Supplementary Information for

1

25

2 Visual pathway origins: connectome of a human foveal retina 3 4 5 Yeon Jin Kim¹, Orin Packer¹, Thomas Macrina², Andreas Pollreisz³, Christine A. Curcio⁴, Kisuk Lee², 6 Nico Kemnitz², Dodam Ih², Tri Nguyen², Ran Lu², Sergiy Popovych², Akhilesh Halageri², J. Alexander 7 Bae², Joseph J. Strout², Stephan Gerhard⁵, Robert G. Smith⁶, Paul R. Martin⁷, Ulrike Grünert⁷, Dennis M. Dacev^{1,8,*} 8 9 10 ¹Department of Neurobiology & Biophysics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195 11 ²Zetta AI LLC, Sherrill, NY, USA 12 ³Department of Ophthalmology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria 13 ⁴Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Alabama 35294 14 ⁵Aware LLC, Rappenstrasse 19, 8307 Effretikon, Switzerland 15 ⁶Department of Neuroscience, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 16 ⁷The University of Sydney, Save Sight Institute and Discipline of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, 17 Sydney, NSW, 2000, Australia ⁸Washington National Primate Research Center, Seattle, WA 98195 18 19 20 *Corresponding author: Dennis M. Dacey (dmd@uw.edu) 21 This PDF file includes: 22 23 Supplementary Data Tables 1 to 3 24 Supplementary Notes

26 Supplementary Data Tables

| а | Su | mmary | | g | | Amacrine cells (ACs) | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|-------------|-----------|--------|
| | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | | | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | |
| | Photoreceptor | 337 | 11.2 % | | | AC2 (AII, small) | 75 | 25.6 % | |
| | Horizontal cell | 265 | 8.8 % | | | AC3 (small) | 29 | 9.9 % | |
| | Bipolar cell | 912 | 30.3 % | | | ā 5 | | | |
| | Amacrine cell | 293 | 9.7 % | | | AC6 (small) | 24 | 8.2 % | 64.5 % |
| | Ganglion cell | 599 | 19.9 % | | | AC11 (small) | 22 | 7.5 % | |
| | Müller glia | 555 | 18.5 % | | | AC5 (small) | 20 | 6.8 % | |
| | Astrocyte | 32 | 1.1 % | | | AC4 (small) | 19 | 6.5 % | |
| | Microglia | 15 | 0.5 % | | | AC7 (SAC, large) | 17 | 5.8 % | |
| | Total | 3008 | 100% | | | AC16 (large) | 11 | 3.8 % | |
| | Total | 0000 | 10070 | | | AC9 (Interplexiform, large) | 11 | 3.8 % | |
| | Non-ne | euronal cells | 3 | | | AC10 (large) | 10 | 3.4 % | |
| | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | | | AC8 (large) | 10 | 3.4 % | |
| - | Issovicovský creto | 38 35 | | | | AC19 (large) | 8 | 2.7 % | |
| | Müller glia | 555 | 92.2 % | | | | 6 | 2.0 % | 25.50 |
| | Astrocytes Microglia | 32 15 | 5.3 % 2.5 % | | | AC1 (A1?, large) | | 2.0 % | 35.5 % |
| _ | | | | | | AC12 (large) | 6 | | |
| | Total | 602 | 100 % | | | AC15 (large) | 6 | 2.0 % | |
| | Cone nh | otoreceptor | 's | | | AC17 (large) | 6 | 2.0 % | |
| _ | Conc pi | otorcocptor | | | | AC13 (large) | 5 | 1.7 % | |
| _ | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | | | AC18 (large) | 5 | 1.7 % | |
| | LM cone S cone | 297 16 | 94.9 % 5.1 % | | | AC14 (large) | 3 | 1.0 % | |
| - | Total | 313 | 100% | | | Total | 293 | 100 % | |
| | Rod and con | e nhotorece | entors | | | | | | |
| 8 | Type | Total (n) | Total (%) | h | 2 | Ganglion cells (GCs) | | | 4 |
| 5 | 202 | 20 20 | | | | Туре | Total (n) | Total (% | ·) |
| | Cone Rod | 313 24 | 92.9 % 7.1 % | | | OFF midget | 280 | 46.7 % | _ |
| - | Total | 337 | 100% | | S | OFF parasol | 13 | 2.2 % | |
| | iotai | 331 | 100% | | õ | ON midget | 256 | 42.7 % | |
| | Horiz | ontal cells | | | Major GCs | ON maget ON parasol | 13 | 2.2 % | 30 |
| - | Little of the same state | | Total (0/) | | Σ | 1 100 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m | | | |
| | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | | | SBGC | 12 | 2.0 % | - |
| | H1 H2 | 256 12 | 95.5 % 4.5 % | | S | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 6 | 1.0 % | 2 |
| - | Total | | | | G | LFGC2 (Large diffuse) | 6 | 1.0 % | |
| | iotal | 268 | 100% | | ield | LFGC3 (Recursive bistratified) | 4 | 0.7 % | |
| | Bipola | r cells (BCs) | 1 | | e F | LFGC4 (Inner/outer smooth monost | | 0.7 % | |
| - | Туре | Total (n) | Total (%) | | Large Field GCs | LFGC5 (Inner sparse) | ratified) 5 | 0.8 % | |
| | FMB | 302 | 33.1 % | | | | E00 | | 4 |
| | DB1 | 54 | 5.9 % | | | Total | 599 | 100 % | , |
| بإ | DB2 | 92 | 10.1 % | | | | | | |
| OFF | DB3 | 41 | 4.5 % | | | | | | |
| | Outer-x | 15 | 1.6 % | | | | | | |
| | DBbroad | 18 | 2.0 % | | | | | | |
| | IMB | 264 | 28.9 % | | | | | | |
| | DB4 | 34 | 3.7 % | | | | | | |
| | DB5 | 45 | 4.9 % | | | | | | |
| NO | DB6 | 12 | 1.3 % | | | | | | |
| 0 | BB | 17 | 1.9 % | | | | | | |
| | RB | 6 | 0.7 % | | | | | | |
| | Inner-x | 5 | 0.5 % | | | | | | |
| | Unidentified | 7 | 0.00/ | | | | | | |

Supplementary Data Table 1. Identification of cell populations.

100%

Unidentified

Total

912

27

| | NO | GC types | Total synapse (#) | Total percent (%) |
|-----------|----|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 22 | 1 | ON MG | 63 | 13.8 % ๅ |
| SS | 2 | OFF MG | 105 | 23.0 % |
| Major GCs | 3 | ON Parasol | 51 | 11.4 % } 94.5 % |
| /aji | 4 | OFF Parasol | 213 | 46.1 % |
| ~ | 5 | SBGC | 1 | 0.2 % |
| | 6 | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 9 | 2.0 %) |
| 300 | 7 | LFGC2 | 3 | 0.7 % |
| Field GCs | 8 | LFGC3 | 3 | 0.7 % |
| Fie | 9 | LFGC4 | 5 | 1.1 % } 5.5 % |
| Large | 10 | LFGC5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Ľa | 11 | Melanopsin? | 4 | 0.9 % |
| | 12 | Unidentified | 1 | 0.2 % |
| | | Total | 457 | 100% |

| | | Cor | ne 54 (S cone 6) | |
|-----------------|----|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | NO | GC types | Total synapse (#) | Total percent (%) |
| | 1 | ON MG | 66 | 19.4 %] |
| ပ္သိ | 2 | OFF MG | 129 | 37.9 % |
| o io | 3 | ON Parasol | 44 | 12.9 % } 94.4 % |
| Major GCs | 4 | OFF Parasol | 64 | 18.8 % |
| ~ | 5 | SBGC | 18 | 5.4 % |
| (n | 6 | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 1 | ر % 0.3 |
| SS | 7 | LFGC2 | 14 | 4.1 % |
| Large Field GCs | 8 | LFGC3 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Fie | 9 | LFGC4 | 3 | 0.9 % } 5.6 % |
| rge | 10 | LFGC5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| La | 11 | Melanopsin | 1 | 0.3 % |
| | 12 | Unidentified | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | | Total | 340 | 100% |

| 1 | La alcona manufulli de la companio | | Total percent (%) |
|----|--|---|--|
| | ON MG | 69 | 16.0 %] |
| 2 | OFF MG | 101 | 23.7 % |
| 3 | ON Parasol | 65 | 15.1 % } 88.4% |
| 4 | OFF Parasol | 139 | 33.1 % |
| 5 | SBGC | 2 | 0.5 %] |
| 6 | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 25 | 5.8 %) |
| 7 | LFGC2 | 3 | 0.7 % |
| 8 | LFGC3 | 3 | 0.7 % |
| 9 | LFGC4 | 7 | 1.6 % } 11.6 % |
| 10 | LFGC5 | 2 | 0.5 % |
| 11 | Melanopsin? | 4 | 0.9 % |
| 12 | Unidentified | 6 | 1.4 % |
| | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 4 OFF Parasol 5 SBGC 6 LFGC1 (LBGC) 7 LFGC2 8 LFGC3 9 LFGC4 10 LFGC5 11 Melanopsin? | 4 OFF Parasol 139 5 SBGC 2 6 LFGC1 (LBGC) 25 7 LFGC2 3 8 LFGC3 3 9 LFGC4 7 10 LFGC5 2 11 Melanopsin? 4 12 Unidentified 6 |

| | | Cor | ne 103 (S cone 5) | |
|-----------------|----|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | NO | GC types | Total synapse (#) | Total percent (%) |
| - | 1 | ON MG | 22 | 7.2 %] |
| SS | 2 | OFF MG | 118 | 38.8 % |
| or G | 3 | ON Parasol | 19 | 6.3 % } 92.8 % |
| Major GCs | 4 | OFF Parasol | 80 | 26.3 % |
| ~ | 5 | SBGC | 43 | 14.1 % |
| w | 6 | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 1 | 0.3 % |
| 30 | 7 | LFGC2 | 9 | 3.0 % |
| P | 8 | LFGC3 | 2 | 0.7 % |
| Large Field GCs | 9 | LFGC4 | 4 | 1.3 % } 7.2 % |
| rge | 10 | LFGC5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| La | 11 | Melanopsin | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 12 | Unidentified | 6 | 2.0 % |
| | | Total | 304 | 100% |

| | NO | GC types | Total synapse (#) | Total percent (%) |
|-----------|----|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 1 | ON MG | 39 | 13.8 % ๅ |
| SS | 2 | OFF MG | 73 | 25.8 % |
| or G | 3 | ON Parasol | 54 | 19.1 % } 93.3 % |
| Major GCs | 4 | OFF Parasol | 98 | 34.6 % |
| - | 5 | SBGC | 0 | 0.0 % |
| ,, l | 6 | LFGC1 (LBGC) | 2 | 0.7 %) |
| ğ | 7 | LFGC2 | 11 | 3.9 % |
| Field GCs | 8 | LFGC3 | 2 | 0.7 % |
| Fie | 9 | LFGC4 | 4 | 1.4 % } 6.7 % |
| Large | 10 | LFGC5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| La | 11 | Melanopsin | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 12 | Unidentified | 0 | 0.0 % J |
| | | Total | 283 | 100% |

Supplementary Data Table 2. Total excitatory synaptic output from a single cone.

| Non-neuronal cells (related to Figure S1) | <u>Microglia cells</u> <u>Astrocytes</u> <u>Müller Glia cells</u> | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Horizontal cells | H1 Horizontal cells | | | |
| (related to Figures 2C, 2D) | <u>H2 Horizontal cells</u> | | | |
| Photoreceptors (related to Figure 2A) | LM/S Cones + Rods | | | |
| | IMB (ON midget bipolar cells) | | | |
| | FMB (OFF midget bipolar cells) | | | |
| | Blue cone bipolar cells (BB cells) | | | |
| | ON diffuse bipolar cells (DB4, DB5, DB6) | | | |
| Bipolar cells | OFF diffuse bipolar cells (DB1, DB2, DB3) | | | |
| (related to Figure 3) | RB (rod bipolar) | | | |
| | <u>Outer-x</u> | | | |
| | <u>Inner-x</u> | | | |
| | <u>Giant</u> | | | |
| | DBbroad (diffuse bipolar type) | | | |
| | AC2 (AII amacrine cells) | | | |
| Amacrine cells | AC7 (starburst amacrine cells) | | | |
| (related to Figures 4, 5) | <u>AC 8</u> | | | |
| | <u>AC 11</u> | | | |
| | ON and OFF midget ganglion cells and the midget circuit | | | |
| | ON/OFF parasol ganglion cells | | | |
| Ganglion cells | Small bistratified ganglion cells | | | |
| (related to Figure 7) | LFGC1 (Large bistratified) | | | |
| (rotated to riguite r) | LFGC2 (Large diffuse) | | | |
| | LFGC3 (Recursive bistratified) | | | |
| | LFGC4 (Smooth monostratified) | | | |

Supplementary Data Table 3. Links to cells in NeuroMaps. The second columns contain clickable links to view the various neurons that make up the HFseg1 volume.

Supplementary Notes

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Descriptions of Supplementary Notes on cell type identification

Rods and cones. Rod and cone photoreceptors were distinguished by the well-established and distinctive morphology of their axon terminals, the rod small spherules (n = 24) and the large cone pedicles (n = 313). We also confirmed that the invaginating contact to the small number of rod spherules in our volume derived from subsequently identified rod bipolar cells. Note also that rods could also be distinguished from cones by the much smaller diameter of their axons within the Henle fiber layer (HFL). These features were not quantified but are evident in the segmented 3D view of the cell's morphology. Unlike in macague and marmoset retina, human S cone pedicles (n = 16) were not easily distinguished by smaller overall size, reduced ribbon synapse number or lack of telodendritic contacts with neighboring cone pedicles (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Table 1c). We therefore relied on the distinctive dendritic and axonal morphology of the blue cone bipolar type (BB) that makes selective invaginating contact with S cones and the concomitant lack of an ON-midget bipolar (IMB) connection. The L and M cone pedicles have not been previously distinguished by morphology or connectivity, with one possible exception. In the present dataset we have not yet attempted to determine if two groups of non-S cone pedicles are present and have used LM to refer to the combined L and M cone pedicles (n = 297) (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Table 1c). Horizontal cells. Morphological and connectional differences defining H1 and H2 horizontal cell types in the primate retina are well established and largely consistent in the HFseq1 volume. However, H1 cells frequently contact S cones and greatly outnumbered H2 cells. The H1 cells (n= 256, Supplementary Table 1e) were distinguished by small (~30–50 µm diameter) and profusely branched dendritic trees (Fig. 2c). Neighboring H1 cells overlapped extensively such that each cone pedicle was contacted by several H1 cells. A characteristic single, long axon-like process arose from the soma and extended to the edge of the volume within the OPL without branching. These long unbranched

processes are known to terminate in a profuse arbor that innervates rod spherules 1, 2. All H1 cell axons in HFseg1 extended beyond the volume boundaries and did not contact the rod spherules within the volume which were therefore innervated by axonal processes that originated from H1 cells outside the volume. In contrast to H1 cells, the H2 cells (n = 12, Supplementary Table 1e) showed very large, and loosely branched dendritic trees (>100 µm in diameter) that showed little dendritic overlap with neighboring H2 cells³⁻⁵ (Fig. 2d). The long H2 cell dendrites tended to converge on and contact S cones located within their fields, while only sparsely contacting LM cones (Extended Data Fig. 4). The axon of the H2 cell is also distinguishes it from the H1 cell in that it takes a meandering course, branches sparsely and gives rise to lateral elements in widely spaced cones; most of which are also S cones. Bipolar cells. In foveate primates, flat (FMB, OFF type) and invaginating (IMB, ON Type) midget bipolar cells show dominant connections to single cones and ganglion cells, whereas diffuse types contact several cones and comprise 8 previously recognized types (DB1, 2, 3a, 3b, 4, 5, 6 and Giant)⁶ (Fig. 3). A single blue cone bipolar (BB) cell type shows selective targeting of S cones (Extended Data Fig. 5), and a single rod bipolar type makes selective contact with rod spherules. It was possible to recognize and annotate all of these types in HFseg1 with the exception of the DB3a-DB3b distinction recently made in macaque and human retina⁷⁻¹² and the possible absence of the Giant type. Of the cells with distinctive cone connectivity, FMB (n = 302, Supplementary Data Table 1f) and IMB cells (264 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1f; Fig. 3a, 3b) accounted for 62% of all bipolar cells. Bluecone bipolar cells (BB cells; Fig. 3b, 3c; Extended Data Fig. 5) by contrast formed only 1.9% of all bipolar cells (17 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1f). Of the presumed OFF diffuse bipolar types we identified DB1¹³ (54 cells), DB2 (92 cells) and DB3 (41 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1f, Fig. 3a, 3c) types. We could not further divide the outer DB3 cells into previously identified DB3a, DB3b types⁷⁻¹⁰. Instead, we found only two bipolar populations that costratified in the IPL and tiled the IPL with their axonal arbors that we therefore referred to as DB2 and DB3. The DB3 cells showed large axonal fields and likely correspond to the calbindin-positive DB3a type in macague, marmoset and human retina 11, 12,

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

^{14, 15}. If this association holds then DB3b cells are either absent or present at a very low density in the foveal DB population. In the inner half of the IPL, DB4 (n = 34), DB5 (n = 45) and DB6 cells (n = 12, Supplementary Data Table 1f; Fig. 3) were distinguished by axonal morphology, non-overlapping spatial arrangement and/or stratification depth in the IPL. A small number of cells that remain to be studied in detail could include the Giant type (n = 7, Supplementary Data Table 1f). Lastly, rod bipolar cells (RB, 6 cells, 0.7% of total BCs, Supplementary Data Table 1f) made invaginating contacts 16, 17, with rod spherules and showed small unbranched axonal arbors that contained far fewer ribbon synapses (15.8 \pm 2.2 ribbons, n = 5) compared to diffuse or midget bipolar cells (see the detailed number of synapses in Extended Data Fig. 9)^{18, 19}. As presented in the Results x-type bipolar cells (n = 20, Supplementary Data Table 1f) were further distinguished by a lack of an outward extending dendrite but the presence of abundant ribbon synapses in the axonal arbor (see also Extended Data Fig. 6). DBbroad cells (n = 18, Supplementary Data Table 1f)) were distinguished by an axonal arbor that extended variably into both the outer-OFF and inner-ON IPL and was presynaptic to both ON and OFF ganglion cell types. In the OPL, the DBbroad cell dendrites remain to be completely proofread, but thus far we found only basal contacts with cone pedicles and have placed the DBbroad cells provisionally in the OFF bipolar category, though the lack of invaginating cone contacts does not preclude an ON-type response from these cells²⁰. Amacrine cells. Amacrine cell types show a great morphologically diversity and have been divided into many more types than other retinal cell classes. The result is that with a few well studied exceptions there is little consensus on the number of amacrine cell types and how they compare across species. To annotate HFseg1 amacrines we started with the high density, small field AII amacrine (AC2, n = 75 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1q) that surprisingly accounted for over 25% of the amacrines with cell bodies in the volume. These cells showed the characteristic lobular appendages making synaptic output to cone bipolar cell axon terminals and long arboreal dendrites stratified near the IPL-GCL

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

border, despite the lack of rod bipolar axon terminals at this foveal location (Figs. 4a and 5). We

113 divided all small field (< 100 µm diam) amacrine cells, including AC2, into six provisional types (AC2, 3, 114 4, 5, 6 and 11) based on dendritic morphology, spatial tiling and IPL stratification depth, pending a more 115 detailed analysis of their synaptic connectivity. Typical of the small field types, AC11 illustrated in 116 Figure 4 (n = 22, Supplementary Data Table 1g) showed fine, densely branched, dendrites that defines 117 a group of small field cells conventionally referred to as "knotty" amacrines²¹⁻²³. The broadly stratified AC11 may correspond to the strongly parvalbumin positive cells described in macague monkey²⁴. Small 118 119 field cells together accounted for ~65% of the amacrine cells in HFseg1. 120 Cells with larger (> 100 µm) dendritic fields were divided into 13 provisional types based mainly on 121 morphology (including nuclear staining pattern, see Extended Data Fig. 3) and stratification depth in the 122 IPL. Some of these types were easily classified by well documented morphology (e.g., the starburst 123 amacrine cells illustrated in Fig. 4 or the interplexiform cells illustrated in extended data Fig. 8). Almost 124 all starbursts were found in the ganglion cell layer (GCL, see Fig. 4d), where they were the most 125 numerous AC type (14/40 cells, 35%), consistent with previous estimates^{25, 26}. Other large field types like 126 AC12 (n = 6; Extended data Fig. 8; Supplementary Data Table 1g) or AC8 (10 cells, Fig. 4g, 4h; 127 Supplementary Data Table 1g) showed a stereotyped morphology and stratification pattern that made 128 them relatively easy to classify. Several large field types showed sparsely branching dendrites and 129 varied stratification patterns; for these groups and analysis of synaptic connectivity will be important for 130 confirming or extending the current amacrine cell classification. 131 Ganglion cells. The morphology of the major, relatively high density, ganglion cell types, the midget, 132 parasol and small bistratified cells is well established, and we therefore were able to annotate these 133 clearly recognized types unequivocally without the need for detailed proofreading. As reviewed in the 134 Results these cells accounted for ~96% of the total ganglion cells with cell bodies within the HFseg1 135 volume, leaving only 25 ganglion cells that remained to be characterized. 136 We divided these remaining large field cells into 5 provisional types. Large field type GC1 (LFGC1, 6

cells, Supplementary Data Table 1h; Fig. 7d, 7h) was postsynaptic to blue-cone bipolar cells and had a

dendritic tree that co-stratified with the small bistratified cells. These cells likely correspond to the large bistratified GC observed in the human fovea²⁷ and marmoset and macaque retinal periphery^{28, 29}. Consistent with input from blue-cone bipolar cells these cells showed an ON-response to S cone modulation in macaque retina²⁸. Large field GC2 (LFGC2, 6 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1h; Fig. 7i, 7l) showed broadly stratified and densely branched dendritic trees; a counterpart in monkey retina remains unclear³⁰ but similar morphology has been observed in human peripheral retina³¹. A third cell group (LFGC3, 4 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1h; Fig. 7j, 7m) stratifies across the center of IPL (Fig. 7j, 7m) and appears to correspond to previously described recursive bistratified cells, identified as ON-OFF direction selective cells in the macaque monkey retina³². A fourth cell group (LFGC4, 5 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1h; Fig. 7k, 7n) shows large cell bodies and radiate dendritic branching near the center of the IPL costratified with the inner-ON and outer-OFF parasol cells (3 inner and 2 outer stratified) and could correspond to the ON and OFF smooth mono-stratified types identified in macaque and marmoset retina^{29, 32, 33}. A fifth group of cells shows very sparsely branching dendrites stratified in the inner IPL (LFGC5, 4 cells, Supplementary Data Table 1h) that could correspond to inner melanopsin cells³⁴ (or an inner large sparse type recognized in macaque and marmoset^{29, 30}). Lastly, a few very sparsely branching GC processes (not linked to cell bodies within the volume) stratified along the outer border of the IPL were also present, likely corresponding to outer melanopsin ganglion cells³⁴. If included in our current total this would give 7 large field types and a total of 12 ganglion cell types in HFseg1. Glial cells. The common radial glia of the retina, the Müller cells (MC, n = 555, Fig. 1; Supplementary Data Table 1b), were unequivocally recognized as a clear population of cell bodies in the approximate middle of the INL with large, irregularly shaped and euchromatic nuclei and a relatively filamentous, dark cytoplasm. A sparsely distributed population of glial cells was also present in the GCL (Extended Data Figs. 1b and 3b) and provisionally identified as astrocytes³⁵ (n = 32, Supplementary Data Table 1b). Astrocyte cell bodies and cytoplasm were similar in appearance to Müller cells. However, astrocyte

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

cell bodies apposed blood vessels in the GCL (Extended Data Fig. 3b) and gave rise to multiple dendrites that extended radially into the GCL and IPL (Extended Data Fig. 1b) and tended to fasciculate along blood vessels. Dendrites of these astrocytes were also restricted to the GCL and IPL and thus did not show the thick extension to the outer retina characteristic of Müller cells (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Our identification of small population microglial cells (n = 15, Supplementary Data Table 1b) was based on a characteristic dendritic morphology, nuclear morphology distinct from both Müller cells and astrocytes (Extended Data Fig. 3a-3c) and very lightly stained cytoplasm containing apparent cellular debris. The density of microglial cells was low, consistent with previous measurements in the macaque monkey foveal retina^{36, 37}. In the current volume we have not yet attempted an analysis of blood vessel and associated pericytes, though these cellular elements are also segmented and are available to annotate and further characterize.

176 Supplementary References

- 177 1. Peichl, L., Sandmann, D. & Boycott, B.B. Comparative anatomy and function of mammalian
- horizontal cells. in Development and Organization of the Retina (ed. Chalupa & Finlay) 147-
- 179 172 (Plenum Press, New York, 1998).
- 180 2. Dacheux, R.F. & Raviola, E. Physiology of HI horizontal cells in the primate retina.
- 181 *Proc.R.Soc.Lond.B Biol.Sci.* **239**, 213-230 (1990).
- 182 3. Goodchild, A.K., Chan, T.L. & Grünert, U. Horizontal cell connections with short-wavelength-
- sensitive cones in macaque monkey retina. Vis. Neurosci. 13, 833-845 (1996).
- 184 4. Kolb, H., Mariani, A. & Gallego, A. A second type of horizontal cell in the monkey retina.
- 185 *J.Comp.Neurol.* **189**, 31-44 (1980).
- 186 5. Ahnelt, P. & Kolb, H. Horizontal cells and cone photoreceptors in human retina: A golgi-
- 187 electron microscopic study of spectral connectivity. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **343**, 406-427 (1994).
- 188 6. Grünert, U. & Martin, P.R. Cell types and cell circuits in human and non-human primate retina.
- 189 Progress in retinal and eye research, 100844 (2020).
- 190 7. Puthussery, T., et al. Kainate receptors mediate synaptic input to transient and sustained OFF
- visual pathways in primate retina. J Neurosci 34, 7611-7621 (2014).
- 192 8. Puthussery, T., Venkataramani, S., Gayet-Primo, J., Smith, R.G. & Taylor, W.R. NaV1.1 channels
- in axon initial segments of bipolar cells augment input to magnocellular visual pathways in
- the primate retina. *J Neurosci* **33**, 16045-16059 (2013).
- 195 9. Tsukamoto, Y. & Omi, N. OFF bipolar cells in macague retina: type-specific connectivity in the
- outer and inner synaptic layers. Frontiers in neuroanatomy 9, 122 (2015).
- 197 10. Tsukamoto, Y. & Omi, N. Some OFF bipolar cell types make contact with both rods and cones
- in macaque and mouse retinas. *Frontiers in neuroanatomy* **8**, 105 (2014).
- 199 11. Haverkamp, S., Haeseleer, F. & Hendrickson, A. A comparison of immunocytochemical
- 200 markers to identify bipolar cell types in human and monkey retina. Visual neuroscience **20**,
- 201 589-600 (2003).

- 12. Masri, R.A., Grünert, U. & Martin, P.R. Analysis of Parvocellular and Magnocellular Visual
- 203 Pathways in Human Retina. *J Neurosci* **40**, 8132-8148 (2020).
- 204 13. Puthussery, T., Gayet-Primo, J., Taylor, W.R. & Haverkamp, S. Immunohistochemical
- identification and synaptic inputs to the diffuse bipolar cell type DB1 in macaque retina. J
- 206 Comp Neurol **519**, 3640-3656 (2011).
- 207 14. Grünert, U., Martin, P.R. & Wässle, H. Immunocytochemical analysis of bipolar cells in the
- 208 macague monkey retina. *J.Comp.Neurol.* **348**, 607-627 (1994).
- 209 15. Weltzien, F., Percival, K.A., Martin, P.R. & Grünert, U. Analysis of bipolar and amacrine
- populations in marmoset retina. J Comp Neurol **523**, 313-334 (2015).
- 211 16. Tsukamoto, Y. & Omi, N. Multiple Invagination Patterns and Synaptic Efficacy in Primate and
- 212 Mouse Rod Synaptic Terminals. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* **63**, 11 (2022).
- 213 17. Saha, A., et al. Regional variation in the organization and connectivity of the first synapse in
- 214 the primate night vision pathway. *iScience* **26**, 108113 (2023).
- 215 18. Grünert, U. & Martin, P.R. Rod bipolar cells in the macaque monkey retina: Immunoreactivity
- 216 and connectivity. J. Neurosci. 11, 2742-2758 (1991).
- 217 19. Kolb, H., Zhang, L. & Dekorver, L. Differential staining of neurons in the human retina with
- antibodies to protein kinase C isozymes. Vis. Neurosci. 10, 341-351 (1993).
- 219 20. Calkins, D.J., Tsukamoto, Y. & Sterling, P. Foveal cones form basal as well as invaginating
- junctions with diffuse ON bipolar cells. Vision Res. 36, 3373-3381 (1996).
- 21. Polyak, S.L. *The Retina* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1941).
- 22. Mariani, A.P. Amacrine cells of the rhesus monkey retina. J.Comp. Neurol. 301, 382-400
- 223 (1990).
- 23. Boycott, B.B. & Dowling, J.E. Organization of the primate retina: light microscopy.
- 225 Philos.Trans.R.Soc.Lond.B Biol.Sci. **255**, 109-184 (1969).
- 226 24. Klump, K.E., Zhang, A.J., Wu, S.M. & Marshak, D.W. Parvalbumin-immunoreactive amacrine
- cells of macaque retina. Visual neuroscience 26, 287-296 (2009).

- 228 25. Rodieck, R.W. & Marshak, D.W. Spatial density and distribution of choline acetyltransferase
- immunoreactive cells in human, macaque, and baboon retinas. J.Comp.Neurol. 321, 46-64
- 230 (1992).
- 231 26. Zhang, C., et al. Development of ON and OFF cholinergic amacrine cells in the human fetal
- 232 retina. J Comp Neurol **527**, 174-186 (2019).
- 233 27. Kim, Y.J., Packer, O. & Dacey, D.M. A circuit motif for color in the human foveal retina.
- 234 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 121,
- 235 e2405138121 (2024).
- 236 28. Dacey, D.M., Peterson, B.B., Robinson, F.R. & Gamlin, P.D. Fireworks in the primate retina: in
- vitro photodynamics reveals diverse LGN-projecting ganglion cell types. *Neuron* **37**, 15-27
- 238 (2003).
- 29. Masri, R.A., Percival, K.A., Koizumi, A., Martin, P.R. & Grünert, U. Survey of retinal ganglion cell
- 240 morphology in marmoset. *J Comp Neurol* **527**, 236-258 (2019).
- 30. Yamada, E., Bordt, A. & Marshak, D. Wide-field ganglion cells in macaque retinas. *Visual*
- 242 neuroscience **22**, 383-393 (2005).
- 243 31. Peterson, B.B. & Dacey, D.M. Morphology of wide-field bistratified and diffuse human retinal
- ganglion cells. *Visual neuroscience* **17**, 567-578 (2000).
- 245 32. Kim, Y.J., et al. Origins of direction selectivity in the primate retina. Nature communications
- 246 **13**, 2862 (2022).
- 247 33. Crook, J.D., et al. The smooth monostratified ganglion cell: evidence for spatial diversity in the
- 248 Y-cell pathway to the lateral geniculate nucleus and superior colliculus in the macaque
- 249 monkey. J Neurosci 28, 12654-12671 (2008).
- 250 34. Liao, H.W., et al. Melanopsin-expressing ganglion cells on macaque and human retinas form
- 251 two morphologically distinct populations. *J Comp Neurol* **524**, 2845-2872 (2016).
- 252 35. Masri, R.A., Greferath, U., Fletcher, E.L., Martin, P.R. & Grünert, U. Immunohistochemistry and
- spatial density of Müller cells in the human fovea. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual*
- 254 science in press (2025).

- 36. Singaravelu, J., Zhao, L., Fariss, R.N., Nork, T.M. & Wong, W.T. Microglia in the primate macula:
- specializations in microglial distribution and morphology with retinal position and with aging.
- 257 Brain Struct Funct **222**, 2759-2771 (2017).

- 258 37. Goyal, M., Bordt, A.S., Neitz, J. & Marshak, D.W. Trogocytosis of neurons and glial cells by
- 259 microglia in a healthy adult macaque retina. Sci Rep 13, 633 (2023).