nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Ivan Jakovlić

Last updated by author(s): Jun 6, 2025

# Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

## Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

| n/a | Confirmed | |
|---|---|---|
| ☐ | ☒ | The exact sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| ☒ | ☐ | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| ☐ | ☒ | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided<br>*Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.* |
| ☐ | ☒ | A description of all covariates tested |
| ☐ | ☒ | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| ☐ | ☒ | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| ☐ | ☒ | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. *F*, *t*, *r*) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and *P* value noted<br>*Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.* |
| ☐ | ☒ | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| ☐ | ☒ | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| ☐ | ☒ | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's *d*, Pearson's *r*), indicating how they were calculated |

*Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.*

## Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

| | |
|---|---|
| Data collection | Features of all mitogenomes of bilaterian animals available in the NCBI's manually curated RefSeq database were extracted and comparative analyses conducted using PhyloSuite. |
| Data analysis | Features of all mitogenomes were extracted, duplicated genes resolved, and comparative analyses conducted using PhyloSuite. Phylogeny was inferred using IQ-TREE v2.2.0.7.mix. We conducted the ancestral mitogenomic architecture inference using TreeREx and MLGO. Mitogenomic gene order rearrangement rate (GORR) values were inferred using using the Breakpoint Distance measure in CREX. The Maximum Likelihood method in BayesTraits v4.0.1 was used to infer the ancestral states of strand distribution of genes. Pairwise comparisons of GORR values between different groups were conducted using Tukey HSD tests in the R package agricolae v1.3.5. Effect sizes were inferred using the R package effsize. Statistical power analyses were conducted for each effect size measure using the pwr.t2n.test method in the R package pwr. Bayesian hypothesis testing was conducted using BayesFactor. As the dataset violated the assumption of independence of data, two algorithms designed to account for phylogenetic relatedness were used to conduct multilevel linear regression analyses: linear fixed-effect models accounting for kinship implemented in the lmekin function in coxme v2.2.16, and phylogenetic multilevel Bayesian models implemented in brms v2.16.150. For these analyses, we used a matrix of phylogenetic distances extracted using the ape v5.5 package. The R2 value of lmekin models was calculated using the r.squaredLR method available in the MuMIn v1.46.0 package in R. The Bayesian R2 value was inferred using the bayes_r2 function of brms package in R. Custom codes are available from Zhang, D. (2025). Source codes for "The evolution of mitogenomic architecture in Bilateria". Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15447525 |

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

## Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data used in this study were retrieved from the NCBI's GenBank databases (RefSeq and Nucleotide). The full list of Accession Numbers used in the main dataset comprising 10,860 mitogenomes is provided in Dataset 1: Worksheet S1. Accession Numbers of additional (non-RefSeq) mitogenomes are provided in figures within the Supplementary file.

## Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with human participants or human data. See also policy information about sex, gender (identity/presentation), and sexual orientation and race, ethnicity and racism.

| | |
|---|---|
| Reporting on sex and gender | N.A. |
| Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings | N.A. |
| Population characteristics | N.A. |
| Recruitment | N.A. |
| Ethics oversight | N.A. |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☐ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☒ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| | |
|---|---|
| Sample size | *Describe how sample size was determined, detailing any statistical methods used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient.* |
| Data exclusions | *Describe any data exclusions. If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, describe the exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.* |
| Replication | *Describe the measures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this OR if there are any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why.* |
| Randomization | *Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled OR if this is not relevant to your study, explain why.* |
| Blinding | *Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, describe why OR explain why blinding was not relevant to your study.* |

# Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| | |
|---|---|
| Study description | *Briefly describe the study type including whether data are quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods (e.g. qualitative cross-sectional, quantitative experimental, mixed-methods case study).* |
| Research sample | *State the research sample (e.g. Harvard university undergraduates, villagers in rural India) and provide relevant demographic information (e.g. age, sex) and indicate whether the sample is representative. Provide a rationale for the study sample chosen. For* |

studies involving existing datasets, please describe the dataset and source.

| | |
|---|---|
| Sampling strategy | *Describe the sampling procedure (e.g. random, snowball, stratified, convenience). Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient. For qualitative data, please indicate whether data saturation was considered, and what criteria were used to decide that no further sampling was needed.* |
| Data collection | *Provide details about the data collection procedure, including the instruments or devices used to record the data (e.g. pen and paper, computer, eye tracker, video or audio equipment) whether anyone was present besides the participant(s) and the researcher, and whether the researcher was blind to experimental condition and/or the study hypothesis during data collection.* |
| Timing | *Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort.* |
| Data exclusions | *If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, provide the exact number of exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.* |
| Non-participation | *State how many participants dropped out/declined participation and the reason(s) given OR provide response rate OR state that no participants dropped out/declined participation.* |
| Randomization | *If participants were not allocated into experimental groups, state so OR describe how participants were allocated to groups, and if allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled.* |

# Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| | |
|---|---|
| Study description | Study description<br>We extracted mitogenomic gene orders and sizes for 10,860 bilaterian species, and conducted comparative analyses of mitogenomic evolutionary rates among major radiations. The dataset was categorised according to the lifestyle into endoparasites, ectoparasites, parasitoids, micropredators, and free-living species. According to the locomotory capacity, the dataset was divided into high, intermediate, and low categories. Further details and rationale are provided in our previous study (doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42095-8). First we inferred the ancestral bilaterian mitogenomic architecture, and then used it to infer gene order rearrangement rates (GORR) for all individual species. GORR and mitogenome sizes were then compared among all major bilaterian lineages (order and above) and among different lifestyle and locomotory capacity categories. Further tests were conducted to infer correlations between GORR, size, and branch length (used to represent sequence evolutionary rate). To infer branch lengths, we used the phylogeny from our previous study (doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42095-8), reconstructed using concatenated amino acid sequences of 12 mitochondrial protein-coding genes (atp8 removed) of all mitogenomes in the dataset, and mtZOA+G4+F+C50 model in IQ-TREE v2.2.0.7.mix. We constrained the phylum-level topology according to the bilaterian phylogeny in Laumer et al. (2019), with Xenacoelomorpha as the sister lineage to all other bilaterians. The molecular sequence evolution rate was defined as the root-to-tip branch lengths, which were extracted using the TreeSuite function in PhyloSuite.<br>In addition, all mitogenomes were classified into two categories according to the strand distribution of genes: double-stranded (genes encoded on both strands) and single-stranded (all genes encoded on a single strand); and then the Maximum Likelihood method in BayesTraits v4.0.1 was used to infer the ancestral states of strand distribution of genes. We then interred the evolutionary history of strandedness in Bilateria. To get a better resolution, for strandedness analyses, we further accessed complete GenBank mitogenomic datasets (as opposed to only RefSeq) for all poorly represented major lineages, and for all lineages with complex evolutionary histories. |
| Research sample | Bilateria: 10,860 mitogenomes from the RefSeq database, supplemented by additional mitogenomes from the Nucleotide database for strandedness analyses. |
| Sampling strategy | All data used in this study were retrieved from the NCBI's GenBank databases (RefSeq and Nucleotide). The main dataset (10,860) mitogenomes comprised all mitogenomes from the curated RefSeq database. To obtain a better resolution for strandedness analyses, we further downloaded complete mitogenomic datasets from the NCBI's Nucleotide database (as opposed to only RefSeq) for all poorly represented major lineages, and for all lineages with complex evolutionary histories. |
| Data collection | Life history and locomotory capacity data were collected from a wide range of internet sources by Ivan Jakovlic, Dong Zhang, and Chuan-Yu Xiang. |
| Timing and spatial scale | Data were collected from NCBI's databases, RefSeq and Nucleotide, between March 2022 and May 2025. |
| Data exclusions | Mitogenomes with missing key genes (protein-coding or rRNA) were excluded from the dataset used for CREX analyses (used to calculate mitogenomic GORR values using the Breakpoint Distance measure) as this algorithm cannot handle missing genes. This included the entire phylum Chaetognatha, because all available species are missing the atp6. |
| Reproducibility | We tested all major hypotheses using multiple statistical tests. For example, for pairwise comparisons of GORR between lifestyle and locomotory capacity, we relied on Tukey HSD pairwise tests, phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) ANOVA tests, Effect Sizes, Statistical power analyses, Bayesian hypothesis testing, and two algorithms designed to account for phylogenetic relatedness were used to conduct multilevel linear regression analyses: linear fixed-effect models accounting for kinship implemented in the lmekin function in coxme v2.2.16, and phylogenetic multilevel Bayesian models implemented in brms v2.16.150. In this way we ensured that our findings are not statistical flukes. |
| Randomization | We subdivided the dataset according to major taxonomic categories (superphyla, phyla, classes, and orders), and repeated all key statistical analyses using different taxonomic levels in order to identify any putative outliers at lower taxonomic levels. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Blinding | As lifestyle and locomotory capacity categorisations were conducted before we inferred GORR, size, and branch length values, blinding was not relevant for the design of this study. |

Did the study involve field work? ☐ Yes ☒ No

## Field work, collection and transport

| | |
|---|---|
| Field conditions | *Describe the study conditions for field work, providing relevant parameters (e.g. temperature, rainfall).* |
| Location | *State the location of the sampling or experiment, providing relevant parameters (e.g. latitude and longitude, elevation, water depth).* |
| Access & import/export | *Describe the efforts you have made to access habitats and to collect and import/export your samples in a responsible manner and in compliance with local, national and international laws, noting any permits that were obtained (give the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).* |
| Disturbance | *Describe any disturbance caused by the study and how it was minimized.* |

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

### Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|---|---|
| ☒ | ☐ Antibodies |
| ☒ | ☐ Eukaryotic cell lines |
| ☒ | ☐ Palaeontology and archaeology |
| ☒ | ☐ Animals and other organisms |
| ☒ | ☐ Clinical data |
| ☒ | ☐ Dual use research of concern |
| ☒ | ☐ Plants |

### Methods

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|---|---|
| ☒ | ☐ ChIP-seq |
| ☒ | ☐ Flow cytometry |
| ☒ | ☐ MRI-based neuroimaging |

## Antibodies

| | |
|---|---|
| Antibodies used | *Describe all antibodies used in the study; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.* |
| Validation | *Describe the validation of each primary antibody for the species and application, noting any validation statements on the manufacturer's website, relevant citations, antibody profiles in online databases, or data provided in the manuscript.* |

## Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

| | |
|---|---|
| Cell line source(s) | *State the source of each cell line used and the sex of all primary cell lines and cells derived from human participants or vertebrate models.* |
| Authentication | *Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.* |
| Mycoplasma contamination | *Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.* |
| Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register) | *Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.* |

## Palaeontology and Archaeology

| | |
|---|---|
| Specimen provenance | *Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information). Permits should encompass collection and, where applicable, export.* |

| | |
|---|---|
| Specimen deposition | *Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.* |
| Dating methods | *If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.* |

☐ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

| | |
|---|---|
| Ethics oversight | *Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.* |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

| | |
|---|---|
| Laboratory animals | *For laboratory animals, report species, strain and age OR state that the study did not involve laboratory animals.* |
| Wild animals | *Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.* |
| Reporting on sex | *Indicate if findings apply to only one sex; describe whether sex was considered in study design, methods used for assigning sex. Provide data disaggregated for sex where this information has been collected in the source data as appropriate; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex-based analysis.* |
| Field-collected samples | *For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.* |
| Ethics oversight | *Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.* |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

| | |
|---|---|
| Clinical trial registration | *Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.* |
| Study protocol | *Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.* |
| Data collection | *Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.* |
| Outcomes | *Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.* |

## Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

### Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

| No | Yes | |
|---|---|---|
| ☐ | ☐ | Public health |
| ☐ | ☐ | National security |
| ☐ | ☐ | Crops and/or livestock |
| ☐ | ☐ | Ecosystems |
| ☐ | ☐ | Any other significant area |

## Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

| No | Yes | |
|---|---|---|
| ☐ | ☐ | Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective |
| ☐ | ☐ | Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents |
| ☐ | ☐ | Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent |
| ☐ | ☐ | Increase transmissibility of a pathogen |
| ☐ | ☐ | Alter the host range of a pathogen |
| ☐ | ☐ | Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities |
| ☐ | ☐ | Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin |
| ☐ | ☐ | Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents |

# Plants

Seed stocks

*Report on the source of all seed stocks or other plant material used. If applicable, state the seed stock centre and catalogue number. If plant specimens were collected from the field, describe the collection location, date and sampling procedures.*

Novel plant genotypes

*Describe the methods by which all novel plant genotypes were produced. This includes those generated by transgenic approaches, gene editing, chemical/radiation-based mutagenesis and hybridization. For transgenic lines, describe the transformation method, the number of independent lines analyzed and the generation upon which experiments were performed. For gene-edited lines, describe the editor used, the endogenous sequence targeted for editing, the targeting guide RNA sequence (if applicable) and how the editor was applied.*

Authentication

*Describe any authentication procedures for each seed stock used or novel genotype generated. Describe any experiments used to assess the effect of a mutation and, where applicable, how potential secondary effects (e.g. second site T-DNA insertions, mosiacism, off-target gene editing) were examined.*

# ChIP-seq

## Data deposition

☐ Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

☐ Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links
*May remain private before publication.*

*For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.*

Files in database submission

*Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.*

Genome browser session
(e.g. UCSC)

*Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.*

## Methodology

Replicates

*Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.*

Sequencing depth

*Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.*

Antibodies

*Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.*

Peak calling parameters

*Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.*

Data quality

*Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.*

Software

*Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.*

# Flow Cytometry

## Plots

Confirm that:

☐ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

☐ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

☐ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

☐ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

## Methodology

| | |
|---|---|
| Sample preparation | *Describe the sample preparation, detailing the biological source of the cells and any tissue processing steps used.* |
| Instrument | *Identify the instrument used for data collection, specifying make and model number.* |
| Software | *Describe the software used to collect and analyze the flow cytometry data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.* |
| Cell population abundance | *Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.* |
| Gating strategy | *Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined.* |

☐ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

# Magnetic resonance imaging

## Experimental design

| | |
|---|---|
| Design type | *Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.* |
| Design specifications | *Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.* |
| Behavioral performance measures | *State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).* |

## Acquisition

| | |
|---|---|
| Imaging type(s) | *Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.* |
| Field strength | *Specify in Tesla* |
| Sequence & imaging parameters | *Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.* |
| Area of acquisition | *State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.* |

Diffusion MRI ☐ Used ☐ Not used

## Preprocessing

| | |
|---|---|
| Preprocessing software | *Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).* |
| Normalization | *If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.* |
| Normalization template | *Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.* |
| Noise and artifact removal | *Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).* |
| Volume censoring | *Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.* |

## Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings

*Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).*

Effect(s) tested

*Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.*

Specify type of analysis: ☐ Whole brain ☐ ROI-based ☐ Both

Statistic type for inference

*Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.*

(See Eklund et al. 2016)

Correction

*Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).*

## Models & analysis

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|---|---|
| ☐ | ☐ Functional and/or effective connectivity |
| ☐ | ☐ Graph analysis |
| ☐ | ☐ Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis |

Functional and/or effective connectivity

*Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).*

Graph analysis

*Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).*

Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis

*Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.*