

	ponding author(s):  odated by author(s):
Ma	chine Learning Checklist v1.1
intend develo	Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is ed to provide structure for consistency and transparency in reporting of works using or ping Machine Learning models. Some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but its must be completed for clarity.
1. Av	ailability and reproducibility of Code and Data
Please	select all that apply regarding the availability of the data and code used in the study.
	<ul> <li>□ Code will be included in a CodeOcean capsule.</li> <li>□ The source code is included in the submission or available in a public repository:</li> </ul>
	$\square$ A <b>compiled standalone version</b> of the software is included in the submission or available in a public repository:
	☐ A <b>test dataset</b> and instructions/scripts for replicating the results are included in the submission or available in a public repository:
	☐ A <b>Readme file</b> with instructions for installing and running the code is included in the submission or available in a public repository:
	<ul> <li>☐ The code is made available to reviewers during review.</li> <li>☐ Pretrained models are used in the study and accessible through:</li> </ul>
	☐ Pretrained models are used in the study and are not accessible. ☐ The paper contains information on how to obtain code and data after publication.
2. <b>Da</b>	tasets
Α.	All data sources are listed in the paper.  ☐ Yes ☐ No
В.	The train, test and validation datasets are publicly available, and links/accession numbers have been provided in the manuscript or supplementary materials.  ☐ Yes

 $\square$  No



C.	We have reported and discussed potential dataset biases in the paper. Where applicable, appropriate mitigation strategies were used.    Yes
	□ No
D.	The data cleaning and preprocessing steps are clearly and fully described, either in text or as a code pipeline.  ☐ Yes
	□ No
E.	Instances of combining data from multiple sources are clearly identified, and potential issues mitigated.  □ Yes
	□ No
3 Mc	odel and training
А. В.	What model architecture is the current model based on?A Model Card is provided¹.  ☐ Yes ☐ No
C.	The model clearly splits data into different sets for training (model selection), validation (hyperparameter optimization), and testing (final evaluation).  ☐ Yes ☐ No
D.	The method of data splitting (e.g. random, cluster- or time-based splitting, forward cross-validation) is clearly stated.  Yes  No
E.	The data splitting mimics anticipated real-world applications.         No
F.	The data splitting procedure has been chosen to avoid data leakage.  Yes  No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://huggingface.co/docs/hub/model-cards



G.	The interpretability of the model has been studied and clearly validated.    Yes
	□ No
4. Eva	aluation
Α.	The performance metrics used are described and justified in the paper.  Yes  No
В.	Cross-validation of the results is included.  ☐ Yes ☐ No
C.	Community-accepted benchmark datasets/tasks are used for comparisons.  Yes
D.	Baseline comparisons to simple/trivial models (for example, 1-nearest neighbour, random forest, most frequent class) are provided.
E.	Benchmarks with current state-of-the-art are provided.  ☐ Yes
F.	Ablation experiments are included.  □ Yes □ No
G.	The model has been tested on a fully independent dataset.  ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Co	mputational resources
A.	The paper contains information on hardware/computing resources that were used.  ☐ Yes ☐ No
B.	The paper includes information on the computational costs in terms of computation time, parallelization or carbon footprints estimates.  ☐ Yes ☐ No