

Supplementary file 2. Quality assessment score of studies included in the MMSR based on JBI tools

*	Study	Design	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable	Score
1	Byrd 2002	Cross-sectional	5	1	0	2	62%
2	Isaksson 2014	Cohort	9	0	0	2	81%
3	Klock 1998	Cross-sectional	4	2	0	2	50%
4	Lampic 2014	Cohort	9	0	0	2	81%
5	Lindheim 2011	Cross-sectional	5	1	0	2	62%
6	Ping 2011	Cohort	9	0	0	2	81%
7	Skoog Svanberg 2013	Cohort	9	0	0	2	81%
8	Thorn 2008	Cross-sectional	6	0	0	2	75%
9	Van den Akkar 2014	Cross-sectional	5	1	0	2	62%
10	Wodoslawsky 2022	Cross-sectional	5	1	0	2	62%
11	Crawshaw 2007	Qualitative	6	4	0	0	60%
12	Crawshaw 2012	Qualitative	5	5	0	0	50%
13	Gilman 2018	Qualitative	7	2	1	0	70%
14	Goedeke 2015	Qualitative	7	3	0	0	70%
15	Goedeke 2023	Qualitative	6	4	0	0	60%
16	Graham 2016	Qualitative	7	3	0	0	70%
17	Hammarberg 2013	Qualitative	5	5	0	0	50%
18	Haylett 2012	Qualitative	5	5	0	0	50%
19	Jadva 2016	Qualitative	6	4	0	0	60%
20	Kirkman 2014	Qualitative	7	3	0	0	70%
21	Lessor 1993	Qualitative	7	3	0	0	70%
22	Loyal 2022	Qualitative	6	4	0	0	60%
23	Mutlu 2023	Qualitative	8	2	0	0	80%
24	Nordqvist 2023	Qualitative	8	2	0	0	80%
25	Samorinha 2023	Qualitative	7	3	0	0	70%
26	Visser 2016	Qualitative	6	4	0	0	60%
27	Blyth 2017	MM Qual	5	5	0	0	55%
		MM Quan	5	1	0	2	
28	Crashaw 2016	MM Qual	5	5	0	0	55%
		MM Quan	5	1	0	2	
29	Graham 2021	MM Qual	6	4	0	0	66%
		MM Quan	6	0	0	2	
30	Gurtin 2012	MM Qual	6	4	0	0	66%
		MM Quan	6	0	0	2	
31	Kenney 2010	MM Qual	5	5	0	0	55%
		MM Quan	5	1	0	2	
32	Lalos 2003	MM Qual	6	4	0	0	66%
		MM Quan	6	0	0	2	
33	Samorinha 2020	MM Qual	5	5	0	0	66%
		MM Quan	6	0	0	2	
34	Tober 2021	MM Qual	6	4	0	0	66%

		MM Quan	6	0	0	2	
--	--	---------	---	---	---	---	--