

Nested Case-Control Studies With Multiple Measurements: Estimating Marginal Relationships

Joshua N. Sampson* Paul S. Albert[†] Mark P. Purdue[‡]

Appendix A1

For reasons that will become clear later, we assume that X_{ij} is actually a Q -length vector (e.g. however when considering a single biomarker and no time-varying effect, $Q = 1$). For any matched set, the probability that the case has the disease follows equation 1. Here, i and i' index a case and a matched control respectively; and j and j' are any of the measurements with $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$.

$$P_{ii'jj'} = P(Y_i(T_i) = 1 | Y_i(T_i) + Y_{i'}(T_i) = 1, X_{ij\cdot}, X_{i'j'\cdot}) = \frac{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ijq})}{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ijq}) + \exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{i'j'q})} \quad (1)$$

For combination k (i.e. there are $K = J \times J$ combinations), let $j(k)$ and $j'(k)$ be the

*Corresponding Author, Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville MD, USA

[†]Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville MD, USA

[‡]Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville MD, USA

corresponding measures and abbreviate $P_{ik} \equiv P_{ii'j(k)j'(k)}$, $X_{ik} \equiv X_{ij(k)}$, and $X_{i'k} \equiv X_{i'j(k)}$. Then, our pseudo-likelihood for our study is defined by

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{N_A} \prod_{k=1}^K P_{ik}(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_A} \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ikq})}{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ikq}) + \exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{i'kq})}$$

Note, this would have been a true likelihood had all $N_A \times K$ pairs been independent of each other. The pseudo-log-likelihood can be defined by

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{k=1}^K (\sum_q \beta_q X_{ikq} - \log(\exp(\sum_p \beta_p X_{ikq}) + \exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{i'kq})))$$

The pseudo-score equations (aka estimating equations)

$$U(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{k=1}^K (X_{ik\cdot} - \bar{X}_{ik\cdot}(\beta)) = \sum_i^{N_A} U_{i\cdot}(\beta)$$

where $\bar{X}_{ik\cdot}(\beta) = P_{ik}(\beta)X_{ik\cdot} + (1 - P_{ik}(\beta))X_{i'k\cdot}$. Note, U is q -length column vector. Then, our estimate of β is the solution to $U(\beta) = 0$.

$$U(\hat{\beta}) = 0$$

We can then estimate the variance, Σ , of $\hat{\beta}$ by $\hat{\Sigma} = (D^{-1})^t V D^{-1}$.

$$V = \sum_i U_i(\hat{\beta})(U_i(\hat{\beta}))^t$$

and D is a $q \times q$ matrix where the $D[t, u]$ entry is $\partial U_t / \partial \beta_u$

$$D[t, u] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{k=1}^K P_{ik}(\hat{\beta})(1 - P_{ik}(\hat{\beta}))(X_{iku} - X_{i'ku})(X_{ikt} - X_{i'kt})$$

Appendix A2

We will assume that $\beta(t - R_{ij})$ has a specific form, $\beta(t - R_{ij}) = \sum_{q=1}^Q \beta_q f_q(t - R_{ij})$, where $\{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ are a set of known basis functions. Letting $X'_{ijq} = X'_{ijq}(R_{ij}, t) = X_{ij} f_q(t - R_{ij})$

$$\log(P(Y_i(t) = 1 | T_i \geq t, X_{ij})) = h_0(t) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \beta_q f_q(t - R'_{ij}) X_{ij} = h_0(t) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \beta_q X'_{ijq}$$

Then, for our case-control study, we can define $X'_{ijq} = f_q(T_i - R_{ij}) X_{ij}$ and describe the conditional probability for a case/control pair of measures by

$$P_{ik} = P(Y_i(T_i) = 1 | Y_i(T_i) + Y_{i'}(T_i) = 1, X'_{ij\cdot}, X'_{i'j'\cdot}) = \frac{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X'_{ijq})}{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X'_{ijq}) + \exp(\sum_q \beta_q X'_{i'j'q})}$$

We can now estimate $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_Q\}$ and their confidence intervals using the above steps. These estimates, in turn, allow us to estimate $\hat{\beta}(\cdot)$ by $\sum_{q=1}^Q \hat{\beta}_q f_q(\cdot)$ and point-wise confidence intervals for $\beta(t)$ by noting that the variance for $\beta(t)$ is $[f_1(t), \dots, f_q(t)] \hat{\Sigma} [f_1(t), \dots, f_q(t)]^t$.

We may let $f_1(t) = 1$ and be independent of time. Then, we test whether the log(HR) varies over time by testing $H_0 : \beta_{-1} = [\beta_2, \dots, \beta_Q]^t = 0$. Letting Σ_{M11} be the $(Q-1) \times (Q-1)$ matrix that omits the first row and column of Σ , we can define our test statistic to be $S = \beta_{-1}^t \Sigma_{M11}^{-1} \beta_{-1}$ and our p-value for testing H_0 by $p = P(\chi_{Q-1}^2 < S)$.

Appendix A3

Here, we review Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE). For individual (aka cluster) i , we have J data points: $\{X_{i11}, \dots, X_{i1q}, Y_i\}, \dots, \{X_{iJ1}, \dots, X_{iJq}, Y_i\}$. Note, compared to other clustered data sets, the value Y_i is the same for each data point within a cluster. However, we review the GEE theory here for the sole purpose of showing that, at no point, does the

theory claim that Y_i 's cannot be identical.

We can use the logistic-link and estimate the odds ratio

$$\mu_{ij}(\beta) = \frac{\exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ijq})}{1 + \exp(\sum_q \beta_q X_{ijq})}$$

We estimate β by the values that solve the GEE (i.e. $GEE(\hat{\beta}) = 0$) where

$$GEE(\beta) = \sum_i X_i(Y_i - \mu_i(\beta))$$

Here, $Y_i - \mu_i$ is the $J \times 1$ vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_i - \mu_{i1}(\beta) \\ \dots \\ Y_i - \mu_{iJ}(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

and X_i is the $J \times Q$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{i11} & X_{i12} & \dots & X_{i1Q} \\ X_{i21} & X_{i22} & \dots & X_{i2Q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ X_{iJ1} & X_{iJ2} & \dots & X_{iJQ} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Taylor Series expansion around the true value of β , β^* , yields

$$\sum_i X_i^t(Y_i - \mu_i(\hat{\beta})) \approx \sum_i X_i^t(Y_i - \mu_i(\beta^*)) + \sum_i (X_i^t V_i(\beta^*) X_i)(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)$$

where

V_i is the $J \times J$ variance matrix

$$V_i(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{i1}(\beta)(1 - \mu_{i1}(\beta)) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{i2}(\beta)(1 - \mu_{i2}(\beta)) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_{iJ}(\beta)(1 - \mu_{iJ}(\beta)) \end{bmatrix}$$

which leads to

$$(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \approx (\sum_i X_i^t V_i(\beta^*) X_i)^{-1} (\sum_i X_i^t (Y_i - \mu_i(\beta^*)))$$

Since, $Y_i - \mu_i(\beta^*)$ is still a random variable and assuming $V_i(\beta^*) \approx V_i(\hat{\beta})$ we can approximate the variance by

$$var((\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)) \approx (\sum_i X_i^t V_i(\hat{\beta}) X_i)^{-1} (\sum_i X_i^t (Y_i - \mu_i(\hat{\beta})) (Y_i - \mu_i(\hat{\beta}))^t X_i) (\sum_i X_i^t V_i(\hat{\beta}) X_i)^{-1}$$

Appendix A4

To use clogitRV, please download and load the R package using

```
install.packages("devtools")
devtools::install_github("sampsong74/clogitRV")
library(clogitRV)
```

And to see examples of how to run clogitRV, including how to model time-varying coefficients, please scroll to the bottom of the help page accessed by

?clogitRV