

SUPPORTING INFORMATION – TABLES OF SAMPLE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Full sample descriptive statistics

Our final sample included 84 landholders. Only 77 responses (59 in-person, 18 online) were within our study area, thus included in analysis. For analysis we differentiated between landholders who declared that they or their organisations had (adopters (n=31)) or had not (non-adopters (n=46)) created at least one mixed native woodland on the landholding they represented since their management started.

	Non-adopter (n=46)	Adopter (n=31)	Total (n=77)
Landholding type			
Farm	22	14	36
Estate	10	13	23
Croft	6	3	9
Nature reserve	1	1	2
Other	- Adopters (n=0) - Non-adopters (n=7): "Private home"; "Grazing for horses"; "Small holding"; "Farm and croft"; "Mini Estate"; "Field"; and "Farm and croft".		
Land ownership			
*Respondents could choose several options, 24 did so. All landholdings saw this question.			
Landowner directly managing the landholding	42	29	71
Tenant	6	4	10
Landlord	8	8	16
Licensor	3	0	3
Licensee	0	0	0
Crofter	4	0	4
Other	- Adopters (n=3): "Agent representing landowner"; "Seasonal grazing agreement"; and "Seasonal lets to others". - Non-adopters (n=0)		
Organisation type			
Family organisation	42	26	68
Non-family organisation	1	0	1
Blank response	0	1	1

Other	- Adopters (n=4): "Public body"; "Limited company"; "Sole trader"; and "Charity". - Non-adopters (n=3): "Family and non-family organisation"; "Sole trader"; and "Public body".		
Financial aims			
For profit	40	24	64
Not for profit	5	5	10
Other	- Adopters (n=2): "Mix of profit and non-profit"; and "For profit and community". - Non-adopters (n=1): "Both, profit but also charity".		
Length of management			
Under 1 year	2	0	2
1 to 4 years	1	1	2
5 to 9 years	2	2	4
10 years or more	41	27	68
Blank response	0	1	1
Land use			
*Respondents could choose several options if land uses were mixed, 43 did so. All landholdings saw this question.			
Farming	39	27	66
Forestry	14	17	31
Game/Hunting	6	14	20
Wildlife conservation	8	17	25
Public recreation	8	13	21
Private enjoyment	13	16	29
Other	- Adopter (n=5): "Holiday lets"; "Fishing"; "Land-based crafts"; "Wind farm"; and "Community involvement". - Non-adopter (n=6): "Animal therapy, gardening, meditation in the nature"; "Horse grazing"; "Grazing for horses"; "Creating small pockets of woodland"; "Holiday lets. Ponds for amenity"; and "Stables".		
Landholding size			
<50 acres	10	5	15
50 to <250 acres	12	6	18
250 to <1,250 acres	14	7	21
1,250 to <2,500 acres	3	1	4
2,500 to <5,000 acres	2	2	4
5,000 to <7,500 acres	0	2	2
7,500 to <10,000 acres	1	0	1
10,000 to <15,000 acres	0	2	2
15,000 to <20,000 acres	2	2	4
20,000 to <35,000 acres	1	1	2
35,000 to <50,000 acres	0	2	2
50,000 or more	0	1	1
Blank response	1	0	1

Organisation size			
<5 members	37	17	54
5 to 9 members	5	6	11
10 to 29 members	1	4	5
30 to 49 members	2	2	4
50 or more	1	2	3
Responsibility for woodland creation decision-making			
By a single individual	17	8	25
Collectively, by all members of the organisation	22	13	35
By a select group of individuals within the organisation	5	8	13
Do not know	0	1	1
Blanks	1	0	1
Other	Non-adopter (n=1): <i>"Following a management plan agreed with public body".</i> Adopter (n=1): <i>"Family".</i>		

New woodland descriptive statistics

A total of 48 (62%) of our 77 respondents had created new woodland since they started managing the landholding, in many cases repeatedly, but 17 (35%) of them were non-adopters since they had created woodland but not mixed native one yet.

	Non-adopter (n=17)	Adopter (n=31)	Total (n=48)
Created new woodland (mixed native or other)			
Yes	17	31	48
No	29	0	29
Created more than one new woodland (mixed native or other)			
Yes	7	22	29
No	7	7	14
Blank response	3	2	5
How was/were the new woodland(s) created?*			
<i>*Respondents could choose more than one option, 16 did so. Only landholdings that had created new woodland (mixed native or other) could answer this question.</i>			
Tree planting	13	29	42
Natural regeneration	2	15	17
Blank response	2	3	5
External sources of funding			
<i>*Respondents could choose more than one option, 2 did so. Only landholdings that had created new woodland (mixed native or other) could answer this question.</i>			
Government funding	10	25	35
Non-government funding	2	1	3
Bank loan	1	0	1
No external funding	4	2	6
Blank response	2	3	5
Other	- Adopter (n=2): "Local government funding" and "Compensated by power company for trees that were cut down". - Non-adopter (n=0): A single non-adopter chose "Other" and answered, "Farm woodland grant". The Farm Woodland Grant is a government scheme and has been counted as such.		
Type of trees			
<i>*Respondents could choose more than one option, 11 did so. Only landholdings that had created new woodland (mixed native or other) could answer this question.</i>			
Native – One species only	2	1	3
Non-native – One species only	1	0	1
Native – Mix of species	0	31	31
Non-native – Mix of species	1	3	4
Mixed – Predominantly native	6	4	10
Mixed – Predominantly non-native	6	3	9
Mixed – Even split native and non-native	2	1	3

Acreage of new woodland created			
<12 acres	7	12	19
12 to <25 acres	2	1	3
25 to <125 acres	5	8	13
125 to <250 acres	0	0	0
250 to <500 acres	1	4	5
500 to <1,000 acres	1	2	3
1,000 to <2,000 acres	1	1	2
2,000 to <4,000 acres	0	2	2
4,000 to <8,000 acres	0	0	0
8,000 or more	0	0	0
Do not know	0	1	1
Why did you decide to create new woodland?			
<i>*This question was open-ended. The categories listed here are the result of inductive coding of the responses.</i>			
Wildlife	12	4	16
Use poor land	8	5	13
Shelter	8	3	11
Amenity	4	5	9
Environment	4	3	7
Grant income	4	3	7
Aesthetics	4	3	7
Diversify habitats	5	2	7
Finances	4	1	5
Diversify business	1	3	4
Restoration	3	0	3
Carbon	3	0	3
Legacy	1	1	2
Firewood	1	1	2
Crafts materials	2	0	2
Game/hunting	2	0	2
Protect road from snow	0	1	1
Risk of losing land	0	1	1
No demand for food	0	1	1
Visual barrier	0	1	1
Flooding	0	1	1
Climate change	1	0	1
Health	1	0	1
Building materials	1	0	1
Food	1	0	1
Rewild	1	0	1
Furniture materials	1	0	1
Water quality	1	0	1
Compensation for woodland loss	1	0	1

Existing woodland descriptive statistics

Seventy percent (n=54) of the 77 landholdings in our sample contained existing woodland, either before new woodland (mixed native and others) was created (n=33 (61%)) or at present otherwise (n=21 (39%)). Thirty-nine percent (n=21) of the 54 landholdings with existing woodland were adopters (i.e. had created mixed native woodland). The rest were non-adopters (n=33 (69%)).

	Non-adopter (n=33)	Adopter (n=21)	Total (n=54)
Any existing woodland before new woodland was created or at present (if no new woodland had been created)?			
Yes	33	21	54
No	13	10	23
Type of trees in existing woodland*			
<i>*Respondents could choose more than one option, 17 (31%) did so. Only landholdings with existing woodland could answer this question.</i>			
Native – One species only	6	1	7
Non-native – One species only	7	5	12
Native – Mix of species	12	15	27
Non-native – Mix of species	5	3	8
Mixed – Predominantly native	12	8	20
Mixed – Predominantly non-native	5	9	14
Mixed – Even split native and non-native	0	0	0
Existing woodland management*			
<i>*Respondents could choose more than one option, 21 (39%) of landholdings with existing woodland did so, meaning they had a mix of management objectives for different patches of existing woodland. Only 18 (33%) of landholdings with existing woodland did not manage it at all. Two (4%) managed some bits but not others.</i>			
Coppice	1	1	2
Even-aged and clearfelling	8	14	22
Uneven-aged through regular or irregular felling	7	8	15
Managed for game/hunting	3	3	6
Actively managed for wildlife	6	8	14
Passively managed for wildlife	7	7	14
Not managed	14	6	20
Other	▪ <u>Adopter (n=0)</u> ▪ <u>Non-adopter (n=3)</u>: “Livestock shelter and firewood for own consumption”, “Natural woodland managed for enhancement by planting various native hardwood species”, and “Thinning occasionally for firewood. Shelter for livestock”.		

Acreage of existing woodland			
<12 acres	11	6	17
12 to <25 acres	6	1	7
25 to <125 acres	5	3	8
125 to <250 acres	2	1	3
250 to <500 acres	1	1	2
500 to <1,000 acres	2	2	4
1,000 to <2,000 acres	2	2	4
2,000 to <4,000 acres	0	2	2
4,000 to <8,000 acres	0	0	0
8,000 or more	2	2	4
Do not know	1	1	2