

## Supplement material


**Supplemental Table 1:** Invasive FFR versus computational FFR for standardized graft sizes

| Case No. | Vessel | FFR (Invasive) | FFR (Standardized graft size) | %Error |
|----------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1        | LAD    | 0.94           | 0.95                          | 1.06   |
|          | RCA    | 0.77           | 0.82                          | 6.49   |
|          | OMI    | 0.99           | 0.98                          | -1.01  |
| 2        | LCX    | 0.99           | 0.98                          | -1.01  |
|          | RCA    | 0.98           | 0.93                          | -5.10  |
| 3        | LAD    | 0.82           | 0.84                          | 2.44   |
|          | LCX    | 0.84           | 0.81                          | -3.57  |
|          | RCA    | 0.69           | 0.70                          | 1.45   |
| 4        | LAD    | 0.79           | 0.83                          | 5.06   |
|          | RCA    | 0.92           | 0.92                          | 0.00   |

**Supplemental Figure 1:** Simple linear regression curve for computational FFR

*Illustrates simple linear regression curve for computational FFR using standardized graft size;*

FFR: Fractional flow reserve



**Supplemental Figure 2:** BA analysis of difference versus average for computational FFR.

*Illustrates BA analysis plot computational FFR using standardized graft sizes;*

FFR: Fractional flow reserve

