Corresponding author(s): _____

Last updated by author(s): _____

Machine Learning Checklist V 1.0

1. Availability and reproducibility of Code and Data

Please select all that apply regarding the availability of the data and code used in the study.

☐ Code will be included in a CodeOcean capsule.

☐ The source code is included in the submission or available in a public repository: _____ (url)

☐ A compiled standalone version of the software is included in the submission or available in a public repository: _____ (url)

☐ A test dataset and instructions/scripts for replicating the results are included in the submission or available in a public repository: _____ (url)

☐ A Readme file with instructions for installing and running the code is included in the submission or available in a public repository: _____ (url)

☐ The code is made available to reviewers during review.

☐ Pretrained models are used in the study and accessible through: _____ (url)

☐ Pretrained models are used in the study and are not accessible.

☐ The paper contains information on how to obtain code and data after publication.

2. Datasets

A. All data sources are listed in the paper.
☐ Yes
☐ No (please justify) _____

B. The train, test and validation datasets are publicly available and links/accession numbers have been provided in the manuscript or supplementary materials.
☐ Yes
☐ No (please justify) _____

C. We have reported and discussed potential dataset biases in the paper. Where applicable, appropriate mitigation strategies were used.
☐ Yes (please specify section) _____
☐ No (please justify) _____

D. The data cleaning and preprocessing steps are clearly and fully described, either in text or as a code pipeline.

☐ Yes (please specify section) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

E. Instances of combining data from multiple sources are clearly identified, and potential issues mitigated.

☐ Yes (please specify section) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

3. Model and training

A. What model architecture is the current model based on? _____

B. A Model Card is provided[1].

☐ Yes

☐ No

C. The model clearly splits data into different sets for training (model selection), validation (hyperparameter optimization), and testing (final evaluation).

☐ Yes

☐ No

D. The method of data splitting (e.g. random, cluster- or time-based splitting, forward cross-validation) is clearly stated.

☐ Yes (please specify) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

E. The data splitting mimics anticipated real-world applications.

☐ Yes

☐ No

F. The data splitting procedure has been chosen to avoid data leakage.

☐ Yes (please specify) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

G. The interpretability of the model has been studied and clearly validated.

☐ Yes (please specify section) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

4. Evaluation

A. The performance metrics used are described and justified in the paper.

☐ Yes (please specify section) _____

☐ No (please justify) _____

[1] https://huggingface.co/docs/hub/model-cards

B. Cross-validation of the results is included.
☐ Yes
☐ No

C. Community-accepted benchmark datasets/tasks are used for comparisons.
☐ Yes (please specify) _____
☐ No

D. Baseline comparisons to simple/trivial models (for example, 1-nearest neighbour, random forest, most frequent class) are provided.
☐ Yes
☐ No

E. Benchmarks with current state-of-the-art are provided.
☐ Yes
☐ No

F. Ablation experiments are included.
☐ Yes
☐ No

G. The model has been tested on a fully independent dataset.
☐ Yes
☐ No

5. Computational resources

A. The paper contains information on hardware/computing resources that were used.
☐ Yes
☐ No

B. The paper includes information on the computational costs in terms of computation time, parallelization or carbon footprints estimates.
☐ Yes
☐ No