

**Table 3 |** Predictive performance of prediction participants with any psychiatric diagnosis at follow-up in training and modeling details for tested feature sets

Feature Set	PR-AUC (cross-validated)	95% CI	Significant (vs. baseline)	Feature Selection Method	Model Description	PR AUC (held-out test set)
Top 20 A1 - Biology + psychometry	0.801 [0.733,0.866]	Yes	None		SVM (C-SVC, Polynomial Kernel; cost = 0.001, $\gamma$ = 0.01, degree = 2)	0.700
Top 15 A1 - Biology	0.780 [0.714,0.845]	Yes	None		Ridge Logistic Regression ( $\lambda$ = 100.0)	NA
Top 5 A1 - Psychometry	0.782 [0.710,0.848]	Yes	None		SVM (C-SVC, Polynomial Kernel; cost = 0.001, $\gamma$ = 1.0, degree = 2)	NA
Top 40 A1+A5 - Biology + psychometry	0.782 [0.707,0.850]	Yes	None		SVM (C-SVC, Gaussian Kernel; cost = 0.01, $\gamma$ = 0.1)	NA
Top 30 A1+A5 - Biology	0.772 [0.706,0.833]	Yes	None		Random Forest (1000 trees, deviance criterion, min leaf size = 5, splits = 1, $\alpha$ = 1, variables to split = 1.291 $\sqrt{n}$ )	NA
Top 10 A1+A5 - Psychometry	0.753 [0.680,0.822]	Yes	None		SVM (C-SVC, Gaussian Kernel; cost = 0.001, $\gamma$ = 0.01)	NA
All features - Biology + psychometry	0.714 [0.651,0.772]	Yes	SES (maxK = 2, $\alpha$ = 0.1 and budget = 3 * nvars)		SVM (C-SVC, Polynomial Kernel; cost = 0.001, $\gamma$ = 1.0, degree = 2)	0.583
All features - Biology	0.728 [0.658,0.792]	Yes	LASSO (penalty = 0.0)		SVM (C-SVC, Polynomial Kernel; cost = 0.01, $\gamma$ = 0.01, degree = 3)	NA
All features - Psychometry	0.737 [0.657,0.807]	Yes	LASSO (penalty = 0.25)		SVM (C-SVC, Gaussian Kernel; cost = 1.0, $\gamma$ = 0.001)	NA