

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Note: Physical model and numerical algorithms

Stiff-amplified inflationary SGWB

The description of our physical model of the inflationary stochastic gravitational wave background (SGWB) is based on [1]. The primordial tensor power spectrum satisfies the power law:

$$\Delta_t^2(f) = A_t \left(\frac{f}{f_{\text{CMB}}} \right)^{n_t}, \quad (1)$$

where the tensor amplitude A_t is related to the scalar amplitude A_s by the tensor-to-scalar ratio, $r \equiv A_t/A_s$, and $k_{\text{CMB}} \equiv 2\pi f_{\text{CMB}}/c = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ is the CMB pivot scale [2]. The parameters r , n_t (tensor spectral index), and A_s (scalar amplitude) are free parameters in our model.

On top of the primordial tensor spectrum, we consider the effect that $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f)$ can be additionally blue-tilted in the presence of a *kination* phase in the early expansion history, during which the universe is dominated by the kinetic energy of a scalar field [3–5]. Kination, also known as the “stiff phase,” is characterized by an equation of state (EoS) of a stiff fluid, i.e., $w \equiv \bar{P}/\bar{\rho} = 1$ [6]. When kination is present, tensor modes that reenter the horizon during this phase contribute to the SGWB with $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f) \propto f^{n_t+1}$ [7–10], as opposed to $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f) \propto f^{n_t}$ for modes reentering during the radiation-dominated (RD) era ($w_{\text{RD}} = 1/3$). This effect, termed kination or stiff amplification of the inflationary SGWB [11, 12], is further discussed in [13–15]. [1] demonstrate that, even when the inflationary consistency relation holds ($n_t = -r/8$), the stiff-amplified SGWB can contribute several percent to the critical density during the RD era, offering a pathway to alleviate the Hubble tension via the $H_0 - N_{\text{eff}}$ degeneracy [16].

The underlying physical model remains rooted in stiff-amplified inflationary GWs, as described in prior work, with an extended parameter set:

$$\mathbf{p} = \{r, n_t, \kappa_{10}, T_{\text{re}}, \Delta N_{\text{re}}, \Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, H_0, A_s\}, \quad (2)$$

where the new parameters $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, H_0 , and A_s enable integration with cosmic microwave background (CMB) and large-scale structure (LSS) datasets.

Numerical algorithms

In the following, we review the formalism and numerical algorithm for calculating the stiff-amplified inflationary SGWB in our StiffGWpy code. The tensor transfer function is defined as $T(t, f) \equiv h(t, f)/h_{\text{ini}}(f)$, where $h(t, f)$ is the amplitude of the tensor mode at frequency f and cosmic time t , and $h_{\text{ini}}(f)$ is its initial superhorizon value. The late-time energy spectrum of the SGWB is given by:

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(t, f) \equiv \frac{d\Omega_{\text{GW}}}{d \ln f} = \Delta_t^2(f) \frac{(2\pi f)^2 T^2(t, f)}{12a^2 H^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor, and $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, with the overdot denoting the derivative with respect to cosmic time.

The time evolution of the tensor transfer function is governed by the wave equation [17]:

$$\ddot{T} + 3H\dot{T} + \left(\frac{2\pi f}{a} \right)^2 T = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the Hubble rate H reflects the influence of the universe’s expansion history and EoS on the SGWB. For modes reentering the horizon during a constant EoS era, the tensor transfer function follows a power law [9, 11], yielding:

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f) \propto \Delta_t^2(f) \left(\frac{f a_f}{H_0} \right)^2 \propto f^{n_t + \frac{2(3w_f - 1)}{1 + 3w_f}}, \quad (5)$$

where a_f is the scale factor at horizon reentry for frequency f , defined by $2\pi f \equiv a_f H(a_f)$, and w_f is the EoS parameter at that time [12].

However, the tensor wave equation (4) lacks analytical solutions in general, necessitating numerical methods. Following [1], we adopt a dynamical system approach, defining dimensionless variables for each frequency:

$$\zeta_f \equiv \ln \frac{2\pi f}{aH}, \quad x_f \equiv \frac{\dot{T}}{H}, \quad y_f \equiv \frac{2\pi f}{aH} T. \quad (6)$$

Clearly, $T(t, f) = y_f/e^{\zeta_f}$. These variables transform Eq. (4) into the dynamical system:

$$\zeta'_f = \frac{3}{2}\sigma - 1, \quad (7)$$

$$x'_f = -3x_f + \frac{3}{2}\sigma x_f - e^{\zeta_f} y_f, \quad (8)$$

$$y'_f = -y_f + \frac{3}{2}\sigma y_f + e^{\zeta_f} x_f, \quad (9)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the number of e-folds, $N \equiv \ln a$ ($dN = H dt$), and:

$$\sigma \equiv -\frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2} = \frac{\bar{\rho} + \bar{P}}{\bar{\rho}} = 1 + w. \quad (10)$$

The SGWB’s contribution to the total EoS parameter w is encoded in σ via the density fraction $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(N) \equiv \rho_{\text{GW}}/\bar{\rho} = \int \Omega_{\text{GW}}(N, f) d \ln f$. Thus, Eqs. (7–10) form a set of coupled integro-differential equations, solved numerically by StiffGWpy over a range of adaptively chosen frequencies.

Cosmological model

Our cosmological model extends the base Λ CDM framework by incorporating kination and an extended parameter set. The thermal history may begin with kination, transitioning to the radiation-dominated (RD) era before Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN). Kination is modeled as a stiff fluid ($w_s = 1$), with the kination-to-radiation transition parameterized by $\kappa_{10} \equiv (\rho_s/\rho_\gamma)|_{T=10 \text{ MeV}}$, the ratio of stiff-fluid to photon density at 10 MeV [18]. The model assumes the inflationary phase may end in a prolonged reheating epoch, dominated by coherent oscillations of the inflaton field ($w_{\text{re}} = 0$), with the end of reheating at temperature T_{re} . Unlike the original model, which fixed standard Λ CDM parameters, we now allow variation in the baryon density ($\Omega_b h^2$), cold dark matter density ($\Omega_c h^2$), Hubble constant (H_0), and scalar amplitude (A_s),

Algorithm 1 Adaptive Frequency Sampling

```
1: Input:  $\Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, H_0, A_s, r, n_t, T_{\text{re}}, \Delta N_{\text{re}}, \kappa_{10}$ 
2: Output: Frequency array  $f$  (in  $\log_{10}(f/\text{Hz})$ )
3: Compute  $N_{\text{re}}, \rho_{\text{re}}$  from  $T_{\text{re}}$  via spline interpolation ▷ Reheating e-folds and density
4:  $N_{\text{inf}} \leftarrow N_{\text{re}} + \Delta N_{\text{re}}, \rho_{\text{rad, re}} \leftarrow \rho_{\text{re}} \cdot (T_{\text{CMB}} \cdot e^{N_{10}})^4$ 
5:  $\rho_{\text{stiff, re}} \leftarrow \kappa_{10} \cdot e^{2(N_{\text{re}} - N_{10})}, A_t \leftarrow r \cdot A_s$ 
6:  $N_v \leftarrow [0, \Delta N, \dots, N_{\text{inf}}], (f_{\text{hor}}, f_{\text{re}}) \leftarrow \text{ComputeExpansionHistory}(\cdot)$ 
7:  $f_{\text{max}} \leftarrow f_{\text{hor}}[0], f_{\text{min}} \leftarrow \min(f_{\text{hor}}), f_{\text{CMB}} \leftarrow \log_{10}(f_{\text{piv}})$ 
8: if  $n_t > 0$  then
9:    $f_{\text{max}} \leftarrow \min(f_{\text{max}}, -\log_{10}(A_t)/n_t + \log_{10}(f_{\text{piv}}))$ 
10: end if
11:  $f \leftarrow [f_{\text{max}}] \cup \text{finelinearsampling} \cup \text{logarithmicsampling}$  ▷ High-frequency
12: if  $f_{\text{max}} \geq f_{\text{re}}$  then
13:    $f \leftarrow f \cup \text{adaptivelinearsamplingaround} f_{\text{re}}$  ▷ Reheating
14: end if
15: if  $\rho_{\text{stiff, re}} > \rho_{\text{rad, re}}$  then
16:    $f \leftarrow f \cup \text{adaptivelinearsamplingaround} f_{\text{sr}}$  ▷ Kinaton
17: end if
18:  $f \leftarrow f \cup \text{coarselinearsamplingto} f_{\text{CMB}}, f_{\text{min}}$  ▷ Radiation and matter phases
19:  $f \leftarrow f[f \geq f_{\text{min}}]$ 
20: return  $f$ 
```

enabling a more comprehensive exploration of cosmological effects on the SGWB. In summary, our physical model includes nine free parameters:

$$\mathbf{p} = \{r, n_t, \kappa_{10}, T_{\text{re}}, \Delta N_{\text{re}}, \Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, H_0, A_s\}, \quad (11)$$

where ΔN_{re} is the number of e-folds during reheating.

The evolution of $\sigma(N)$ exhibits key cosmological transitions, modulated by the expanded parameter set, which affects the SGWB's spectral shape. These nontrivial evolutions necessitate exact numerical solutions to the tensor wave equation or the dynamical system (7–9) for accurate predictions of $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f)$, as opposed to using fitting formulae. However, these solutions are computationally expensive, particularly due to the large number of modes required to capture the shape of $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f)$ across the frequency range. An iterative run for a fixed set of model parameters may take $\mathcal{O}(10)$ seconds. Given this computational complexity, developing a fast solver, such as our Transformer-based emulator, is essential for efficient parameter sweeps and analysis of large GW and cosmological data sets.

References

- [1] Li B, Shapiro PR. Precision cosmology and the stiff-amplified gravitational-wave background from inflation: NANOGrav, Advanced LIGO-Virgo and the Hubble tension. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 2021 Oct;2021(10):024. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/10/024>. [arXiv:2107.12229](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.12229). [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] Planck Collaboration, Akrami Y, Arroja F, Ashdown M, Aumont J, Baccigalupi C, et al. Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2020 Sep;641:A10. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833887>. [arXiv:1807.06211](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06211). [astro-ph.CO].
- [3] Spokoiny B. Deflationary Universe scenario. *Physics Letters B*. 1993 Sep;315(1-2):40–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371\(93\)90155-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90155-B). [arXiv:gr-qc/9306008](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9306008). [gr-qc].
- [4] Joyce M. Electroweak baryogenesis and the expansion rate of the Universe. *Phys. Rev. D*. 1997 Feb;55(4):1875–1878. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1875>. [arXiv:hep-ph/9606223](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9606223). [hep-ph].
- [5] Caprini C, Figueroa DG, Flauger R, Nardini G, Peloso M, Pieroni M, et al. Reconstructing the spectral shape of a stochastic gravitational wave background with LISA. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 2019 Nov;2019(11):017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/11/017>. [arXiv:1906.09244](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.09244). [astro-ph.CO].
- [6] Li B, Rindler-Daller T, Shapiro PR. Cosmological constraints on Bose-Einstein-condensed scalar field dark matter. *Phys. Rev. D*. 2014 Apr;89(8):083536. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.083536>. [arXiv:1310.6061](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.6061).
- [7] Giovannini M. Gravitational wave constraints on post-inflationary phases stiffer than radiation. *Phys. Rev. D*. 1998 Oct;58(8):083504. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.083504>. [arXiv:hep-ph/9806329](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9806329). [hep-ph].
- [8] Giovannini M. Stochastic backgrounds of relic gravitons, Λ CDM paradigm and the stiff ages. *Physics Letters B*. 2008 Sep;668(1):44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.07.107>. [arXiv:0807.1914](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.1914). [astro-ph].
- [9] Boyle LA, Steinhardt PJ. Probing the early universe with inflationary gravitational waves. *Phys. Rev. D*. 2008 Mar;77(6):063504. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.063504>. [arXiv:astro-ph/0512014](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0512014). [astro-ph].
- [10] Kuroyanagi S, Nakayama K, Saito S. Prospects for determination of thermal history after inflation with future gravitational wave detectors. *Phys.*

- Rev. D. 2011 Dec;84(12):123513. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.123513>. arXiv:1110.4169. [astro-ph.CO].
- [11] Li B, Shapiro PR, Rindler-Daller T. Bose-Einstein-condensed scalar field dark matter and the gravitational wave background from inflation: New cosmological constraints and its detectability by LIGO. Phys. Rev. D. 2017 Sep;96(6):063505. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.063505>. arXiv:1611.07961. [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] Li B, Meyers J, Shapiro PR. Multimodality in the Search for New Physics in Pulsar Timing Data and the Case of Kination-amplified Gravitational-wave Background from Inflation. Astrophys. J.. 2025 May;985(1):117. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adcc14>. arXiv:2503.18937. [astro-ph.CO].
- [13] Figueroa DG, Tanin EH. Ability of LIGO and LISA to probe the equation of state of the early Universe. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.. 2019 Aug;2019(8):011. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/08/011>. arXiv:1905.11960. [astro-ph.CO].
- [14] Gouttenoire Y, Servant G, Simakachorn P. Kination cosmology from scalar fields and gravitational-wave signatures. arXiv e-prints. 2021 Nov;p. arXiv:2111.01150. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.01150>. arXiv:2111.01150. [hep-ph].
- [15] Co RT, Dunsy D, Fernandez N, Ghalsasi A, Hall LJ, Harigaya K, et al. Gravitational wave and CMB probes of axion kination. Journal of High Energy Physics. 2022 Sep;2022(9):116. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2022\)116](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)116). arXiv:2108.09299. [hep-ph].
- [16] Smith TL, Caldwell RR. LISA for cosmologists: Calculating the signal-to-noise ratio for stochastic and deterministic sources. Phys. Rev. D. 2019 Nov;100(10):104055. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.104055>. arXiv:1908.00546. [astro-ph.CO].
- [17] Grishchuk LP. Amplification of gravitational waves in an isotropic universe. Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki. 1974 Jan;67:825–838.
- [18] Arvanitaki A, Dimopoulos S, Dubovsky S, Kaloper N, March-Russell J. String axiverse. Phys. Rev. D. 2010 Jun;81(12):123530. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.123530>. arXiv:0905.4720. [hep-th].