

Mathematical Proof that Simultaneous Irradiation is Not Optimal for Two Tumors

Masahiro Mizuta, Ph.D.,^a Yutaro Kabata, Ph.D.,^b Ryoya Fukasaku, Ph.D.^c, Hideki Kojima, MP^d, and Hiroki Shirato, M.D.^{e,*}

^aThe Institute of Statistical Mathematics, ^bGraduate School of Science and Engineering, Kagoshima University, ^cFaculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, ^dDepartment of Radiation Oncology, Sapporo Higashi Tokushukai Hospital, ^eGlobal Center for Biomedical Science and Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Appendix - Proof of the proposition -

If we can show the non-optimality of simultaneous irradiation for two times, we can show the non-optimality for more than three times. In the following, we focus on any two of n times of irradiation. Select any i, j ($i \neq j$) and fix the values other than $d_i^{(1)}, d_j^{(1)}, d_i^{(2)}, d_j^{(2)}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (d_i^{(1)}, d_j^{(1)}, d_i^{(2)}, d_j^{(2)})$.

It becomes a constrained maximization problem.

Constraints

$$g^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(d_i^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1}\right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1}\right)^2 = r_1$$

$$g^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(d_i^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1}\right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1}\right)^2 = r_2$$

Maximum objective function

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\alpha_0 \left(\delta_{10}^{(k)} d_i^{(1)} + \delta_{20}^{(k)} d_i^{(2)} \right) + \beta_0 \left(\delta_{10}^{(k)} d_i^{(1)} + \delta_{20}^{(k)} d_i^{(2)} \right)^2 \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left(-2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left[\sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} d_h^{(2)} - A_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} - B_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(2)} + C_k \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left(-2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left[\left(d_i^{(1)} d_i^{(2)} + d_j^{(1)} d_j^{(2)} \right) - A_k \left(d_i^{(1)} + d_j^{(1)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - B_k \left(d_i^{(2)} + d_j^{(2)} \right) + C_k \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrange function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left(-2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left[\sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} d_h^{(2)} - A_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} - B_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(2)} + C_k \right] \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda_1 \left(\left(d_i^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 \right) + \lambda_2 \left(\left(d_i^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The vector $\mathbf{x} = (d_i^{(1)}, d_j^{(1)}, d_i^{(2)}, d_j^{(2)})$ must satisfy the following two conditions

in order to be a solution to the constrained maximization problem (1).

(1) FOC (first order condition: The first-order partial derivative of $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are zero.);

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} = 0$$

(2) SOC (second order condition: Conditions on the bordered Hessian matrix consisting of second-order partial derivatives of $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$)

$$|H_3| \leq 0 \text{ and } |H_4| \geq 0,$$

where

$$H_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)^2}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(2)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)^2}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(2)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)^2}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(2)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_j^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_j^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_j^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(2)^2}} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_i^{(1)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(2)}}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_j^{(1)}} \\ \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_j^{(1)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial d_i^{(2)} \partial d_i^{(2)}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

45

46 Calculate the partial derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left(A_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. - d_i^{(2)} \right) \exp \left(-2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left[\sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} d_h^{(2)} - A_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} - B_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(2)} + C_k \right] \right) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_1 \left(d_i^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
50 \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 (B_k - d_i^{(1)}) \exp \left(-2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left[\sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} d_h^{(2)} - A_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(1)} \right. \right. \\
51 \quad &\quad \left. \left. - B_k \sum_{h=i,j} d_h^{(2)} + C_k \right] \right) + 2\lambda_2 \left(d_i^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

52 and so on.

53 Here, it is assumed that “simultaneous irradiation”, i.e., $d_i^{(1)} = d_j^{(1)}, d_i^{(2)} =$
54 $d_j^{(2)}$ satisfies the constraints (1), (2) and takes the maximum value of $f(\mathbf{x})$. From
55 (1),(2),

$$56 \quad d_i^{(1)} = d_j^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} =: d^{(1)},$$

$$57 \quad d_i^{(2)} = d_j^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} =: d^{(2)}.$$

58 From FOC i.e. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_j^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial d_j^{(2)}} = 0$, we get

$$59 \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} - A_k \right) E_k}{\sqrt{2r_1}},$$

$$60 \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} - B_k \right) E_k}{\sqrt{2r_2}}$$

61 and $|H_3|, |H_4|$ are

$$62 \quad |H_3| = 64\lambda_1 \left(d^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 \left(d^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2,$$

$$63 \quad |H_4| = 16r_1r_2 \left(4\lambda_1\lambda_2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \right) E_k \right)^2 \right),$$

64 where $E_k := \exp \left(-4\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 [d^{(1)}d^{(2)} - A_kd^{(1)} - B_kd^{(2)} + C_k/2] \right)$.

65

66 By assumption, $|H_3| \leq 0, |H_4| \geq 0$. In other words, $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ and $4\lambda_1\lambda_2 -$

67 $\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 \right) E_k \right)^2 \geq 0$. $\lambda_2 \leq 0$ is also easily derived.

68 From $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \leq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} (d^{(2)} - A_k) E_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} - A_k \right) E_k \leq 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} (d^{(1)} - B_k) E_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} - B_k \right) E_k \leq 0.$$

$$\text{Because } r_1 = \left(d_i^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 > 2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2, \quad r_2 = \left(d_i^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 + \left(d_j^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2 > 2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right)^2, \quad \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} > \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1}, \quad \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} > \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \text{ holds. We can get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 E_k \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{8\beta_0^2}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \delta_{10}^{(l)} \delta_{20}^{(l)} E_k E_l \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + A_k \right) \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{2}} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + B_l \right) \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{2}} + \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + A_k \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + B_l \right) \right) \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^N 2\delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \beta_0 E_k \right)^2 \\ &< \frac{8\beta_0^2}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(k)} \delta_{10}^{(l)} \delta_{20}^{(l)} E_k E_l \left(- \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + A_k \right) \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + B_l \right) \frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + A_k \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\beta_1} + B_l \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{2\beta_0^2}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \delta_{20}^{(l)} E_k E_l \left(-\delta_{10}^{(k)} \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0} - \delta_{20}^{(l)} \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \right) \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0} \\ &< \frac{2\alpha_0}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{20}^{(l)} E_l \left(\sqrt{2r_1} \lambda_1 - \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{10}^{(k)} \beta_0 \left(\delta_{20}^{(l)} \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \right) E_k \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts $|H_4| \geq 0$. Therefore, simultaneous irradiation does not maximize the mean survival probability of OAR.

Q.E.D.

Reference for Appendix

- (1) Mas-Colell A, Whinston M, Green J. Microeconomic theory: Oxford University Press; 1995.