

Contents

Appendix	2
A Demographics	2
B Balance Tests	2
C Additional Results	4
C.1 Mediation Analysis	5
C.2 Table 5: Vote Likelihood (Black Male Respondents)	5
C.3 Table 6: Vote Likelihood (Black Female Respondents)	7
C.4 Figure 1	9
C.5 Figure 2	10

Appendix

A Demographics

Table 1: Demographic Summary Statistics

Variable	Mean/Percent
Age (Mean)	44.9
Bachelor’s Degree or Above (%)	38.4
Female (%)	56.6
White (%)	49.8
Democrat (%)	37.3

B Balance Tests

Balance tests indicated no need for controls. As such, we computed regression models without control variables. See Mutz (2019) for a discussion of when controlling for covariates in experiments is unnecessary or counterproductive in the presence of successful randomization.

Table 2: Covariate Balance by Candidate Race and Gender (All Respondents)

Treatment	Covariate	SMD
<i>Panel A: Black Woman</i>		
Angry vs Control	Age	-0.003
	Education	0.098
	Ideology	0.025
	Party ID	-0.012
	Race	-0.003
	Racial Resentment	0.058
	Female	0.035
Angry+Race vs Control	Age	-0.019
	Education	-0.044

Table 2 (continued)

Treatment	Covariate	SMD
	Ideology	0.025
	Party ID	0.102
	Race	-0.003
	Racial Resentment	-0.056
	Female	-0.012
<i>Panel B: Black Man</i>		
Angry vs Control	Age	-0.027
	Education	0.008
	Ideology	0.044
	Party ID	0.014
	Race	0.009
	Racial Resentment	0.003
	Female	0.014
Angry+Race vs Control	Age	0.054
	Education	-0.030
	Ideology	0.007
	Party ID	-0.063
	Race	0.001
	Racial Resentment	-0.079
	Female	-0.015
<i>Panel C: White Woman</i>		
Angry vs Control	Age	-0.034
	Education	-0.054
	Ideology	0.005
	Party ID	0.027
	Race	0.001
	Racial Resentment	-0.035
	Female	0.038
Angry+Race vs Control	Age	0.076
	Education	0.154
	Ideology	0.012
	Party ID	-0.117
	Race	-0.007
	Racial Resentment	-0.027

Table 2 (continued)

Treatment	Covariate	SMD
	Female	-0.011
<i>Panel D: White Man</i>		
Angry vs Control	Age	-0.108
	Education	-0.028
	Ideology	0.004
	Party ID	0.022
	Race	-0.029
	Racial Resentment	-0.001
	Female	0.036
Angry+Race vs Control	Age	0.035
	Education	0.032
	Ideology	-0.015
	Party ID	0.011
	Race	0.010
	Racial Resentment	0.063
	Female	-0.027

Continued on next page

C Additional Results

In this section, we present the full set of results for mediation analyses, additional regression models for vote likelihood by Black male and female respondents interacted with community nationalis mand intersectional consciousness, as well as additional stereotype figures.

C.1 Mediation Analysis

Table 3: Mediation Results: Unqualified (White Female Respondents, by Racial Resentment Quantiles)

Candidate	Resentment	ACME	ADE	Total	Prop. Mediated
White Woman	Low (25th)	0.02	-0.005	0.015	1.349
White Woman	High (75th)	0.024*	-0.016	0.007	3.337
White Man	Low (25th)	0.016	-0.076*	-0.060	-0.269
White Man	High (75th)	0.026*	0.021	0.046	0.555
Black Man	Low (25th)	-0.005	-0.030	-0.035	0.151
Black Man	High (75th)	-0.007	-0.044	-0.052	0.144
Black Woman	Low (25th)	-0.022*	0.028	0.005	-4.101
Black Woman	High (75th)	-0.020	0.034	0.015	-1.369

Note: * $p < .05$

Table 4: Mediation Results: Competent (White Female Respondents, by Racial Resentment Quantiles)

Candidate	Resentment	ACME	ADE	Total	Prop. Mediated
White Woman	Low (25th)	-0.004	0.019	0.015	-0.270
White Woman	High (75th)	-0.012	0.019	0.007	-1.696
White Man	Low (25th)	0.026	-0.086*	-0.060	-0.427
White Man	High (75th)	0.040**	0.006	0.046	0.861
Black Man	Low (25th)	-0.023	-0.012	-0.035	0.658
Black Man	High (75th)	-0.024	-0.028	-0.052	0.463
Black Woman	Low (25th)	-0.013	0.018	0.005	-2.283
Black Woman	High (75th)	-0.015	0.029	0.015	-1.025

Note: * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$

C.2 Table 5: Vote Likelihood (Black Male Respondents)

Table 5: Linear regression models estimating vote likelihood for each candidate interacted with intersectional consciousness (models 1–4) and community nationalism (models 5–8) for Black male respondents. Treatment conditions include Angry and Racialized Anger. Dependent variables represent likelihood of voting for the White Man (WM), Black Man (BM), Black Woman (BW), and White Woman (WW).

	Intersectional Consciousness				Community Nationalism			
	Black Woman (1)	Black Man (2)	White Woman (3)	White Man (4)	Black Woman (5)	Black Man (6)	White Woman (7)	White Man (8)
Angry BW	0.15 (0.09)				0.19 (0.14)			
Angry+Race BW	0.05 (0.08)				0.10 (0.14)			
Angry BM		-0.06 (0.09)				-0.02 (0.13)		
Angry+Race BM		0.03 (0.08)				-0.13 (0.12)		
Angry WW			0.08 (0.09)				0.18 (0.17)	
Angry+Race WW			-0.03 (0.09)				0.11 (0.15)	
Angry WM				0.05 (0.10)				0.001 (0.16)
Angry+Race WM				-0.03 (0.09)				-0.19 (0.15)
Intersectional Consciousness	0.27 *** (0.08)	0.15 * (0.08)	0.13 (0.09)	0.18 * (0.10)				
Angry BW*IC	-0.21 * (0.12)							
Angry+Race BW*IC	-0.04 (0.11)							
Angry BM*IC		0.11 (0.12)						
Angry+Race BM*IC		0.08 (0.12)						
Angry WW*IC			-0.18 (0.12)					
Angry+Race WW*IC			0.03 (0.13)					
Angry WM*IC				-0.11 (0.14)				
Angry+Race WM*IC				0.09 (0.13)				
Community Nationalism					0.53 *** (0.12)	0.43 *** (0.12)	0.43 *** (0.14)	0.24 * (0.14)
Angry BW*CN					-0.25 (0.19)			
Angry+Race BW*CN					-0.09 (0.19)			
Angry BM*CN						0.07 (0.18)		
Angry+Race BM*CN						0.28 * (0.16)		
Angry WW*CN							-0.29 (0.22)	
Angry+Race WW*CN							-0.14 (0.20)	
Angry WM*CN								-0.05 (0.21)
Angry+Race WM*CN								0.28 (0.20)
Constant	0.54 *** (0.06)	0.59 *** (0.06)	0.57 *** (0.06)	0.45 *** (0.07)	0.34 *** (0.09)	0.37 *** (0.09)	0.34 *** (0.11)	0.39 *** (0.10)
Observations	267	257	260	243	267	257	260	243
R ²	0.08	0.08	0.02	0.05	0.11	0.23	0.05	0.07
Adjusted R ²	0.06	0.06	0.004	0.03	0.09	0.21	0.03	0.05

Note: * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

C.3 Table 6: Vote Likelihood (Black Female Respondents)


Table 6: Linear regression models estimating vote likelihood for each candidate interacted with intersectional consciousness (models 1–4) and community nationalism (models 5–8) for Black female respondents. Treatment conditions include Angry and Racialized Anger. Dependent variables represent likelihood of voting for the White Man (WM), Black Man (BM), Black Woman (BW), and White Woman (WW).

	Intersectional Consciousness				Community Nationalism			
	Black Woman (1)	Black Man (2)	White Woman (3)	White Man (4)	Black Woman (5)	Black Man (6)	White Woman (7)	White Man (8)
Angry BW	-0.16 ** (0.08)				-0.11 (0.13)			
Angry+Race BW	0.06 (0.08)				0.15 (0.13)			
Angry BM		0.03 (0.09)				-0.11 (0.13)		
Angry+Race BM		-0.02 (0.09)				-0.10 (0.12)		
Angry WW			-0.16 ** (0.08)				-0.14 (0.12)	
Angry+Race WW			-0.12 (0.08)				-0.13 (0.12)	
Angry WM				0.05 (0.09)				-0.02 (0.13)
Angry+Race WM				0.02 (0.09)				-0.08 (0.13)
Intersectional Consciousness	0.20 *** (0.06)	0.12 * (0.06)	0.18 *** (0.06)	0.28 *** (0.08)				
Angry BW*IC	-0.02 (0.10)							
Angry+Race BW*IC	-0.08 (0.10)							
Angry BM*IC		0.03 (0.10)						
Angry+Race BM*IC		-0.13 (0.10)						
Angry WW*IC			0.02 (0.10)					
Angry+Race WW*IC			-0.06 (0.10)					
Angry WM*IC				0.06 (0.11)				
Angry+Race WM*IC				-0.04 (0.11)				
Community Nationalism					0.34 *** (0.09)	0.28 *** (0.09)	0.36 *** (0.09)	0.43 *** (0.10)
Angry BW*CN					-0.05 (0.14)			
Angry+Race BW*CN					0.09 (0.14)			
Angry BM*CN						-0.08 (0.14)		
Angry+Race BM*CN						0.09 (0.14)		
Angry WW*CN							0.04 (0.14)	
Angry+Race WW*CN							-0.01 (0.14)	
Angry WM*CN								-0.02 (0.15)
Angry+Race WM*CN								0.11 (0.15)
Constant	0.47 *** (0.05)	0.47 *** (0.05)	0.51 *** (0.06)	0.38 *** (0.06)	0.48 *** (0.07)	0.46 *** (0.07)	0.49 *** (0.08)	0.30 *** (0.08)
Observations	356	335	348	371	356	335	348	371
R ²	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.04
Adjusted R ²	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03

Note: * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

C.4 Figure 1

Figure 1: Candidate traits for Black and White Candidates (Black Respondents Only)



C.5 Figure 2

Figure 2: Candidate traits for Black and White Candidates (White Respondents Only)

