

Supplementary Information

APT analysis of W enrichments at exsolution boundaries

Utilizing the proxigram technique for a comprehensive 3D compositional analysis perpendicular to iso-concentration surfaces²¹, elemental gradients from the exterior to the interior of MgO nanoparticles were quantified (Extended Data Fig. 1) from the atom probe tomography (APT) results. This revealed an exterior rich in Fe (84 at%) and Ni (7 at%), with lower average concentrations of Mg and O of 2.3 at% and 4.8 at%, respectively, which increased towards the nanoparticle cores. As the gradient moved inward, the contents of Fe and Ni decreased, while those of Mg and O increased, reaching approximately 40 at% and 52 at%, respectively. Notably, the average W content rose from 0.5 at% at the exterior to 1.8 at% at the boundaries, then dropped to 0.2 at% inside the MgO nanoparticles, revealing W enrichment at the MgO boundaries, likely due to W adsorption on the core-exsolved oxides. At these boundaries, Fe and Mg contents were approximately 28 at%, and the W content peaked at 1.8 at% with a thickness of about 3 nm. Additionally, we observed that W tails approximately 6 nm and 3 nm thick on the Fe and MgO regions, respectively. Trace elements such as Pt were primarily found in Fe-rich areas and showed no enrichment at the MgO boundaries.

Further analysis of a 10-nm-thick thin section from the specimen's midsection intersected two MgO nanoparticles, providing insights into the spatial distribution of W, particularly at the nanoparticle boundaries (Extended Data Fig. 10). The right MgO nanoparticle visually displayed a higher W content around its boundary. However, no obvious W was observed at the boundaries of one MgO nanoparticles on the left side of the section, suggesting variations in W content at the nanoparticle boundaries. Two regions of interest (ROIs) of dimensions $3 \times 5 \times 100$ nm (Cube ID: 17) and $3 \times 5 \times 60$ nm (Cube ID: 4) were defined within this thin section. The first ROI traversed two large MgO nanoparticles, and the second passed through a large and a small nanoparticle. 1D concentration profile along the long edges of these ROIs confirmed W enrichment at the boundaries of large MgO nanoparticles, and show W enriched within small MgO nanoparticles (Extended Data Fig. 10). To further evaluate W thickness at the MgO boundaries, a single MgO nanoparticles on the right was selected. A cylindrical ROI, perpendicular to the relatively flat MgO boundary, was established with a diameter of 2 nm (Extended Data Fig. 11a). The element distribution at the MgO nanoparticle's boundary (Extended Data Fig. 11b) showed W enrichment at the boundary, with minimal W detected within the adjacent Fe and MgO regions. The W thickness at the MgO nanoparticle boundary was also about 3 nm.

APT analysis revealed W enrichment at the MgO nanoparticle boundaries, with an average W content of 1.8 at% and an average thickness of about 3 nm. The average W content inside the MgO nanoparticle was about 0.2 at%, and the average content within the Fe region was approximately 0.5 at%.

Size of the ferropericlase exsolution

As discussed in our recent study⁵⁹, the size of ferropericlase nanoparticles exsolved from the core is controlled by two key parameters: 1) the critical nucleus size, i.e., the minimum size from which an particle is thermodynamically stable; 2) the critical size above which the particle's buoyancy overcomes convective stirring, causing it to rise and ascend into the

43 mantle. The critical nucleus size is given by

$$d_{\text{nuc}} = \frac{2\sigma}{\Delta G} \quad (1)$$

44 Here, σ represents the interfacial free energy between the oxides and the core fluid, and ΔG
 45 denotes the Gibbs free energy difference per unit volume between the liquid and solid phases
 46 during exsolution. Interfacial energies under core-mantle boundary (CMB) conditions are
 47 poorly constrained. We estimate this parameter using the interfacial energy for solid MgO and
 48 molten steel under ambient pressure ($\sim 1.8 \text{ J m}^{-2}$)⁶⁰. The value of ΔG can be estimated using
 49 a thermodynamic database⁶¹. This yields critical nucleus sizes of $\sim 3 \text{ nm}$ for ferropericase.

50 While ferropericase is naturally buoyant in the metallic outer core, the strong convective
 51 flow may carry exsolved crystals if the force of convective entrainment exceeds gravitational
 52 settling. To determine the minimum crystal size required for sedimentation towards the CMB,
 53 we estimate the critical exsolution size using

$$d_{\text{sed}} = \sqrt{\frac{\eta_c \alpha g F}{C_p}} \frac{1}{\Delta \rho_c g S_h} \quad (2)$$

54 In this equation, α , η_c , and C_p represent the thermal expansion coefficient ($2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$)⁶²,
 55 viscosity (0.01 Pa s)⁶³, and isobaric heat capacity ($800 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$)⁶² of the outer core,
 56 respectively; F is the heat flux across the CMB (25 TW)⁶⁴; g (10 m s^{-2}) is gravitational
 57 acceleration; and $\Delta \rho$ ($\sim 5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) is the density difference between the core fluid and exsolved
 58 ferropericase⁹. The Shields number (S_h) is a dimensionless parameter representing the ratio
 59 of tangential stress from convective flow to buoyancy stress. Sedimentation occurs when S_h
 60 falls below 0.1 – 0.2 ⁶⁵. The critical radius given by this equation is $\sim 2 \text{ nm}$.

61 Therefore, the radius of core-exsolved ferropericase nanoparticles is set to 2 – 3 nm in our
 62 calculations and the radius-dependent partition coefficients are shown in Extended Data Fig.
 63 12.

64 **W isotope fractionation during adsorption**

65 The adsorption of metal species onto mineral surfaces may lead to significant isotopic frac-
 66 tionation⁶⁶. Potential fractionation of W isotopes during the adsorption process may prevent
 67 core-derived W from fully retaining the $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ value of the core, which may, in turn,
 68 impact our interpretation of $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ anomalies in OIBs. Although the isotopic fractionation
 69 of W between the oxide surfaces and metallic liquid has not been studied, previous experi-
 70 ments suggest that lighter W isotopes are preferentially adsorbed on both Fe and Mn oxides
 71 from the aqueous solution with the equilibrium fractionation $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}}$ values of
 72 $0.76 \pm 0.09\text{‰}$ for ferrihydrite and $0.88 \pm 0.21\text{‰}$ for $\delta\text{-MnO}_2$ ⁶⁷. Here $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}} =$
 73 $\delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{dissolved}} - \delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{adsorbed}}$, where $\delta^{186/183}\text{W}$ denotes the deviations in $^{186}\text{W}/^{183}\text{W}$
 74 from a laboratory standard in per mil. However, these results were obtained at 25°C , and
 75 isotopic fractionation significantly diminishes at very high temperatures⁶⁸.

76 The isotopic fractionation as a function of temperature is well fitted using the following
 77 polynomial^{69–71}

$$\Delta_{A-B} = 1000 \ln \alpha_{A-B} = ax + bx^2 + cx^3 \quad (3)$$

78 where $x = 10^6/T^2$; T is the temperature in Kelvin; Δ is the equilibrium isotope fractionation
 79 between phases A and B in per mil (e.g., $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}}$); α is the isotopic fractionation
 80 factor; a , b , and c are constants. The first term in Eq. 3 is usually the dominant term at room
 81 temperature and above⁶⁹⁻⁷¹, where the Δ_{A-B} value is approximately proportional to $1/T^2$ ⁶⁸.
 82 The temperature-dependent isotopic fractionation during adsorption process has been studied
 83 for Mo⁷² and V⁶⁹ and their DFT results both support this trend.

84 Therefore, we can assume that the $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}}$ value is proportional to $1/T^2$ to
 85 roughly estimate $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}}$ at CMB temperatures based on experimental results at
 86 298 K⁶⁷. The results suggest that $\Delta^{186/183}\text{W}_{\text{liquid-solid}}$ is less than 5 ppm for temperatures
 87 above 4000 K, and the smaller mass difference between ^{182}W and ^{184}W would lead to even
 88 weaker fractionation compared to ^{186}W and ^{183}W . Thus, the $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ value of core-exsolved
 89 oxides would differ from that of the core ($\mu^{182}\text{W} = -220$,⁶) by no more than 5, and the W
 90 isotopic fractionation is neglected in our calculations.