to constraints and extract value from limited or unconventional materials, knowledge, and opportunities. In this sense, resourcefulness resonates with Lévi-Strauss's (1966) metaphorical approach to fieldwork, which underlines the importance of making use of whatever tools and materials are "at hand" to construct artifacts.

Driven by intrinsic motivation and informed by experience and perceptiveness (Campbell, 2019), bricoleurs develop strategies, adjust materials, and creatively envision outcomes by drawing from the heterogeneous objects within their conceptual and methodological toolkits. They possess a capacity to leverage diverse resources spanning existing literature, datasets, methodologies, emerging technologies, and theoretical frameworks. Their ability to identify and access resources across various disciplines, industries, and contexts facilitates the integration of diverse perspectives into their research processes.

At the heart of resourcefulness in bricolage research lie the notions of exploration, experimentation, integration, and innovation. Bricoleurs navigate the complexities of their inquiries through a dynamic process of exploration, constantly seeking novel avenues for inquiry, experimenting with diverse methodologies, and integrating disparate elements to construct cohesive narratives. Importantly, they are not confined by any research methodologies or paradigms (Ben-Asher, 2022; Berry, 2004); rather, they embrace flexibility and adaptability, viewing trial and error as integral facets of the research process. By continuously probing new approaches, tools, and techniques, bricoleurs push the boundaries of intra- and inter-disciplinary knowledge creation (Bush & Silk, 2010). For example, a bricoleur studying urban sustainability might combine existing resources

available, such as geographic information systems, public participation techniques, and archival research, to create a multifaceted approach that addresses both the spatial and social dimensions of urban planning, effectively using a mix of tools and techniques that are not traditionally combined in their field.

Moreover, while bricolage often emerges as a response to scarce resources (Intindola & Ofstein, 2021), it also flourishes in resource-rich environments. In such contexts, bricolage serves as an innovative and creative alternative or complement to more established approaches, maximizing performance or outcomes. Whether facing scarcity or abundance, the essence of bricolage lies in the resourceful mobilization, mixing, re-assembling, and reuse of resources to “make do” and transform challenges into opportunities (Phillimore et al., 2019). This process illustrates the dynamic and adaptive nature of bricolage research, which thrives on the ability to navigate diverse resource landscapes creatively to achieve meaningful insights and outcomes.

Creativity

Creativity represents not only the ability to conceive original ideas or solutions but also a dynamic process of divergent thinking, unconventional connections, and boundary-breaking innovation. At its essence, bricolage involves the creative synthesis of diverse theoretical, methodological, and empirical resources to construct a nuanced understanding of complex social phenomena. Bricoleurs, in their quest for knowledge, creatively utilize available resources, adeptly combining or recombining them to address specific challenges within their research contexts (Phillimore et al., 2019). While both creativity and resourcefulness are integral to bricolage research, creativity is more about the expansive and exploratory generation of new ideas and connections, whereas resourcefulness is about the strategic and effective application of those ideas and resources within the confines of practical constraints. Together, they enable the bricoleur to navigate the complexities of their research landscape in a comprehensive and innovative manner.

Central to the practice of bricolage is the ability of researchers to harness their creative prowess to identify connections and patterns across seemingly disparate philosophical, theoretical, and methodological perspectives. Through imaginative exploration and experimentation, they unearth new possibilities and avenues for inquiry, fostering socially relevant and epistemologically innovative insights that defy disciplinary boundaries (Bush & Silk, 2010). This creative approach not only enriches scholarly discourse but also contributes to the development of novel perspectives that challenge established paradigms. For instance, a researcher might creatively blend narrative psychology

with digital ethnography to examine how individuals construct personal identities on social media platforms. This innovative merging not only broadens the scope of psychological research but also opens up new ways to understand identity in the digital age, which illustrates how creative thinking can lead to breakthroughs in understanding complex social phenomena.

Moreover, creativity extends beyond conceptual synthesis to methodological innovation within bricolage research. Bricoleurs engage in a cognitively creative process of multi-perspectival research (Wyatt & Zaidi, 2022), eschewing the notion of predetermined research procedures in favor of experimentation with multiple methods and interpretive contexts (Kincheloe, 2005). This methodological flexibility enables bricoleurs to develop and deploy original research methodologies that transcend traditional disciplinary frameworks, facilitating comprehensive explorations of a studied phenomenon from diverse angles.

Furthermore, bricoleurs exhibit an aptitude for the artistic combination of approaches, methods, and techniques, often creating their own methodological tools tailored to the unique demands of their research circumstances (Rogers, 2012). This adaptive creativity allows for the fluid adaptation of research methodologies to the dynamic nature of research contexts, fostering methodological experimentation and advancement within bricolage. Whether adapting existing methodologies or inventing entirely new ones, creative thinking serves as a driving force behind methodological innovation in bricolage research, thus pushing the boundaries of knowledge creation and scholarly inquiry.

Problem Solving

Problem solving within bricolage research encompasses more than just identifying challenges or opportunities and applying appropriate strategies or methods to address them effectively. It embodies a systematic approach to navigating complex issues and generating innovative insights that propel knowledge creation forward (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011).

At its core, problem solving involves critical thinking, analysis, and decision-making to achieve desired outcomes or resolutions. Bricoleurs embark on the research process by meticulously identifying and defining complex research problems. Employing critical thinking and analytical skills (Kaomea, 2016), they deconstruct overarching questions into manageable components, establishing clear research objectives and directions for inquiry. This methodical approach lays the groundwork for innovative exploration, enabling bricoleurs to systematically address multifaceted issues and generate novel insights.

Furthermore, bricoleurs employ adaptive problem-solving strategies to address methodological challenges and limitations effectively. They assess the suitability of existing methodologies and techniques, adapting or combining

them as necessary to suit their research context (Witell et al., 2017). This adaptive approach allows bricoleurs to harness the strengths of different methodologies while mitigating their limitations, thereby optimizing the research process for effective knowledge generation. For example, in a study examining the impact of climate change on rural farming communities, a bricoleur might face the challenge of linking macro-environmental changes with local agricultural practices. To address this, they could integrate satellite imagery analysis to track climate variations with ethnographic interviews of local farmers to understand their first-hand experiences and adaptations. This problem-solving approach allows the bricoleur to create an in-depth understanding of how global phenomena directly affect local practices, thus bridging the gap between large-scale environmental data and individual human impacts.

Collaboration plays a pivotal role in problem solving within bricolage research, fostering dialogue and exchange with stakeholders, improving access to resources, and contributing to legitimizing the project (Intindola & Ofstein, 2021). Through collaborative efforts, bricoleurs maximize collective expertise and insights, pooling resources and perspectives to address complex research problems. By nurturing a culture of collaborative problem solving, bricoleurs forge synergistic partnerships that enhance the quality and impact of research outcomes.

Moreover, bricolage serves as a flexible and adaptive framework for identifying new solutions to enduring problems. It involves tinkering, improvising, and sometimes prioritizing certain approaches and procedures, particularly within the context of resource constraints (Phillimore et al., 2019). Thus, bricolage enables researchers to capitalize on existing resources and opportunities to successfully address pressing challenges, serving as a dynamic platform for innovation and problem solving within research.

Flexibility

While resourcefulness involves the innovative and effective use of available resources to overcome challenges, flexibility embodies the capacity to adapt and evolve methodologies, perspectives, and approaches in response to dynamic circumstances, challenges, and opportunities. It thus encompasses an openness to new ideas and a willingness to explore alternative pathways and resilience, patience, and tolerance in the face of uncertainty or complexity (Ben-Asher, 2022).

In bricolage research, flexibility manifests as a readiness to explore diverse approaches and methodologies to address complex research problems. Bricoleurs challenge existing research paradigms and experiment with novel techniques (Ben-Asher, 2022), seeking innovative solutions while remaining receptive to integrating diverse perspectives into their inquiry. Unlike researchers constrained

by rigid methodological specifications, bricoleurs have the freedom to discerningly choose from a wide array of pre-existing or novel methods for data collection and interpretation (Papaioannou, 2024; Wyatt & Zaidi, 2022). For instance, in a study investigating the social impacts of urban redevelopment, a bricoleur might initially plan to use only qualitative interviews but discovers significant unreported community sentiments through informal social media posts. Recognizing the value of these spontaneous expressions, they flexibly incorporate social media analysis into their methodology, and thus enhance the depth and breadth of their findings.

Moreover, flexibility enables bricoleurs to embrace new technologies and tools, which helps to enhance efficiency and often expand the scope of inquiry. Integrating technology into bricolage research requires a commitment to nurturing digital literacy and staying attuned to emerging technological advancements. Whether it involves utilizing data analytics platforms, digital collaboration software, mobile apps, or artificial intelligence, the thoughtful integration of technology in research can augment research capabilities and contribute to more robust outcomes (Thunberg & Arnell, 2022).

Collaborative and iterative processes further facilitate flexibility in bricolage research. Bricoleurs engage in ongoing dialogue with peers, stakeholders, community members, and organizations, soliciting feedback, input, or other resources to inform their research ideas and decisions (Intindola & Ofstein, 2021). They adopt a cyclical approach to problem solving, continuously revisiting and refining methodologies based on new information and feedback, which contributes to enriching the research process and enhancing the quality of outcomes.

Adaptability

Adaptability, a trait akin to flexibility, extends beyond mere adjustment or modification of strategies; it embodies a comprehensive ability to respond effectively to evolving circumstances, challenges, and opportunities over time. Within bricolage research, adaptability reflects a deeper resilience and readiness to embrace change, uncertainty, and complexity, not just in the immediate context but also in the long-term trajectory of research engagement.

Bricoleurs exemplify adaptability by skilfully responding to shifting research contexts and conditions, including changes in available resources, emerging hurdles, or evolving priorities. They exhibit agility and resilience by dynamically adjusting their research strategies, methodologies, and objectives to align with shifting circumstances. This adaptive approach empowers bricoleurs to capitalize on emerging opportunities and address new challenges effectively, ensuring the continued relevance and impact of their research.

At the heart of bricolage methodology lies its iterative nature, characterized by continuous cycles of data collection, analysis, reflection, and refinement (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011). This iterative process fosters adaptability, enabling researchers to remain responsive to the dynamic intricacies embedded within social phenomena. Embracing iteration with adaptability allows researchers to navigate through complex webs of context with precision, ensuring that their methodological and theoretical frameworks evolve in tandem with emerging insights and complexities within the research process (Rogers, 2012). For example, in a longitudinal study of migration effects on community structures, a researcher might initially focus on economic impacts but, through iterative rounds of interviews and community feedback, adapts the study to additionally explore significant cultural and social changes. This shift not only broadens the scope of the research but also aligns the study's direction with the evolving realities and needs of the community being studied.

Moreover, the iterative approach within bricolage extends beyond methodological adaptation to encompass a deeper engagement with contextual contingencies and ever-changing processes that shape social phenomena (Yardley, 2019). Acknowledging the multifaceted and dynamic nature of social realities, researchers engage in an ongoing cycle of reflexivity and refinement, constantly re-evaluating and adjusting their strategies to align with the fluidity of the studied phenomena. This reflexive iteration enhances the robustness and trustworthiness of research, fostering a deeper understanding of the intricacies inherent in the natural contexts of social phenomena.

In bricolage research, adaptability entails actively seeking new opportunities, integrating emerging trends and technologies (Thunberg & Arnell, 2022), and fostering collaborative problem-solving processes to achieve long-term goals (Intindola & Ofstein, 2021). Bricoleurs adopt a mindset of continuous learning and reflection, adjusting their research methodologies and approaches based on feedback and insights obtained from ongoing inquiry. They view failure as an opportunity for growth, learning from setbacks, and refining their strategies to enhance the rigor and effectiveness of their research.

Plurality

Plurality represents the essence of embracing diversity, complexity, and inclusivity within the research process. It signifies a departure from singular approaches, designs, or perspectives toward a more multifaceted and interconnected understanding of phenomena. Given its multi-dimensional nature, the exploration of this hallmark will be more extensive than the other sections, reflecting the breadth and depth required to adequately cover the diverse aspects and implications of plurality in bricolage research.

At the core of bricolage research lies the integration of multiple theoretical perspectives (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011). Bricoleurs recognize that complex research questions often require an interdisciplinary lens. By synthesizing different theoretical frameworks, bricoleurs gain a comprehensive understanding of the research topic, transcending disciplinary boundaries and enriching their analyses with nuanced insights. For example, a bricoleur studying the impact of technology on human behavior may integrate theories from psychology to understand cognitive processes, sociology to examine societal norms and structures, and communication studies to explore the role of media in shaping perceptions. This theoretical plurality enables researchers to approach their inquiries from various angles, uncovering hidden connections and shedding light on complex phenomena.

Plurality in bricolage research extends beyond theoretical perspectives to encompass methodological pluralism. Bricoleurs value the flexibility to blend qualitative and quantitative research methods (Wyatt & Zaidi, 2022), recognizing that each approach offers unique insights into social phenomena. Moreover, bricoleurs embrace a diversity of research techniques, including ethnography, discourse analysis, content analysis, and grounded theory. This methodological diversity allows researchers to tailor their approaches to the specific characteristics of their research context, capturing the richness and complexity of human experience. For instance, a bricoleur investigating community dynamics might utilize a combination of traditional ethnographic fieldwork methods, such as participant observation and immersion in the community, alongside innovative digital ethnography techniques, such as analysis of online forums or social media interactions. This eclectic approach allows the researcher to capture both the tangible interactions within the physical community and the virtual interactions shaping modern social dynamics. By blending these diverse methods, the bricoleur can develop a more holistic understanding of the community's culture, values, and social structures.

The use of methodological pluralism in bricolage underlines a commitment to rigor and depth in research inquiry, which aligns with the concept of triangulation in social science research design. Triangulation entails the examination of a research issue from diverse perspectives and the exploration of research questions through multiple methods and theoretical frameworks, all intricately interconnected (Flick, 2018). Through this approach, researchers merge various forms of data while adhering to theoretical perspectives, striving for equitable treatment and consistent application of these perspectives throughout the research process. Triangulation is not merely about confirming results obtained from one method with another but aims to yield an abundance of knowledge by providing insights across different levels, surpassing the depth achievable through singular approaches (Flick, 2022).

Yet, bricolage represents more than just a collection of various research methods or a simple combination of different approaches. It embodies a deeper understanding of the intricateness ingrained in research and acknowledges that no single method or perspective can effectively capture the richness of social phenomena (Bush & Silk, 2010; Rogers, 2012). Instead, bricolage recognizes that the social world is multifaceted and dynamic, shaped by a myriad of interconnected factors, including social, cultural, historical, and psychological elements. By appreciating this complexity, bricolage goes beyond mere methodological pluralism. It embraces a holistic approach that integrates multiple theoretical, philosophical, and methodological perspectives to explore and understand social phenomena (Kincheloe, 2005). This integration allows researchers to approach their inquiries with flexibility and creativity, drawing on diverse theoretical frameworks and methodological tools as dictated by the demands of the research process and context, to address the intricacies of their research questions.

In addition to theoretical and methodological plurality, bricolage research embraces epistemological diversity—the recognition of different ways of knowing and understanding the world. Bricoleurs recognize that knowledge is constructed through various lenses, shaped by cultural, historical, and social contexts (Patton, 2015). As such, they value diverse forms of knowledge generation, including empirical observation, interpretive analysis, critical reflection, and creative expression. This epistemological openness allows bricoleurs to engage with diverse perspectives and approaches, and thus enrich their research inquiries with multiple layers of understanding. By embracing epistemological diversity, researchers can uncover hidden biases, challenge dominant narratives, and promote inclusivity within the research process (Kincheloe et al., 2011).

Furthermore, bricoleurs recognize the importance of collaborative exchange and cultural sensitivity in research inquiry. They actively seek input from scholars, practitioners, and stakeholders from diverse backgrounds (Intindola & Ofstein, 2021), fostering cross-cultural dialogue and co-creation of knowledge. This collaborative approach ensures that research findings are enriched by diverse viewpoints and experiences, thus enhancing the relevance and applicability of the research outcomes. Moreover, bricoleurs prioritize contextual sensitivity, acknowledging the influence of social, cultural, and historical factors on knowledge production and interpretation (Ben-Asher, 2022). They approach their inquiries with an awareness of the socio-cultural norms, power dynamics, and historical legacies that shape participants' experiences and perspectives, which equips them to challenge hegemonic ideologies and practices (Kaomea, 2016). By understanding and accounting for the complex interaction of socio-cultural, political, and historical contexts within the research process (Patton, 2015), researchers can uncover underlying meanings and patterns

that may be obscured by a superficial analysis, contributing to a more in-depth understanding of social phenomena.

In addition, contextual sensitivity within the framework of bricolage entails more than mere acknowledgment of external factors; rather, it necessitates a deliberate and introspective examination of one's own biases, perspectives, and situatedness within the research process (Ben-Asher, 2022; Denzin & Lincoln, 2011). This reflexive engagement compels researchers to critically scrutinize their positionalities and assumptions, recognizing the influence of their own backgrounds, beliefs, and experiences on the research process (Patton, 2015). By developing this heightened self-awareness, researchers are better equipped to navigate the complexities of context with humility and insight, thereby enriching the authenticity and depth of their research findings. Through a lens of contextual sensitivity, researchers not only strive for methodological rigor and trustworthiness but also seek to unravel the layers of complexity and subtlety inherent in social phenomena within their natural habitats. This holistic understanding fosters a deeper appreciation of the many factors shaping human behavior, societal dynamics, and cultural interactions, ultimately contributing to more comprehensive and insightful research outcomes.

Denzin and Lincoln (2011) liken the bricolage approach to a multi-faceted crystal, reflecting different colors as it is moved, showing the dynamic and multi-dimensional nature of the research process. This perspective illustrates the importance of engaging with phenomena from various philosophical, theoretical, methodological, and sociocultural perspectives (Papaioannou, 2024), resulting in a critical, multi-perspectival, and multi-methodological approach to inquiry (Rogers, 2012). Through a lens of plurality, researchers strive to unravel the intricacies of human behavior, societal dynamics, and cultural interactions, ultimately contributing to more inclusive, equitable, and impactful research outcomes.

Describing the Case: The Study of Teacher Educator Professional Learning

The initial aim of the case study presented in this paper was to investigate the intricacies of professional learning processes among teacher educators, focusing on what they learn, how they learn, and the diverse internal and external factors that influence their learning. With the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic, which introduced significant and unprecedented challenges to teacher education, there was a compelling need to reassess educational methodologies and the professional development of educators. As a result, the focus of the study was reoriented to examine the lived experiences of teacher educators during the pandemic period. More specifically, the research explored the challenges and demands faced by teacher educators, the adaptive learning

experiences they pursued in response to these challenges and demands, and the personal and professional insights derived from these experiences. This investigation was conducted by the second author (“the researcher” henceforth) as an integral component of her doctoral thesis, under the guidance and supervision of the first and third authors.

Employing a qualitative case study methodology, this research was ideally positioned to explore the complex social phenomena of teacher educator learning within the real-life context of a global crisis. Focusing on individual cases enabled the capture of the nuanced ways in which teacher educators navigated the challenges and opportunities presented by remote and hybrid teaching modalities. This methodology also facilitated an in-depth analysis of the interplay between teacher educators’ cognitive constructs—such as thoughts, beliefs, knowledge, and emotions—and contextual affordances and constraints, including institutional support and safety protocols, as well as broader social-educational dynamics.

The research methodology, framed by bricolage, embraced a flexible and adaptive design that was responsive to the rapidly changing conditions imposed by the pandemic. This adaptability was crucial when unforeseen disruptions, such as lockdowns and travel restrictions, demanded a swift transition from in-person to remote data collection, prompting a strategic re-evaluation of the original data collection plan. Transitioning toward online platforms facilitated participant engagement and data collection, thus transcending geographical barriers and enabling meaningful research interactions (Thunberg & Arnell, 2022). This adaptive approach enhanced a holistic understanding of the dynamic and agentic nature of teacher educator professional learning amid the unprecedented challenges and demands posed by the pandemic.

Data collection was strategically segmented into three phases to adapt to the rapidly changing conditions of the pandemic and to ensure comprehensive data collection and richness. Each phase was designed to build upon the insights gained from the previous, ensuring a continuous and integrated flow of information that informed the evolving study dynamics. This phased approach not only facilitated a detailed exploration of the evolving educational landscape but also maintained the flexibility required by the bricolage framework of the research, allowing for adjustments as the impact of the pandemic unfolded:

- *Phase 1: Establishing Contextual Foundations:* This was initiated with virtual introductions via Skype to build rapport and trust, followed by the implementation of the “Tree of Life” narrative method. This multimodal autobiographical tool helped uncover the personal and professional backgrounds of participants, providing foundational context for their responses to the pandemic.
- *Phase 2: Historical and Retrospective Insights:* Retrospective interviews were used to explore teacher educators’ significant past learning experiences, linking these to current adaptive strategies. This phase was crucial for understanding how prior educational and professional experiences informed present responses to the challenges and demands of the pandemic.
- *Phase 3: Real-Time Adaptations and Innovations:* Participants were encouraged to report weekly learning episodes, which helped to capture their immediate adaptive strategies and innovations in response to ongoing pandemic conditions. This real-time data collection highlighted the dynamic nature of the educators’ pedagogical responses and their immediate impacts on their practices and professional learning.

Thematic analysis was employed to synthesize data into meaningful patterns and themes that reflected the complex interactions between teacher educators’ practices and the impacts of the pandemic. This analytical process was instrumental in producing insights into how teaching and professional development practices shifted during COVID-19. The analysis showed how teacher educators created and embraced opportunities for personal and professional learning with a view to maintaining effective teaching and their wellbeing amid the disruptions caused by the pandemic. It also cast light on the myriad of internal and external factors that influenced teacher educators’ lived learning experiences.

The study maintained a high degree of reflexivity to ensure that findings were authentically grounded in participants’ experiences while minimizing the researcher’s influence. Reflexive practices included keeping detailed research journals and engaging in reflection-on-participation interviews, which provided continuous feedback on the research process and helped in making socially sensitive adjustments to data collection and analysis procedures. Ethical considerations were meticulously observed throughout to ensure the integrity of the research process, with particular attention to informed consent, anonymity, and sensitivity toward the topics discussed and the personal circumstances of the teacher educators during the pandemic. In addition, ethical protocols included regular ethical audits to assess adherence to research standards and ongoing dialogue with the Department Research Ethics Officer to address any emerging ethical concerns.

The research employed rigorous methods such as triangulation, member checks, and prolonged engagement to ensure the trustworthiness of its findings. These methods enhanced the credibility and dependability of the research outcomes by allowing for multiple perspectives and confirmations of the data accuracy. Triangulation involved using multiple data sources and analytical methods to cross-verify the data, enhancing the credibility and dependability of the

results. Member checks allowed participants to review and confirm the findings, ensuring their perspectives were accurately represented. The ongoing adaptation of methods and procedures, supported by a reflexive stance throughout the research, facilitated the delivery of a nuanced, insightful, and contextually grounded exploration of teacher educator professional learning during a global crisis.

Operationalizing Bricolage: Its Conceptual Hallmarks in Action

This section examines the enactment of bricolage within the doctoral case study, focusing on the complex interplay of its conceptual hallmarks in overcoming multiple challenges, particularly those posed by the global pandemic. This detailed examination aims to bridge the gap between abstract theoretical constructs and tangible research practices, providing a comprehensive view of how the hallmarks of bricolage come to life in real-world research scenarios. In addition, this analysis is presented within a rich narrative that invites readers to form their own interpretations of how to operationalize bricolage's conceptual hallmarks.

Navigating Uncharted Territories

In the initial phase of the doctoral studies, the researcher faced a challenging array of constraints and institutional mandates that pushed the boundaries of traditional research trajectories. Due to restrictions from the sponsoring institution, the pursuit of a suitable research context became a voyage of resilience and adaptive strategy. The institutional regulation against conducting research in Turkey, the intended site, was not merely a logistical hurdle but a test of the researcher's commitment to the bricolage approach.

Resourcefulness took center stage as the search for alternative settings unfolded. Through a series of strategic consultations that spanned the academic spectrum within and beyond her university, a global network of potential contexts emerged, eventually narrowing down to Finland, acclaimed for its educational reputation. However, this selection was met with skepticism by the panel of examiners at the doctoral confirmation viva, urging a redirection toward a Mediterranean focus reminiscent of the original Turkish context. This critical situation reflected the iterative nature of bricolage, where research paths are not linear but responsive to emergent demands and dialogues.

The researcher's engagement with EFL (English as a Foreign Language) educators across Greece, North Cyprus, and Spain was a testament to the bricolage ethos of utilizing available networks and digital platforms to reshape research directions. The eventual choice to recruit Spanish educators aligned with the study's criteria and resonated with Turkey's EFL teacher education landscape, exemplifying a strategic

decision that showed the fluidity and adaptability inherent in bricolage methodology.

The unforeseen advent of the COVID-19 pandemic further complicated the research process, rendering planned fieldwork in Spain impossible and propelling the study into the virtual space. This transition was not merely a logistical adjustment but a radical reimagining of how to immerse oneself in the research context remotely. The task of analyzing national and institutional policies, coupled with detailed examinations of digital landscapes and virtual conversations with participants, was an innovative response to unprecedented global circumstances. This methodological approach highlights the bricolage principle of resourcefulness not as a static trait but as an evolving capability that requires creativity and flexibility in the face of uncertainty.

The critical analysis of macro and micro policies, alongside the virtual exploration of Spain's higher education and professional development sectors, was emblematic of a deep engagement with the research context. This approach compensated for the physical absence from the field and enriched the research with a refined understanding of the systemic and institutional dynamics at play. It demonstrates that bricolage, in essence, thrives on the ability to construct meaningful inquiry pathways despite—and perhaps because of—constraints and challenges. Specifically, the creativity and flexibility displayed in deploying virtual tools to probe into complex policy environments exemplify the innovative thinking that is central to bricolage.

This narrative evolution within the research context offers a broader reflection on the nature of academic inquiry in the 21st century. The journey from Turkey to Finland and eventually to Spain, shaped by institutional mandates and global crises, reveals the intricate interplay among researcher agency, methodological flexibility, creative problem solving, and the external forces that shape the academic landscape. It affirms that the essence of bricolage lies in its capacity to navigate, negotiate, and ultimately transcend the multifaceted challenges that define contemporary social science research.

Unraveling the Multidimensional Experiences of Teacher Educators

The doctoral research started with an examination of the complex and dynamic field of professional development for teacher educators. This task was not simply about charting known territories but about embracing the vast and diverse landscapes of knowledge and learning processes that teacher educators traverse. The researcher's approach was marked by a departure from the conventional boundaries of teacher cognition research, opting instead for a holistic approach that sought to encompass the full spectrum of teacher educators' cognitive and experiential worlds.

This scholarly voyage was navigated with conceptual flexibility, which enabled the exploration of the multifarious spaces of formal, non-formal, and informal learning (M. Johnson & Majewska, 2022). Such an expansive approach not only shed light on the rich tapestry of knowledge and skills these educators acquired but also probed into the dynamic interplay of influences that shaped their professional experiences. Herein, the methodology mirrored the bricolage ethos, stitching together a quilt of diverse theoretical frameworks to offer a more comprehensive view of the educational landscape.

Central to this exploration was a commitment to plurality, a principle that acted as both compass and platform in the research journey. By embracing the multiplicity of teacher educators' experiences and perspectives, the study transformed into a chorus of voices, each narrating stories of learning, adaptation, and resilience—especially intense against the backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic. The inclusion of autobiographical sketches, reflective narratives, and weekly diaries not only enriched the narrative fabric of the study but also stressed the importance of viewing research subjects as co-navigators, their insights integral to charting the course of inquiry.

The pandemic itself emerged not as a mere backdrop but as a significant force, shaping the research landscape in profound ways. The researcher's analytical lens, refracted through the prism of the pandemic, cast new light on the intricacies of teacher educators' professional lives, revealing the resilience and ingenuity with which they navigated the tempests of change and uncertainty. Employing Bronfenbrenner's (1993) ecological systems theory further enabled a deep dive into the ecological niches of teacher cognition, uncovering the symbiotic relationship between educators and their environments.

This scholarly journey—marked by flexibility, adaptability, and an unwavering quest for depth—stands as a testament to the power of bricolage as a methodological and philosophical guide. It showcases how, in the quest for understanding the multifaceted dimensions of teacher educators' development, embracing complexity and welcoming multiplicity of perspectives can illuminate the pathways of professional growth and resilience. This exploration, therefore, not only contributes to the tapestry of educational research but also serves as a beacon for future inquiries navigating the ever-evolving landscape of professional learning.

Navigating Data Collection in the Shadow of the Pandemic

Within the disturbance of the pandemic, the research efforts to collect and analyze data transformed into an odyssey of innovation and resilience. Confronted with the abrupt transition to a virtual research environment, the researcher

managed the challenges of remote data collection with a commitment to upholding the integrity and rigor of her research. This journey, though fraught with unforeseen challenges, showcased a remarkable synthesis of adaptability, resourcefulness, and a pluralistic embrace of methodological diversity.

The pandemic demanded a swift turn to digital methodologies, thrusting the researcher into the realm of videoconferencing platforms and online communication channels. This shift was not merely a logistical adjustment but a reimagining of the research interaction landscape. By leveraging technology to bridge the chasm imposed by social distancing, the study not only preserved the continuity of data collection but also explored the nuances of digital ethnography. This digital adventure highlighted the importance of adaptability in the face of global disruptions, serving as a testament to the resilience of qualitative research methodologies in adapting to the new normal.

The researcher demonstrated resourcefulness by drawing on a myriad of digital data collection techniques stemming from a diverse repository of qualitative research literature and virtual capacity-building workshops. This period of exploration and adaptation was marked by an openness to methodological innovation that challenged the traditional boundaries of qualitative inquiry. Through this process, a rich methodological toolkit was developed that not only accommodated to the constraints of the pandemic but also enriched the study with a multiplicity of perspectives. This venture underlined the critical role of resourcefulness in qualitative research, where methodological robustness is forged in the crucible of adversity.

The iterative nature of the data collection process mirrored the evolving dynamics of the pandemic. The research journey was characterized by continuous adaptation, from re-evaluating initial plans to embracing remote methodologies in response to lockdown measures. This fluid approach to research demonstrated the need of flexibility and foresight in navigating the unpredictable terrain of pandemic-induced constraints. It was a demonstration of problem solving in action, reflecting a deep commitment to methodological integrity and the pursuit of research excellence despite the tumultuous landscape.

In terms of data analysis, a pluralistic lens was employed, embracing a variety of perspectives to investigate the complexities of teacher educators' experiences during the pandemic. This analytical approach, defined by a multidirectional and comparative analysis, revealed the interconnectedness of personal, professional, and contextual factors influencing teacher educators' learning. By crafting individual case reports, the researcher not only preserved the authenticity of participants' voices but also co-created a tapestry of knowledge that captured the depth of their experiences. This narrative-construction process, imbued with creativity and reflexivity, showcased the transformative

potential of qualitative research in articulating the human stories emerging from the pandemic.

In retrospect, the expedition through the uncharted territories of pandemic-era research was an exercise in scholarly resilience. It emphasized the indispensability of adaptability, resourcefulness, and methodological plurality in navigating the challenges posed by global crises. This journey offers invaluable insights into the resilience of qualitative inquiry in the face of adversity, serving as inspiration for future explorations in the dynamic landscape of social science research.

Nurturing Participant Wellbeing

In the face of the pandemic's upheaval, the research approach was committed to the ethical foundation of participant wellbeing. This commitment was not a mere adherence to ethical guidelines but a lived practice of empathy and respect that shaped the research environment into a space of support and understanding. The research efforts went beyond traditional research interactions, weaving a tapestry of trust and mutual respect with the participants through innovative and compassionate methodologies. This embodies creativity in bricolage, as innovative approaches were employed to foster deep connections, ensuring the methodology adapted to uphold participant wellbeing amid the crisis.

Within the uncertainty of the pandemic, innovative measures were taken to maintain the essence of human connection in research. Initiating introductory virtual meetings and maintaining consistent communication were not just procedural steps but acts of building a community of trust. This approach, deeply rooted in ethical reflexivity, acknowledges the dynamic and often precarious nature of participant engagement in times of crisis. It mirrors a broader shift in qualitative research toward more humane and participant-centered methodologies, recognizing participants not as subjects but as partners in the creation of knowledge. Adaptability is prominently at play here, as strategies were flexibly shifted to maintain engagement and trust under drastically changed circumstances.

The researcher's adaptability in accommodating participants' diverse life circumstances, such as adjusting interview schedules for those with caregiving responsibilities, reflects a deep understanding of ethics in practice. This flexibility was a testament to the commitment to ethical praxis, ensuring that research did not impose additional burdens on participants already navigating the complexities of pandemic life. Such considerations are crucial in redefining research ethics beyond consent forms and confidentiality agreements toward a more embodied understanding of care and respect for participants' lived realities. This scenario highlights flexibility and resourcefulness, as methodologies were adjusted to better suit the real-world conditions of the

participants, ensuring the research process was respectful and responsive to their needs.

The exploration of the experiences of early-career female teacher educators offered critical insights into the intersectional challenges exacerbated by the pandemic. This inquiry did not shy away from confronting the systemic inequities and power dynamics that shape academic life, particularly for women and early-career academics. By amplifying these narratives, the research contributes to a critical conversation about the structures of power and privilege in academia and beyond. It re-affirms the need for research methodologies that are not only inclusive but actively seek to dismantle the systemic barriers that marginalize and silence.

The meticulous approach to fostering participant wellbeing unveils the intricate dance between ethical rigor, empathy, and critical inquiry. This journey enriches the tapestry of qualitative research and serves as an example for future explorations committed to the principles of care, respect, and justice. It invites a reimagining of research methodologies as pathways to understanding, solidarity, and transformation in the face of global crises.

Critical Examination of the Study Results Through the Lens of Bricolage

Framed by bricolage, this study involved a detailed exploration of the adaptive processes and pedagogical innovations employed by teacher educators amid the unprecedented challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic. The bricolage approach allowed for the integration and synthesis of diverse data sources, including digital communications, learning episodes, and follow-up interviews, providing a comprehensive view of the educators' lived experiences. This rich combination of data revealed the complex interplay of adaptation and resilience necessary for transitioning from traditional to online and hybrid teaching environments.

For instance, participants' engagement with professional development activities, such as an online symposium on distance learning, not only equipped them with essential digital competencies but also fostered a sense of solidarity and community among peers. This was crucial in mitigating feelings of isolation and enhancing their adaptability. Similarly, they adopted digital tools, such as online flashcards, and interactive platforms, like Kahoot, to maintain student engagement and learning efficacy, which illustrated the practical application of new pedagogical strategies in an online format. In addition, the thematic analysis revealed the significant technological and emotional challenges faced by the educators, such as mastering new technologies and managing the emotional toll of rapid professional adjustments. Their stories highlight the critical role of continuous learning and emotional resilience in navigating these challenges, emphasizing the importance

of community support and professional development in fostering these qualities.

Through the lens of bricolage, the analysis critically examined these transitions, illustrating how the educators synthesized new knowledge with existing practices to craft effective teaching strategies under crisis conditions. This approach not only addressed the integration of multiple perspectives but also underlined the dynamic and interconnected nature of educational practices during the pandemic.

Overall, the bricolage methodology enabled a deep, critical examination of the lived learning experiences of teacher educators during the pandemic, offering insights into the broader implications for teacher professional development during crises. By embracing the complexity and dynamism of the situation, the study produced a holistic understanding of how educators adapt and thrive in changing environments, aligning with the academic rigor required for a comprehensive analysis of complex social phenomena. This critical examination thus validates the effectiveness of the bricolage approach and enhances the scholarly integrity and depth of the research findings.

Lessons Learned and Research Implications

In bricolage research, the hallmarks of resourcefulness, creativity, problem solving, flexibility, adaptability, and plurality are essential for overcoming challenges and maintaining research integrity. This section discusses three key lessons from the previously examined case, highlighting how these attributes empower researchers to navigate and thrive within dynamic research environments. By integrating practical examples and conceptual insights, we aim to underline their critical role in crafting methodologically sound and impactful studies. This analysis not only reflects on the interplay of these hallmarks but also considers their broader implications for effective research practices.

Proactive Adaptation to Unforeseen Challenges

The pursuit of bricolage research within the tumult of the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates a narrative of resilience, innovation, and a proactive embrace of adaptability. This narrative not only challenges but also enriches the discourse on methodological agility in the face of unforeseen adversities. The swift transition to alternative methodologies amid the disruption exhibits an active engagement with the essence of bricolage—a creative melding of available resources to forge new paths of inquiry. This approach goes beyond mere adaptation; it indicates a deeper, anticipatory engagement with the fluidity of research contexts. Such forward-looking adaptability, highlighted by Denzin and

Lincoln (2011) as essential for navigating emergent challenges, is fundamentally aligned with the theoretical underpinnings of bricolage.

The turn to remote methodologies in response to fieldwork disruptions reflects not just operational flexibility but also a genuine engagement with the epistemological foundations of qualitative research. By repurposing tools and methods to meet pandemic constraints, as noted by Phillimore et al. (2019), the research exemplifies resourcefulness—utilizing existing tools in novel ways to overcome obstacles and enrich the methodology. This not only ensures continuity but also drives a paradigmatic shift toward a more dynamic and responsive research praxis, which is anticipatory of the complexities and uncertainties of the contemporary research landscape.

Moreover, the narrative demonstrates how integrating other bricolage hallmarks such as creativity, problem solving, and reflexivity enhances the depth and integrity of the research. As Ben-Asher (2022) emphasizes, the resilience and patience required to uphold rigorous research are intrinsic to bricolage, pushing researchers to develop a mindset that balances innovation with critical reflexivity. This proactive adaptation not only addresses immediate challenges but also contributes to a broader conversation about the resilience and transformative potential of qualitative inquiry within a bricolage framework.

Strategic Pursuit of Alternative Avenues

In the evolving context of qualitative research, the pursuit of alternative methodologies underlines a vital aspect of the bricolage framework—an essential strategy for navigating through the mazes of unforeseen challenges and constraints. In this quest, adaptability and strategic foresight become the cornerstones of scholarly resilience and innovation.

The navigation through volatile research conditions amid the pandemic and institutional constraints exemplifies a critical engagement with the foundational tenets of bricolage, as delineated by Lévi-Strauss (1966) and further conceptualized by Denzin and Lincoln (2011) and Kincheloe (2005). This conceptual lineage emphasizes the significance of a creative spirit in the face of methodological and situational adversities. By venturing beyond more established research paradigms and embracing a spectrum of methodologies, the research embodies the bricolage principle of utilizing “what is at hand” to not only navigate but also thrive within the complexities of contemporary research environments.

The present case study illustrates the transformative potential of employing diverse methods, such as autobiographical drawings, self-reflective narratives, and diaries. This methodological pluralism not only serves as a testament to the researcher’s creativity and adaptability but also

challenges the research community to reconsider the boundaries of qualitative inquiry. Such a strategic exploration of alternative avenues exemplifies the hallmarks of flexibility and adaptability, highlighting the capacity for qualitative research to evolve dynamically in response to external constraints, a concept echoed by Rogers (2012) in advocating for customized methodological instruments that cater to the unique exigencies of each research project.

The strategic pursuit of alternative methodologies not only highlights adaptability, creativity, and flexibility but also emphasizes the importance of problem solving within the bricolage framework. This approach fosters a research praxis that is inherently responsive, reflective, and resilient, facilitating the continuation and enrichment of studies and fostering a culture of methodological innovation crucial for probing complex research questions. This proactive orientation toward research challenges ensures the advancement of knowledge and enhances the relevance and depth of scholarly inquiry (Phillimore et al., 2019).

This reflection on the strategic pursuit of alternative avenues within bricolage research invites researchers to embrace a mindset that views obstacles as opportunities for methodological growth and intellectual exploration. By valuing strategic adaptation and methodological pluralism, the research community enhances its capacity to address intricate questions and investigate complex social phenomena, thereby affirming the integral role of the hallmarks of bricolage in fostering innovative and effective research practices.

Ethical Engagement with Diverse Perspectives

In the exploration of ethical engagement within the framework of bricolage research, the study emphasizes the imperative of adopting an approach that respects and incorporates diverse perspectives, which is immediately relevant to the hallmark of plurality. This commitment not only enriches the research fabric with a multiplicity of voices but also serves as a barricade against the homogenization of knowledge, actively resisting the perpetuation of dominant discourses that often marginalize underrepresented communities.

The conscientious integration of varied learning environments and participant narratives illustrates a praxis-oriented approach to ethics, one that transcends conventional normative guidelines to embrace the lived realities of inclusivity and plurality. This approach amplifies marginalized voices and ensures that the research process itself becomes a site of emancipation and social justice (Bush & Silk, 2010), resonating with the emancipatory potential of bricolage highlighted by Kincheloe et al. (2011). This deliberate approach against oppressive narratives and structures also demonstrates creativity, as ethical considerations were innovatively woven throughout the structure of the research,

transforming the process into an instrument of social change.

The alignment of the study with theoretical perspectives on bricolage, as outlined by Denzin and Lincoln (2011), calls for a critical examination of how diverse perspectives are engaged and represented within research. This involves a reflexive scrutiny of the researcher's own positionalities and biases, ensuring that the inclusion of diverse perspectives is not merely tokenistic but deeply integrated into the research design and analysis. It challenges researchers to question whose voices are being prioritized and whose are being sidelined, thereby undertaking a critical stance toward constructing knowledge that is truly representative and inclusive. This requires resourcefulness and flexibility, as the researcher adeptly navigates and incorporates a variety of perspectives, adapting research strategies to ensure ethical integrity and inclusivity.

By embedding a commitment to inclusivity and plurality at the heart of research practices, the study exemplifies how bricolage research can serve as a conduit for social change. This requires an ongoing engagement with ethical reflexivity, where the inclusion of diverse perspectives is continually interrogated and refined. Such a practice enhances the authenticity and depth of the research findings and contributes to a broader epistemological shift toward research practices that are equitable, just, and reflective of a multitude of lived experiences.

The insights produced from the present case study show the importance of developing an ethical framework that prioritizes the engagement of diverse perspectives in bricolage research. This framework should advocate for the dismantling of dominant narratives and the empowerment of marginalized voices, thereby fostering an academic culture that values diversity, equity, and inclusion at its core.

Conclusion: Embracing the Art of Bricolage

In today's world, marked by swift social, technological, and environmental transformations, the capacity to synthesize disparate elements into coherent narratives becomes key to groundbreaking scholarship. Within this milieu, more established research approaches may encounter difficulties in capturing the fluidity and complexity of modern realities. In contrast, bricolage offers a pathway to deeper engagement, richer understanding, and transformative impact in social science research. Through embracing resourcefulness, creativity, problem solving, flexibility, adaptability, and pluralism, researchers can unlock new possibilities for inquiry and contribute to the advancement of knowledge in the social sciences. The case presented in this paper illustrates how bricolage is not merely a research methodology but a philosophy—an ethos—that empowers researchers to

explore, discover, and create within the ever-changing landscapes of human experience.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Hugo Santiago Sanchez  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4678-8038>
Ioannis Costas Batlle  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4030-5971>

References

- Ben-Asher, S. (2022). The virtuoso art of bricolage research. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, Article 1068703.
- Berry, K. S. (2004). Structures of bricolage and complexity. In J. L. Kincheloe & K. S. Berry (Eds.), *Rigour and complexity in educational research: Conceptualizing the bricolage* (pp. 103–127). Open University Press.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1993). The ecology of cognitive development: Research models and fugitive findings. In R. H. Wozniak & K. W. Fischer (Eds.), *Development in context: Acting and thinking in specific environments* (pp. 3–44). Erlbaum.
- Bueddefeld, J., Murphy, M., Ostrem, J., & Halpenny, E. (2021). Methodological bricolage and COVID-19: An illustration from innovative, novel, and adaptive environmental behavior change research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 15*(3), 437–461.
- Bush, A., & Silk, M. (2010). Towards an evolving critical consciousness in coaching research: The physical pedagogic bricolage. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 5*(4), 551–565.
- Campbell, L. (2019). Pedagogical bricolage and teacher agency: Towards a culture of creative professionalism. *Educational Philosophy and Theory, 51*(1), 31–40.
- Denzin, N., & Lincoln, Y. (2011). Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In N. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *The sage handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed., pp. 1–20). Sage.
- Flick, U. (2018). *Doing triangulation and mixed methods*. Sage.
- Flick, U. (2022). Revitalising triangulation for designing multi-perspective qualitative research. In U. Flick (Ed.), *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research design* (pp. 652–664). Sage.
- Friedman, S. (1998). (Inter)disciplinarity and the question of the women's studies Ph.D. *Feminist Studies, 24*(2), 301–325.
- Hammersley, M. (2008). *Questioning qualitative inquiry*. Sage.
- Intindola, M., & Ofstein, L. (2021). Change through chaos: Using bricolage in cross-sector social partnerships. *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship, 24*(2), 122–136.
- Johnson, C. (2012). Bricoleur and Bricolage: From metaphor to universal concept. *Paragraph, 35*(3), 355–372.
- Johnson, M., & Majewska, D. (2022). *Formal, non-formal, and informal learning: What are they, and how can we research them?*. Cambridge University Press & Assessment Research Report.
- Kaomea, J. (2016). Qualitative analysis as ho'oku'iku'i or bricolage: Teaching emancipatory indigenous research in postcolonial Hawai'i. *Qualitative Inquiry, 22*(2), 99–106.
- Kincheloe, J. L. (2001). Describing the bricolage: Conceptualizing a new rigor in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry, 7*(6), 679–692.
- Kincheloe, J. L. (2004). Questions of disciplinarity/interdisciplinarity in a changing world. In J. L. Kincheloe & K. S. Berry (Eds.), *Rigour and complexity in educational research: Conceptualizing the bricolage* (pp. 50–81). Open University Press.
- Kincheloe, J. L. (2005). On to the next level: Continuing the conceptualization of the bricolage. *Qualitative Inquiry, 11*(3), 323–350.
- Kincheloe, J. L., McLaren, P., & Steinberg, S. R. (2011). Critical pedagogy and qualitative research: Moving to the bricolage. In N. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed., pp. 163–177). Sage.
- Lévi-Strauss, C. (1966). *The savage mind*. University of Chicago Press.
- Lincoln, Y. (2001). An emerging new bricoleur: Promises and possibilities—A reaction to Joe Kincheloe's "describing the bricolage." *Qualitative Inquiry, 7*(6), 693–696.
- Papaioannou, E. (2024). Combining hermeneutic phenomenology and critical discourse analysis: A bricolage approach to research. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 37*(6), 1804–1821. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09518398.2023.2233923>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. Sage.
- Pérez-Bustos, T., & Bello-Tocancipá, A. (2023). Thinking methodologies with textiles, thinking textiles as methodologies in the context of transitional justice. *Qualitative Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14687941231216639>
- Phillimore, J., Bradby, H., Knecht, M., Padilla, B., & Pemberton, S. (2019). Bricolage as conceptual tool for understanding access to healthcare in superdiverse populations. *Social Theory & Health, 17*, 231–252.
- Poole, A. (2023). From recalcitrance to rapprochement: Tinkering with a working-class academic bricolage of "critical empathy." *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, 44*(4), 522–534.
- Pratt, M. G., Sonenshein, S., & Feldman, M. S. (2022). Moving beyond templates: A bricolage approach to conducting trustworthy qualitative research. *Organizational Research Methods, 25*(2), 211–238.
- Rogers, M. (2012). Contextualizing theories and practices of bricolage research. *The Qualitative Report, 17*(48), 1–17.
- Thunberg, S., & Arnell, L. (2022). Pioneering the use of technologies in qualitative research—A research review of the use of digital interviews. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 25*(6), 757–768.

- Witell, L., Gebauer, H., Jaakkola, E., Hammedi, W., Patricio, L., & Perks, H. (2017). A bricolage perspective on service innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 79, 290–298.
- Wyatt, T. R., & Zaidi, Z. (2022). Bricolage: A tool for race-related, historically situated complex research. *Medical Education*, 56(2), 170–175.
- Yardley, A. (2019). Bricolage as method. In P. Atkinson, S. Delamont, A. Cernat, J. W. Sakshaug, & R. A. Williams (Eds.), *SAGE research methods foundations* (pp. 1–11). Sage.

Author Biographies

Hugo Santiago Sanchez is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Bath, UK. He has over 25 years of experience as a teacher educator, lecturer, and qualitative researcher in Argentina, Brazil and the UK. He specialises in teacher cognition, teacher education,

critical pedagogies, social justice, and qualitative research designs and methods.

Meltem Eşki is a Lecturer at the Department of English Language Teaching at Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Turkey. She has been involved in English Language Teaching and Education for more than 10 years. Her research focuses on teacher cognition, second language teacher education, and qualitative research.

Ioannis Costas Batlle is a Senior Lecturer and Director of the Centre for Qualitative Research at the University of Bath (UK). His research qualitatively explores the role of nonformal and informal education in young people's lives. Recent publications include *Qualitative Researcher Vulnerability* (Routledge, 2023, co-editor) and two chapters in *Repositioning Out-of-School Learning: Methodological Challenges and Possibilities for Researching Beyond School* (Emerald, 2022).