

## Appendix – Statistical Tables

**Table A1. Three-way ANOVA for the Swelling Index (SI)**

*Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

Effect	df	Sum of Squares	F-value	p-value	$\eta^2$
Treatment	1	1.56×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.34×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.962	0.00
Matrix	2	5.85×10 <sup>3</sup>	26.41	<0.001	0.45
MP	4	192.4	0.86	0.489	0.03
Treatment × Matrix	2	4.45×10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.99×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.996	0.00
Treatment × MP	4	411.8	4.63	0.001	0.16
Matrix × MP	8	335.6	1.09	0.374	0.09
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	406.5	1.33	0.182	0.11
Residual	108	12051.6	–	–	–

**Table A2. Three-way ANOVA for T<sub>2WL</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR Relaxometry)**

*Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

Effect	df	Sum of Squares	F-value	p-value	$\eta^2$
Treatment	1	1.12×10 <sup>7</sup>	1.52	0.220	0.05
Matrix	2	3.41×10 <sup>10</sup>	232.4	<0.001	0.78
MP	4	6.38×10 <sup>9</sup>	43.4	<0.001	0.15
Treatment × Matrix	2	1.89×10 <sup>8</sup>	2.57	0.079	0.01
Treatment × MP	4	4.37×10 <sup>7</sup>	0.30	0.878	0.00
Matrix × MP	8	1.01×10 <sup>9</sup>	6.89	<0.001	0.02
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	4.85×10 <sup>7</sup>	0.33	0.959	0.00
Residual	108	1.58×10 <sup>9</sup>	–	–	–

**Table A3. Three-way ANOVA for  $T_{2\text{peak}}$  ( $^1\text{H-NMR}$  Relaxometry)**

*Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	5.89×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.08	0.301	0.03
Matrix	2	1.76×10 <sup>10</sup>	162.6	<0.001	0.72
MP	4	2.67×10 <sup>9</sup>	61.7	<0.001	0.11
Treatment × Matrix	2	1.22×10 <sup>8</sup>	1.12	0.329	0.01
Treatment × MP	4	3.55×10 <sup>7</sup>	0.62	0.651	0.00
Matrix × MP	8	7.76×10 <sup>8</sup>	11.20	<0.001	0.03
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	4.47×10 <sup>7</sup>	0.65	0.734	0.00
Residual	108	7.48×10 <sup>8</sup>	–	–	–

**Table A4. Three-way ANOVA for  $\tau_{\text{max}}$  (Rheology)**

*Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	2.51×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.38	0.540	0.01
Matrix	2	3.95×10 <sup>6</sup>	59.3	<0.001	0.61
MP	4	9.74×10 <sup>5</sup>	36.6	<0.001	0.15
Treatment × Matrix	2	3.01×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.45	0.642	0.00
Treatment × MP	4	1.55×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.58	0.678	0.00
Matrix × MP	8	5.42×10 <sup>5</sup>	10.1	<0.001	0.08
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	3.29×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.61	0.763	0.01
Residual	108	7.19×10 <sup>5</sup>	–	–	–

**Table A5. Three-way ANOVA for  $\tau$  at  $\tan \delta = 1$  ( $\tau_{VP}$ )***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	1.77×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.41	0.524	0.01
Matrix	2	2.61×10 <sup>6</sup>	60.3	<0.001	0.58
MP	4	7.86×10 <sup>5</sup>	36.2	<0.001	0.17
Treatment × Matrix	2	2.11×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.49	0.615	0.01
Treatment × MP	4	2.41×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.55	0.699	0.01
Matrix × MP	8	3.41×10 <sup>5</sup>	7.85	<0.001	0.08
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	2.85×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.65	0.731	0.01
Residual	108	6.19×10 <sup>5</sup>	–	–	–

**Table A6. Three-way ANOVA for  $\gamma$  at  $\tan \delta = 1$  ( $\gamma_{VP}$ )***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	0.37	0.41	0.523	0.01
Matrix	2	87.54	60.2	<0.001	0.56
MP	4	23.86	8.22	<0.001	0.15
Treatment × Matrix	2	0.73	0.46	0.631	0.00
Treatment × MP	4	1.56	0.54	0.703	0.01
Matrix × MP	8	9.47	6.52	<0.001	0.08
Treatment × Matrix × MP	8	1.04	0.71	0.689	0.01
Residual	108	19.62	–	–	–

**Table A7. Three-way ANOVA for CH<sub>2</sub>***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>η<sup>2</sup></b>
Treatment	1	0.0014	0.06	0.805	0.00
Matrix	2	0.0953	2.11	0.128	0.05
MP	6	0.0311	0.23	0.968	0.01
Treatment × Matrix	2	0.0110	0.12	0.890	0.00
Treatment × MP	6	0.0197	0.15	0.989	0.00
Matrix × MP	12	0.1281	0.47	0.920	0.06
Treatment × Matrix × MP	12	0.0791	0.29	0.991	0.04
Residual	72	1.9655	–	–	–

**Table A8. Three-way ANOVA for OH***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>η<sup>2</sup></b>
Treatment	1	0.0002	0.08	0.782	0.00
Matrix	2	0.0024	0.51	0.603	0.01
MP	6	0.0027	0.19	0.973	0.01
Treatment × Matrix	2	0.0044	0.46	0.633	0.01
Treatment × MP	6	0.0043	0.30	0.936	0.01
Matrix × MP	12	0.0305	1.06	0.404	0.08
Treatment × Matrix × MP	12	0.0206	0.72	0.736	0.06
Residual	72	0.8283	–	–	–

**Table A9. Three-way ANOVA for carbonyl/carboxyl***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	0.0049	0.17	0.683	0.00
Matrix	2	0.2319	3.92	0.024	0.09
MP	6	0.2785	1.57	0.167	0.13
Treatment $\times$ Matrix	2	0.0912	1.54	0.221	0.04
Treatment $\times$ MP	6	0.0686	0.39	0.885	0.03
Matrix $\times$ MP	12	0.2852	0.96	0.495	0.13
Treatment $\times$ Matrix $\times$ MP	12	0.2622	0.88	0.575	0.12
Residual	72	2.1326	–	–	–

**Table A10. Three-way ANOVA for SiO/Al-O-Si***Factors: Treatment (static vs. cyclic), Matrix (dH<sub>2</sub>O, sand, loam), Measurement Point (MP 0–10).*

<b>Effect</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>F-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b><math>\eta^2</math></b>
Treatment	1	0.0005	0.01	0.920	0.00
Matrix	2	0.4434	8.44	<0.001	0.17
MP	6	0.0474	0.30	0.933	0.02
Treatment $\times$ Matrix	2	0.0426	0.81	0.449	0.02
Treatment $\times$ MP	6	0.0515	0.33	0.917	0.02
Matrix $\times$ MP	12	0.2875	1.02	0.435	0.11
Treatment $\times$ Matrix $\times$ MP	12	0.2037	0.72	0.740	0.08
Residual	72	1.8877	–	–	–