

## Electronic Supplementary Material 7 – Sensitivity analysis outputs

### A. Quantitative robustness check

The table below compares key descriptive statistics computed with all five included studies versus with only the two high-quality studies ( $JBI \geq 8$ ). Removing the three moderate-quality studies did not change the median ethnospices richness or the relative ranking of management-practice and threat categories.

	All studies (n = 5)	High-quality only (n = 2)	Change
Median ethnospices richness	10	10	—
Top ranked management practice	Hive translocation	Hive translocation	No change
Top perceived threat	Deforestation	Deforestation	No change

### B. Qualitative thematic robustness

Reflexive thematic analysis in NVivo 14 identified three major themes: “Taxonomic acuity and nomenclatural diversity”, “Customary management and reciprocity”, and “Land-use change as an existential threat”. Re-coding only the two high-quality studies confirmed the presence of all three themes, with no additional dominant categories emerging.

A full NVivo comparison report (coding stripes and node matrices) is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.