Research Square

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review.
They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice,
or referenced by the media as validated information.

Sex-Specific Response to A1BG Loss Results in Female Dilated Cardiomyopathy

James I. Emerson
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Wei Shi
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Frank L. Conlon
frank_conlon@med.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Research Article

Keywords: A1BG, Sex Differences, Heart, Cardiac, DCM, Conduction, Intercalated Discs

Posted Date: July 18th, 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4631369/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Read Full License

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Biology of Sex Differences on April 23rd, 2025. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-025-00713-8.

Abstract

Background

Cardiac disease often manifests differently in terms of frequency and pathology between men and women. However, the mechanisms underlying these differences are not fully understood. The glycoprotein A1BG is necessary for proper cardiac function in females but not males. Despite this, the role of A1BG in the female heart remains poorly studied.

Methods

To determine the sex differential function of A1BG, we generated a novel conditional A1bg allele and a novel conditional A1bg Rosa26 knockin allele. Histology, electrocardiography, transcriptional profiling (RNA-seq), transmission electron microscopy, western blot analyses, mass spectrometry, and immunohistochemistry were used to assess cardiac structure and function.

Results

The study reveals that the absence of A1BG results in significant cardiac dysfunction in female but not male mice. Gene expression underscores that A1BG plays a critical role in metabolic processes and the integrity of intercalated discs in female cardiomyocytes. This dysfunction may be related to sex-specific A1BG cardiac interactomes and manifests as structural and functional alterations in the left ventricle indicative of dilated cardiomyopathy, thus suggesting a sex-specific requirement for A1BG in cardiac health.

Conclusion

The loss of A1BG in cardiomyocytes leads to dilated cardiomyopathy in females, not males.

Highlights

- Female mice but not male mice with a cardiac muscle-specific conditional loss-of-function mutation in A1BG exhibit significant cardiac dysfunction indicative of dilated cardiomyopathy.
- Female and male cardiomyocyte A1BG null hearts showed different gene expression patterns, indicating that the cardiac metabolic adaptations required in the absence of A1BG are specific to females.
- Functional network analyses of female and male A1BG cardiac interactomes reveal that A1BG interacts with sex distinct sets of proteins, suggesting that A1BG's interactions underlie the sex-specific requirements for A1BG in cardiac function and dilated cardiomyopathy.

Plain English Summary

Female mice with a mutation in the A1BG gene experience significant heart problems, such as an enlarged left ventricle and thinner heart walls, changes not observed in male mice with the same mutation. Female hearts exhibit different gene activity related to heart function and metabolism than males. The mutation impacts the structure of heart cell connections in females, resulting in impaired heart function and electrical activity, including longer times for the heart's electrical signals to travel. These defects are similar to those observed in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. The results indicate that A1BG plays a crucial role in how the female heart forms connections between cells and maintains its structure. The sex-specific requirements for A1BG in the heart could be attributed to the fact that A1BG interacts with different protein partners in male and female hearts. This research highlights the importance of A1BG in maintaining healthy heart function and structure in female mice, suggesting that targeting A1BG could help treat heart disease, especially in women.

Introduction

Various human diseases exhibit significant sex differences in prevalence, treatment, and survival rates, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disorders, obesity, and chronic kidney disease [1–7]. In the context of cardiac health, these sex disparities are particularly notable [4, 6–18]. Basic physiological differences, such as higher resting heart rates, longer ventricular repolarization, and shorter atrial and ventricular conduction times in females, predispose them to distinct types of heart disease compared to males [4, 6, 8–12, 15, 16, 19, 20]. Understanding the cellular and molecular mechanisms underpinning these sex disparities in cardiac physiology and disease is crucial for improving patient outcomes and ensuring equitable care.

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a condition marked by the enlargement and weakening of the left ventricle, leading to diminished cardiac output and potential heart failure [21–23]. This impairment disrupts the heart's ability to circulate blood efficiently, resulting in complications such as arrhythmias and potentially sudden cardiac death [21–23]. DCM is the most common reason for heart transplantation in the U.S. and remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality [24, 25]. Approximately half of DCM cases are genetically determined, while the etiology of the remaining cases is often unknown [26, 27]. Although the symptoms of DCM, including heart failure and arrhythmias, are similar in both sexes, women are typically diagnosed at an older age, potentially due to the protective effects of estrogen [28–32].

Sex-specific requirements in cardiomyocytes (CMs) may explain the differences in heart health between males and females. A recent study highlighted the role of Alpha-1-ß-glycoprotein (A1BG) as a key factor in this sex-specific cardiac function [33]. Female mice with a homozygous loss-of-function mutation in the A1BG gene exhibited severe cardiac dysfunction, including thinning of the left ventricular posterior wall and dilated left ventricles. In contrast, male mice with the same genetic modification did not show these abnormalities [33].

Our findings demonstrate that the loss of A1BG in female mice has profound sex-differential effects on cardiac function. Female mice with a homozygous loss-of-function A1bg gene mutation exhibited significant cardiac issues such as left ventricular dilation and decreased wall thickness. Transcriptomic profiling indicated that A1BG in female hearts influences the expression of genes related to metabolic processes and DCM, emphasizing the critical role of A1BG in maintaining cardiac homeostasis. In contrast, male mice did not exhibit the same phenotypic changes, affirming a sex-specific requirement for A1BG in heart function. Moreover, female but not male mice displayed altered intercalated disc structures and prolonged PR intervals on electrocardiograms, indicating impaired atrial conduction, all indicative of DCM. Further analysis revealed that A1BG interacts with sex-distinct sets of CM proteins, suggesting that these interactions underlie the sex-specific requirements for A1BG in cardiac function and DCM. These findings underscore the critical requirement of A1BG in women's cardiac health and suggest potential pathways through which A1BG may contribute to sex differences in heart disease.

Materials and Methods

Animal models

Generation of the A1bg conditional allele

R26R-A1bg-3HA and *A1bg flox* mice were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 technology at the Animal Models Core of UNC-CH. The Tnnt2-Cre line was purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (ID: 024240). Genotyping was performed using the following primers to confirm the presence of transgenic alleles. Tnnt2-Cre (F- 5’ TTGTTCCTTTAGCCCTGTGC 3’, R- 5’ AGGCAAATTTTGGTGTACGG 3’) *R26R-A1bg-3HA* (F1- 5’ ATGTCTCTGCTGGCTACTGTACTG 3’, F2- 5’ GTGAATGGGCCACCACCCAAG 3’, R- 5’ GGATAGGATCCTGCATAGTCCGG 3’) *A1bg flox* (F- 5’ GTGTTCTTGGGAAGGGTTCA 3’, R- 5’ CAGCCAGAACCCTTAGTGT AGT 3’). Mice were sacrificed at 4–8 weeks for all experiments, and hearts were perfused with 1xPBS and dissected for use in proteomics and immunopurification analysis or perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)/ 0.1% Tween-20/ PBS for immunohistochemistry and H&E analysis or dissected and homogenized in Trizol for RNA extraction. Mice were housed at a controlled temperature of 25 ± 1˚C, with a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle, with lights on from 07:00–19:00. Standard rodent chow and water were provided throughout the study. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of UNC-CH approved this research (21 – 006, 22–257), which adhered to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Generation of the A1bg ROSA26 Knock-in allele

A Cas9 guide RNA targeting the mouse Rosa26 1st intron (protospacer sequence 5’-GGAGTTGCAGATCACGA – 3’) was cloned into a T7 promoter vector. The vector was subjected to T7 in vitro transcription. The product was purified with a spin column containing microinjection buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.1 mM EDTA). A donor plasmid was generated to target ES cells. The donor included Rosa26 gene homology arms flanking a neomycin resistance cassette, CAG promoter, LoxP-STOP-LoxP

element, mouse A1bg cDNA with c-terminal 3xHA tag, Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus Posttranscriptional Regulatory Element (WPRE), and rabbit beta-globin polyadenylation sequence. The donor vector was prepared by Qiagen High-Speed Maxiprep protocol and resuspended in a microinjection buffer. Recombinant Cas9 protein was expressed in E. coli and purified by the UNC Protein Expression and Purification Core Facility. ES cell line C57BL/6N-PRX-B6N #1 was nucleofected with 1 μM Cas9 protein, 1.2 μM guide RNA, and 20 ng/μl supercoiled donor vector. Clones were selected with G418, and positive clones were identified by PCR screening. Positive clones were verified by Southern blot, and two clones were microinjected into Albino-C57BL/6 blastocysts for chimera formation. Chimeras were mated to Albino-C57BL/6N females for germline transmission of the targeted allele. ES cell clone E2 gave germline transmission of the targeted allele. Heterozygous F1 animals (R26R-A1BG-3HA+/-) were bred to wild-type mice, and the genotypes were confirmed by sequencing and PCR. To generate a cardiac conditional allele, R26R-A1BG-3HA+/- mice were crossed with the cardiomyocyte-specific Tnnt2Cre/+ [34] to generate Tnnt2Cre/+; R26R-A1BG-3HA+/-; these mice were intercrossed to generate homozygous Tnnt2Cre/+; R26R-A1BG-3HA+/+ (A1BG KI) mice.

Histological Analysis

Hematoxylin-eosin staining was performed as described [35] with *A1bg A1BGCM/CM* and control mice (4 males and 4 females for each genotype). Histology sections were imaged using a BX61 brightfield microscope at 20X magnification. ImageJ was used for tile stitching and subsequent analysis. To determine ventricular wall thickness by ImageJ, pixel size was normalized to μm, and measurements were averaged over three fields for individual hearts.

Immunohistochemical Analysis

Hearts from n = 2 male and female *A1bg KI* and control mice were fixed in 4% PFA/ 0.1% Tween-20/ PBS at 4˚C overnight (o/n), then dehydrated by sucrose gradient (15% o/n then 30% o/n) before embedding in OCT and cryosectioning. Immunofluorescent staining was performed with antigen retrieval on 10 μm coronal sections as described [35]. Sections were co-stained with primary antibodies rabbit anti-HA (CST37245, 1:400; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-tropomyosin (CH1, 1:50; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), and rabbit anti-A1BG (Ab231805, 1:250; Abcam). Secondary antibodies used were Alexa 546-goat-anti-rabbit (1:500; Molecular Probes) and Alexa488-goat-anti-mouse (1:500; Molecular Probes). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 700 laser scanning confocal microscope, and ImageJ was used for analysis.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Animals were perfused with a fixative containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.15 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. After perfusion, the tissues were removed and cut into ~ 2 mm strips and stored at 4 degrees Celsius in the fixative before processing for electron microscopy. Following three rinses with 0.15 M sodium phosphate buffer, the samples were post-fixed at ambient temperature for 1 hour in 1% osmium tetroxide in sodium phosphate buffer [36]. The tissues were rinsed in deionized water and dehydrated with increasing concentrations of ethanol (30%, 50%, 75%, 100%,

100%, 100%, 15 minutes each) and put through two changes of propylene oxide (15 minutes each). Tissue samples were infiltrated with a 1:1 mixture of propylene oxide: Polybed 812 epoxy resin (1A:2B formulation, Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) for 3 hours, followed by a 1:2 mixture of propylene oxide: Polybed 812 epoxy resin for 6 hours, and then infiltrated with 100% resin overnight. The tissue pieces were embedded in fresh epoxy resin and polymerized for 24 hours at 60˚C. Using a diamond knife, 1-μm semi-thin sections were cut, mounted on slides, and stained with 1% toluidine blue to examine by light microscopy and isolate the region of interest. Ultrathin sections (70–80 nm) were cut with a diamond knife and mounted on 200 mesh copper grids, followed by staining with 4% aqueous uranyl acetate for 12 minutes and Reynold's lead citrate for 8 minutes. Samples were observed with a JEOL JEM-1230 transmission electron microscope operating at 80kV (JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA), and images were acquired with a Gatan Orius SC1000 CCD Digital Camera and Gatan Microscopy Suite 3.0 software (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA) [37].

Electrocardiogram and Echocardiogram Analysis

EKG analysis of 4-week-old *A1bg A1BGCM/CM* and control mice (3 male and 3 female for each genotype) was performed as described [38]. EKGs were performed by live restraint of non-anesthetized mice. EKGs were analyzed using the Vevo labs application, whereby at least ten consecutive waveforms were averaged to obtain EKG parameters for each mouse. Echocardiogram analysis was performed on n = 8 mice as described [39]. All EKG and echocardiogram analyses were performed by trained technicians blinded to mouse genotype.

Immunopurification Coupled with Mass-spectrometry

Two male and two female hearts of each genotype (*A1bg KI* and control) were pooled for immunopurification, performed as described with minor alterations [40]. Taking advantage of the C-terminal 3x HA tag on A1BG in KI mice, we used anti-HA magnetic beads (Pierce 88837) to immunopurify A1BG protein complexes. *R26R-A1BG-3HA* mice without Cre recombinase were used as controls. Immunopurification was performed as lysates were rocked for 1 hr at 4˚C with beads. The complex was eluted after six washing steps with 1xNuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Thermofisher NP0007)/5% 2-mercaptoethanol (BME) for 10 min at 95˚C. The immuno-isolated proteins were resolved (~ 1 cm) by SDS-PAGE on a NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel (ThermoFisher) and visualized with Coomassie blue. Samples were submitted to the UNC Hooker Proteomics Core, where they were subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion as reported [40, 41]. Peptides were analyzed using scaffold version 5. The peptide threshold was set to 95%, the minimum number of peptides set to 2, and the protein threshold set to 99%. Samples enriched by HA immunopurification compared to control were filtered by having at least a 1.5-fold change greater number of peptides than the number captured in control samples. Proteins relating to ubiquitous cellular processes were also removed. Differentially enriched proteins in males and females were determined by at least a 1.5-fold difference between male and female IP samples.

Transcriptome Analysis

Transcriptome analysis (RNA-sequencing) was performed as previously described [39]. Briefly, heart tissues of 4-week-old male and female A1bg KO and control mice were perfused in cold PBS and were harvested. The whole hearts were

homogenized in Trizol reagent and the RNA was isolated using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (ThermoFisher). Purified RNA was subjected to two rounds of oligo-dT selection and converted into cDNA to generate RNA-seq libraries. Libraries were sequenced (150-bp paired-end reads; Illumina HiSeq 2500) to a target depth of > 3 million reads. Using STAR via the bcbio-nextgen RNA sequencing pipeline, reads were aligned to the mm10 reference genome. DESeq2 (DESeq2_v1.18.1) in R (v3.4.3) was used to perform RNA-seq analysis. R scripts used to analyze this data are available upon request. Genes > 0.5 log2 fold change and adjusted p-value < 0.05 were deemed significant.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism9 software. ANOVA with Tukey's test was used to determine significance between three or more groups. Mann-Whitney test was used to determine the significance between the two conditions.

Results

Loss of A1BG and Sex-Differential Cardiac Effects on the Left Ventricle

Female mice homozygous for a cardiac muscle-specific conditional loss-of-function mutation in A1BG ($A1bg^{CM/CM}$) have been reported to exhibit cardiac dysfunction, characterized by a failure of the left ventricle wall to interact with the intraventricular septum properly [33]. To verify and expand upon these findings, we conducted a histological examination, which revealed that the left ventricles in the hearts of female mice increased in size, an observation not seen in their male counterparts (Fig. 1A-D). Echocardiogram analyses corroborated this result (Fig. 1E, F). Additionally, these analyses indicated that in association with a dilated left ventricle, female but not male $A1bg^{CM/CM}$ mice had a reduction in the left ventricular posterior wall thickness during both diastole and systole in females but not in males (Fig. 1E, F). These findings suggest that the absence of A1BG in female CMs, in contrast to males, induces significant cardiac remodeling and a pathology that is associated with DCM.

Female hearts, but not male hearts, regulate the expression of genes related to cardiac metabolism and DCM

To understand the molecular underpinnings of A1BG sex-differential effects, transcriptional profiling via RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed on wild-type and $A1bg^{CM/CM}$ male and female hearts at four weeks of age. This analysis in female hearts identified 122 differentially expressed genes (DEGs; adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05 and log2 fold change ≥ ± 1), of which 61 genes were significantly upregulated, and 61 genes were significantly downregulated compared with controls (Fig. 2A). Though male hearts do

not appear to require A1BG, we find that analysis in male hearts identified 311 differentially expressed genes, of which 162 genes were significantly upregulated and 149 genes were significantly downregulated in A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ male hearts compared with controls (Fig. 2B). Consistent with phenotypic analysis, we find very few genes that are co-regulated in male and female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ hearts: 10/200 downregulated, and 7/216 were upregulated (Fig. 2C). Therefore, supporting a sex-specific requirement for A1BG in CMs.

Pathway analyses of control versus A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ female hearts revealed a potential role for A1BG in Acetyl-CoA and glucose-6-phosphate metabolism, i.e., “monocarboxylic acids metabolic process” (Fig. 2D). Genes dysregulated in A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ female hearts include Acsl6, Adpgk, Gck, Ankrd23, Aldob, Fah, Acsf2, and Acsm5. None of these genes was dysregulated in male A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ hearts. (Fig. 2E). These findings are significant because CMs in DCM have a higher dependence on glucose oxidation [42–47]. In addition to these genes, we identified 4 genes that were downregulated in the A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ heart, causing DCM: Chrm2, Nebl, Tcap, and Zbtb17. Overall, these findings imply a role for A1BG in female hearts for acetyl-CoA and glucose-6-phosphate metabolism, with dysregulated genes pointing to a critical function in preventing DCM.

A1BG is required in females to form the cardiac intercalated disc

DCM is a condition characterized by the enlargement and weakened contraction of the left ventricle or both ventricles. This condition is often linked to changes in the connections between heart muscle cells [21–23]. Intercalated discs mediate CM cell-cell connections [48–52]. Mutations in genes related to the intercalated discs in the heart, such as desmoplakin, plakophilin-2, and plakoglobin, which are involved in the formation and function of desmosomes, can cause DCM [48, 50, 53, 54].

To test the hypothesis that loss of A1BG in female but not male hearts led to alteration of the cardiac intercalated discs, we used transmission electron microscopy to compare intercalated discs in A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ mice and control mice to determine whether A1BG expression affects intercalated disc structure. High-resolution (50000x) images uncovered that female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ intercalated disc morphology was altered compared to control females (Fig. 3A-D).

To quantitatively evaluate the shape of intercalated discs, we measured the ratio of the total length of intercalation to the straight-line length of the cell boundary, as explained in [29] (Fig. S1). This allowed us to identify discs with higher values, indicating greater intercalation and, as a result, a larger surface area available for cell adhesion and ion transport. Our analysis showed that female mice had more intercalation than male control mice (Fig. 3E). Female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ had significantly less intercalation than female controls. In fact, the level of intercalation in female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ was initially similar to that of male mice. Conversely, male A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ did not differ in intercalated disc shape relative to controls. These findings suggest that there are inherent sex differences in cardiac intercalated disc structure and highlight a sex-differential requirement for the role of A1BG in forming intercalated discs.

A1BG leads to alterations in female cardiac electrophysiology

DCM is associated with alterations in the heart's electrical properties and conduction pathways [21–23, 55, 56]. Intercalated discs are crucial in coordinating the heart's contractions by facilitating mechanical and electrical connections between CMs [48–51]. Disruptions in the structure or function of these intercalated discs can significantly affect the heart's electrical properties, leading to impaired cardiac function [51, 55, 57–60].

Given the intercalation phenotype in female A1BG$^{CM/CM}$ mice, we investigated the electrophysiological consequences of A1BG in both sexes. A1BG is more highly expressed in cardiac atria [33]; therefore, we expected to observe alterations in the electrocardiogram (EKG) PR interval. The PR interval is the time from atrial to ventricular depolarization, indicating the time for electrical impulses to be transmitted through the atria to the AV node (Fig. 3F).

Sex differences exist in human atrial conduction; females have a shorter PR interval than males [61]. This difference was conserved in adult mice, as shown in this study and others (Figure [62, 63]). A1BG$^{CM/CM}$ female mice had significantly longer PR intervals than female control mice, indicating a longer time needed for atrial depolarization (Fig. 3F). The female A1BG$^{CM/CM}$ mouse PR interval was similar to the male baseline PR interval (Fig. 4B). As expected, the PR interval was inversely correlated with CM intercalation, with greater intercalation corresponding to shorter PR, affirming the sex-differential role for A1BG in the heart.

A1BG in females and males interacts with a distinct set of cardiac proteins

There have been limited studies on the function of A1BG. It has been found that the loss of A1BG causes defects in cardiac function that resemble DCM in females but not in males. This observation does not clarify the function(s) of A1BG or explain why there is a different requirement for it based on sex. Additionally, it has been reported that A1BG is one of the most differentially expressed cardiac proteins between males and females in mice at E9.5 and in adults, with higher expression in females than in males [64].

To better understand why females specifically require A1BG, we conducted a predicted structural analysis of mouse and human A1BG using Alphafold [65]. Our analyses suggest that the first two exons of the mouse and human A1BG transcript are predicted to encode a signal peptide, and the following five exons encode repeating IgG-like domains (Fig. 4A-C). The structural prediction of mouse and human A1BG suggests that the protein is secreted or associated with the outer cell membrane. We tested this hypothesis by co-immunostaining adult heart tissue in mice with an A1BG and CM (tropomyosin) antibody (Fig. 4D). Our results demonstrate that A1BG is associated with the outer surface of atrial CMs.

The observation that A1BG is a CM cell surface protein, which is required for the proper formation of intercalated discs and cardiac conduction in females but not males, as well as the structural prediction of A1BG, has led us to characterize cardiac A1BG interactomes in females and males. Researchers have not found a highly specific, high-affinity antibody against mouse A1BG that can function in immune-affinity purification. To address these issues, we generated an inducible A1BG allele by knocking an epitope-tagged version of A1BG (A1BG-3xHA) flanked by flox-stop-flox cassettes into the ROSA26 locus to create A1BG^{3XHA}. To induce expression of A1BG-3xHA in CMs we crossed the A1BG^{3XHA} to cTnt-Cre mice, CM-A1BG^{3XHA}. F1 and F2 heterozygous and homozygous CM- A1BG^{3XHA} mice were viable and fertile and had no observable phenotypic abnormalities and expression in the F2 was confirmed by immunoblot with anti-HA antibodies (Fig. 5A, Fig. S1).

To deduce the function of A1BG in cardiac tissue and to further explore the sex difference requirements for A1BG, we defined the A1BG endogenous cardiac interactome by performing mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of immuno-affinity purified (IP-MS/MS) female A1BG^{3HA} CM complexes [40, 66, 67] (Fig. 4B). The complexes (N = 3) were obtained under physiological conditions from CMs derived from the hearts of female and male CM-A1BG^{3XHA} mice at 4 weeks of age (Fig. 4B) in the presence of RNAse and DNAse. Results demonstrate that we could recover A1BG^{3XHA} at 73%, the theoretical maximum with a trypsin digest (Fig. S2).

The analysis of CM-A1BG^{3XHA} complexes utilized an unbiased gene ontology-based bioinformatics classification to scrutinize the functions of proteins linked with A1BG. Functional network analyses clearly showed that A1BG interacts with a group of 15 proteins enriched in females and 19 enriched in males (Fig. 5C, D). Upon conducting gene ontology analysis, it was apparent that the female interactome is enriched with proteins involved in generating precursor metabolites and energy, while the male interactome is enriched in in extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction and cell adhesion proteins (Fig. 5C, D, Table 1). Among the 15 proteins found to be enriched in females, 7 have not undergone a study in the context of the heart, while the remaining 8 have been linked to cardiac disease, including DCM (Table 1). None of the female A1BG interacting proteins were identified in the male A1BG cardiac interactome (Fig. 5C, D, Table 1, 2). Instead, the male A1BG cardiac interactome comprises proteins involved in protein degradation. These proteins were absent in the female cardiac interactome (Fig. 5C, D, Table 1, 2). Thus, the specific set of interacting proteins differed significantly from that in females. Female interactomes are enriched in proteins related to energy metabolism and are associated with DCM pathologies. Our findings suggest a sex-specific requirement for A1BG in cardiac health and imply that A1BG interactions may underlie the sex-specific requirements for A1BG in cardiac function.

Table 1
Proteins enriched in Female A1BG IP

Protein name	Description	Connection to cardiac physiology
Slc25a12	Calcium-binding mitochondrial carrier protein Aralar1	NA
Atp5f1a	ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial	NA
Myo1c	Unconventional myosin-Ic	NA
Eef2	Elongation factor 2	Pathological hypertrophy (Varma et al., 2023[71])
Idh2	Isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP], mitochondrial	Cardiac hypertrophy (Wu et al., 2022[72], Ku et al., 2015[73])
Fbxo6	F-box only protein 6	NA
Taf2	Transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 2	NA
Ppp1r3a	Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 3A	Atrial fibrillation (Alzina et al., 2019[74]), Heart failure Cordero et al., 2019[75])
Gsn	Gelsolin	Myocardial infarction (Li et al., 2009[76]), Atrial fibrillation (Schrickel et al., 2009[77])
Atad3	ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 3	Perinatal cardiomyopathy (Frazier et al., 2021[78])
Hrg	Histidine-rich glycoprotein	NA
Hsp90b1	Endoplasmin	Kawasaki disease (Mingguo et al., 2020[79])
Hnrnpf	Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein F	NA
Mdh1	Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic	Acute myocardial infarction (Pan et al., 2020[80])
Vdac2	Voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein 2	Dilated cardiomyopathy (Shankar et al., 2021[81])

Table 2
Proteins enriched in male A1BG IP

Protein name	Description	Connection to cardiac physiology
Gja1	Gap junction alpha-1 protein	Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (Palatinus 2023[82])
Nid1	Nidogen-1	NA
Col6a1	Collagen alpha-1(VI) chain	Trisomy 21 congenital heart disease (Davies et al., 1995[83])
Flnc	Cluster of Filamin-C	Hypertrophic & Dilated cardiomyopathy (Verdonscot et al., 2020[84])
Tln2	Talin-2	Atrial septal defect (Teekakirikul et al., 2022[85])
Thbs1	Cluster of Thrombospondin-1	NA
Myl7	Myosin regulatory light chain 2, atrial isoform	NA
Macroh2a1	Core histone macro-H2A.1	NA
Sorbs1	Sorbin and SH3 domain-containing protein 1	NA
Dcn	Decorin	NA
Samm50	Sorting and assembly machinery component 50 homolog	Promotes hypertrophy (Xu et al., 2021[86])
Trim7	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase TRIM7	NA
Agrn	Agrin	Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardiac (Jaouadi et al., 2022[87])
Mb	Myoglobin	Myoglobinopathy (Olive et al., 2019[88])
Serpinh1	Serpin H1	NA
Emilin1	EMILIN-1	Aortic valve disease (Munjal et al., 2014[89])
Spta1	Spectrin alpha chain, erythrocytic 1	NA
Tgfbi	Transforming growth factor-beta-induced protein ig-h3	Atrial fibrillation (Guan et al., 2022[90])
Obscn	Obscurin	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (Wu et al., 2021[91]), Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (Chen et al., 2020[92])
rps27a	ribosomal protein 27a	NA

Discussion

Here, we show that the absence of A1BG leads to pronounced cardiac dysfunction in female mice, manifested as structural and functional alterations in the left ventricle indicative of DCM. These sex-differential effects underscore the critical role of A1BG in female cardiac physiology, particularly in maintaining intercalated disc integrity and efficient electrical conduction. Our findings using differential gene expression and interactome analyses further emphasize the complex molecular mechanisms underlying these sex-specific responses.

A1BG influences the electrophysiological properties of the heart in females and not males. Female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ mice had a significantly longer PR interval on electrocardiograms (EKG), indicating delayed atrial depolarization. Our histological analysis and echocardiogram data showed that female A1BG$^{CM/CM}$ hearts (indicated by LV mass) were smaller than the hearts of female controls. Therefore, the change in the PR interval is not attributed to the size difference between female and male hearts.

Based on our findings on the predicted structure of A1BG, our observation from immunohistochemistry that A1BG is associated with the CM ECM, and the composition of the female interactome, we favor a model by which female CMs require A1BG to establish CM cell-cell contact. This defect, in turn, leads to a dysregulation of genes (e.g., Csl6, Adpgk, Gck, Ankrd23, Aldob, Fah, Acsf2, and Acsm5), suggesting a shift towards a higher dependence on glucose oxidation in female hearts. In conjunction with other pathological changes, this metabolic adaptation is likely to contribute to the structural and functional remodeling observed in the female heart, typical in DCM.

A key unanswered question is why A1BG is not needed in male hearts. We propose that A1BG's role in females is to protect the heart from cardiac stress, such as DCM. As estrogen protects against various cardiovascular diseases, including DCM, by influencing cardiac metabolism, gene expression, and structural integrity [14, 28–30, 68, 69], we propose that A1BG acts downstream or in parallel to estrogen signaling. Our observation indicates that female mice with a loss-of-function A1BG gene (A1bg$^{CM/CM}$) show significant cardiac dysfunction and morphological changes consistent with DCM, while their male counterparts do not. This suggests that A1BG may add to the protective role of estrogen. Understanding the relationship between A1BG and estrogen in cardiac function could lead to targeted therapeutic strategies for treating or preventing DCM, particularly in females.

Although A1BG is only 63% conserved between mice and humans, its structure remains remarkably conserved, with a root mean square deviation of a mere 2.382 in structural alignments. This structural alignment suggests that A1BG has a similar function in the two species, accentuating its potential role in therapeutic strategies for cardiac conditions such as DCM. Given the role of A1BG in CMs, targeting the A1BG pathway in female patients could be particularly impactful. Metabolic interventions that address dysregulations in acetyl-CoA and glucose-6-phosphate metabolism may mitigate imbalances associated with DCM [70]. Considering the sex-specific requirements for A1BG, further research into A1BG interactomes in both sexes is imperative to develop new therapeutic biomarkers and targets. Thus, it is

essential to use sex-specific approaches in the treatment of cardiac disorders linked to the A1BG pathway.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We thank UNC Animal Models Core for generating the A1bg conditional allele and the A1bg ROSA26 Knock-in allele. We thank the UNC Microscopy Services Laboratory in the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, which is partly supported by the P30 CA016086 Cancer Center Core Support Grant to the UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center.

Funding

This work was funded by Grants R01 R01HL126509 and R01 R01HD089275 (to F.L.C.).

Contributions

Conceptualization: J.I.E., W.S., F.L.C.; Methodology: J.I.E., W.S., F.L.C.; Validation: J.I.E., W.S.; Formal analysis: J.I.E., W.S.; Investigation: J.I.E., W.S., F.L.C.; Data curation: J.I.E., W.S.; Writing - original draft: J.I.E., W.S., F.L.C.; Writing - review & editing: J.I.E., W.S., F.L.C.; Visualization: J.I.E., W.S.; Supervision: F.L.C.; Project administration: F.L.C.; Funding acquisition: F.L.C.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of North Carolina and conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

References

1. Rubin JB. The spectrum of sex differences in cancer. Trends Cancer. 2022;8(4):303–15.
2. Kiyohara C, Ohno Y. Sex differences in lung cancer susceptibility: a review. Gend Med. 2010;7(5):381–401.
3. Dunn SE, Perry WA, Klein SL. Mechanisms and consequences of sex differences in immune responses. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2024;20(1):37–55.
4. Lala A, et al. Sex Differences in Heart Failure. J Card Fail. 2022;28(3):477–98.

5. Wilkinson NM, et al. Sex Differences in Immunity. Annu Rev Immunol. 2022;40:75–94.
6. Regitz-Zagrosek V, Kararigas G. Mechanistic Pathways of Sex Differences in Cardiovascular Disease. Physiol Rev. 2017;97(1):1–37.
7. Luczak ED, Leinwand LA. Sex-based cardiac physiology. Annu Rev Physiol. 2009;71:1–18.
8. Conlon FL, Arnold AP. Sex chromosome mechanisms in cardiac development and disease. Nat Cardiovasc Res. 2023;2(4):340–50.
9. Shufelt CL, et al. Sex-Specific Physiology and Cardiovascular Disease. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2018;1065:433–54.
10. Ji H, et al. Sex Differences in Myocardial and Vascular Aging. Circ Res. 2022;130(4):566–77.
11. Ventura-Clapier R, et al. Sex in basic research: concepts in the cardiovascular field. Cardiovasc Res. 2017;113(7):711–24.
12. Siokatas G et al. Sex-Related Effects on Cardiac Development and Disease. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis, 2022. 9(3).
13. Walker CJ, et al. Matters of the heart: Cellular sex differences. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2021;160:42–55.
14. Leinwand LA. Sex is a potent modifier of the cardiovascular system. J Clin Invest. 2003;112(3):302–7.
15. Dasinger JH, Alexander BT. Gender differences in developmental programming of cardiovascular diseases. Clin Sci (Lond). 2016;130(5):337–48.
16. Lindsey ML, et al. Sex as a biological variable for cardiovascular physiology. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2024;326(3):H459–69.
17. Usselman CW, et al. Guidelines on the use of sex and gender in cardiovascular research. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2024;326(1):H238–55.
18. Beale AL, et al. Sex Differences in Cardiovascular Pathophysiology: Why Women Are Overrepresented in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. Circulation. 2018;138(2):198–205.
19. Ravens U. Sex differences in cardiac electrophysiology. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2018;96(10):985–90.
20. Tadros R, et al. Sex differences in cardiac electrophysiology and clinical arrhythmias: epidemiology, therapeutics, and mechanisms. Can J Cardiol. 2014;30(7):783–92.
21. Schultheiss HP, et al. Dilated cardiomyopathy. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5(1):32.
22. Ware SM, et al. The genetic architecture of pediatric cardiomyopathy. Am J Hum Genet. 2022;109(2):282–98.
23. Jefferies JL, Towbin JA. Dilated cardiomyopathy Lancet. 2010;375(9716):752–62.
24. Boucek MM, et al. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Fourth Official Pediatric Report–2000. J Heart Lung Transpl. 2001;20(1):39–52.
25. Hunt SA, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the evaluation and management of chronic heart failure in the adult: executive summary. J Heart Lung Transpl. 2002;21(2):189–203.

26. Mozaffarian D, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics–2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015;131(4):e29–322.
27. Greenberg MJ, Tardiff JC. Complexity in genetic cardiomyopathies and new approaches for mechanism-based precision medicine. J Gen Physiol, 2021. 153(3).
28. Xiang D et al. *Protective Effects of Estrogen on Cardiovascular Disease Mediated by Oxidative Stress.* Oxid Med Cell Longev, 2021. 2021: p. 5523516.
29. Knowlton AA, Lee AR. Estrogen and the cardiovascular system. Pharmacol Ther. 2012;135(1):54–70.
30. Iorga A, et al. The protective role of estrogen and estrogen receptors in cardiovascular disease and the controversial use of estrogen therapy. Biol Sex Differ. 2017;8(1):33.
31. Rodgers JL et al. Cardiovascular Risks Associated with Gender and Aging. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis, 2019. 6(2).
32. Argiro A, et al. Sex-Related Differences in Genetic Cardiomyopathies. J Am Heart Assoc. 2022;11(9):e024947.
33. Shi W, et al. Cardiac proteomics reveals sex chromosome-dependent differences between males and females that arise prior to gonad formation. Dev Cell. 2021;56(21):3019–e30347.
34. Jiao K, et al. An essential role of Bmp4 in the atrioventricular septation of the mouse heart. Genes Dev. 2003;17(19):2362–7.
35. Dorr KM, et al. Casz1 is required for cardiomyocyte G1-to-S phase progression during mammalian cardiac development. Development. 2015;142(11):2037–47.
36. Palay SL, et al. Fixation of neural tissues for electron microscopy by perfusion with solutions of osmium tetroxide. J Cell Biol. 1962;12(2):385–410.
37. Reynolds ES. The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy. J Cell Biol. 1963;17(1):208–12.
38. Emerson JI et al. Sex Differences in Mouse Cardiac Electrophysiology Revealed by Simultaneous Imaging of Excitation-Contraction Coupling. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis, 2023. 10(12).
39. Shi W, et al. Cardiac proteomics reveals sex chromosome-dependent differences between males and females that arise prior to gonad formation. Dev Cell; 2021.
40. Waldron L, et al. The Cardiac TBX5 Interactome Reveals a Chromatin Remodeling Network Essential for Cardiac Septation. Dev Cell. 2016;36(3):262–75.
41. Miner GE, et al. PLIN5 interacts with FATP4 at membrane contact sites to promote lipid droplet-to-mitochondria fatty acid transport. Dev Cell. 2023;58(14):1250–e12656.
42. Peterson LR, et al. Sex differences in myocardial oxygen and glucose metabolism. J Nucl Cardiol. 2007;14(4):573–81.
43. de las Fuentes L, et al. Myocardial fatty acid metabolism: independent predictor of left ventricular mass in hypertensive heart disease. Hypertension. 2003;41(1):83–7.

44. Davila-Roman VG, et al. Altered myocardial fatty acid and glucose metabolism in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;40(2):271–7.
45. Flam E, et al. Integrated landscape of cardiac metabolism in end-stage human nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Nat Cardiovasc Res. 2022;1(9):817–29.
46. Carvajal K, Moreno-Sanchez R. Heart metabolic disturbances in cardiovascular diseases. Arch Med Res. 2003;34(2):89–99.
47. Trico D et al. *Abnormal Glucose Tolerance Is Associated with a Reduced Myocardial Metabolic Flexibility in Patients with Dilated Cardiomyopathy.* J Diabetes Res, 2016. 2016: p. 3906425.
48. Estigoy CB, et al. Intercalated discs: multiple proteins perform multiple functions in non-failing and failing human hearts. Biophys Rev. 2009;1(1):43.
49. Vermij SH, Abriel H, van Veen TA. Refining the molecular organization of the cardiac intercalated disc. Cardiovasc Res. 2017;113(3):259–75.
50. Vite A, Radice GL. N-cadherin/catenin complex as a master regulator of intercalated disc function. Cell Commun Adhes. 2014;21(3):169–79.
51. Delmar M, Makita N. Cardiac connexins, mutations and arrhythmias. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2012;27(3):236–41.
52. Deranek AE, Klass MM, Tardiff JC. Moving beyond simple answers to complex disorders in sarcomeric cardiomyopathies: the role of integrated systems. Pflugers Arch. 2019;471(5):661–71.
53. Ito Y, et al. Disorganization of intercalated discs in dilated cardiomyopathy. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):11852.
54. Rockman HA, Koch WJ, Lefkowitz RJ. Seven-transmembrane-spanning receptors and heart function. Nature. 2002;415(6868):206–12.
55. Lakdawala NK, Givertz MM. Dilated cardiomyopathy with conduction disease and arrhythmia. Circulation. 2010;122(5):527–34.
56. Asimaki A, Saffitz JE. Remodeling of cell-cell junctions in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Cell Commun Adhes. 2014;21(1):13–23.
57. Moise N et al. Intercalated disk nanoscale structure regulates cardiac conduction. J Gen Physiol, 2021. 153(8).
58. Corrado D, Basso C, Thiene G. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: an update. Heart. 2009;95(9):766–73.
59. Gutstein DE, et al. Conduction slowing and sudden arrhythmic death in mice with cardiac-restricted inactivation of connexin43. Circ Res. 2001;88(3):333–9.
60. Siegersma KR, et al. Deep neural networks reveal novel sex-specific electrocardiographic features relevant for mortality risk. Eur Heart J Digit Health. 2022;3(2):245–54.
61. Liu S, et al. Gender differences in the electrophysiological characteristics of atrioventricular conduction system and their clinical implications. Scand Cardiovasc J. 2001;35(5):313–7.

62. Jeevaratnam K, et al. Differences in sino-atrial and atrio-ventricular function with age and sex attributable to the Scn5a+/- mutation in a murine cardiac model. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2010;200(1):23–33.
63. Rodgers JL, et al. Sex differences in murine cardiac pathophysiology with hyperoxia exposure. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(2):1491–501.
64. Shi W et al. CHD4 and SMYD1 repress common transcriptional programs in the developing heart. Development, 2024. 151(8).
65. Jumper J, et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021;596(7873):583–9.
66. Dorr KM, Conlon FL. Proteomic-based approaches to cardiac development and disease. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2019;48:150–7.
67. Kennedy L, et al. Formation of a TBX20-CASZ1 protein complex is protective against dilated cardiomyopathy and critical for cardiac homeostasis. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(9):e1007011.
68. Blenck CL, et al. The Importance of Biological Sex and Estrogen in Rodent Models of Cardiovascular Health and Disease. Circ Res. 2016;118(8):1294–312.
69. Ojeda NB, et al. Estrogen protects against increased blood pressure in postpubertal female growth restricted offspring. Hypertension. 2007;50(4):679–85.
70. Spoladore R et al. Metabolic Approaches for the Treatment of Dilated Cardiomyopathy. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis, 2023. 10(7).
71. Varma E, et al. Translational control of Ybx1 expression regulates cardiac function in response to pressure overload in vivo. Basic Res Cardiol. 2023;118(1):25.
72. Wu LF, et al. Global profiling of protein lysine malonylation in mouse cardiac hypertrophy. J Proteom. 2022;266:104667.
73. Ku HJ, et al. IDH2 deficiency promotes mitochondrial dysfunction and cardiac hypertrophy in mice. Free Radic Biol Med. 2015;80:84–92.
74. Alsina KM, et al. Loss of Protein Phosphatase 1 Regulatory Subunit PPP1R3A Promotes Atrial Fibrillation. Circulation. 2019;140(8):681–93.
75. Cordero P, et al. Pathologic gene network rewiring implicates PPP1R3A as a central regulator in pressure overload heart failure. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2760.
76. Li GH, et al. Gelsolin regulates cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction through DNase I-mediated apoptosis. Circ Res. 2009;104(7):896–904.
77. Schrickel JW, et al. Lack of gelsolin promotes perpetuation of atrial fibrillation in the mouse heart. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2009;26(1):3–10.
78. Frazier AE, et al. Fatal perinatal mitochondrial cardiac failure caused by recurrent de novo duplications in the ATAD3 locus. Med. 2021;2(1):49–73.
79. Xu M, et al. Berberine protects Kawasaki disease-induced human coronary artery endothelial cells dysfunction by inhibiting of oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress. Vascul Pharmacol.

2020;127:106660.
80. Pan Y, et al. Characterization of differentially expressed plasma proteins in patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Proteom. 2020;227:103923.
81. Shankar TS, et al. Cardiac-specific deletion of voltage dependent anion channel 2 leads to dilated cardiomyopathy by altering calcium homeostasis. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4583.
82. Palatinus JA, et al. GJA1-20k Rescues Cx43 Localization and Arrhythmias in Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy. Circ Res. 2023;132(6):744–6.
83. Davies GE, et al. Genetic variation in the COL6A1 region is associated with congenital heart defects in trisomy 21 (Down's syndrome). Ann Hum Genet. 1995;59(3):253–69.
84. Verdonschot JAJ, et al. A mutation update for the FLNC gene in myopathies and cardiomyopathies. Hum Mutat. 2020;41(6):1091–111.
85. Teekakirikul P, et al. Genetic resiliency associated with dominant lethal TPM1 mutation causing atrial septal defect with high heritability. Cell Rep Med. 2022;3(2):100501.
86. Xu R, et al. Samm50 Promotes Hypertrophy by Regulating Pink1-Dependent Mitophagy Signaling in Neonatal Cardiomyocytes. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:748156.
87. Jaouadi H, et al. Identification of two variants in AGRN and RPL3L genes in a patient with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia suggesting new candidate disease genes and digenic inheritance. Clin Case Rep. 2022;10(2):e05339.
88. Olive M, et al. Myoglobinopathy is an adult-onset autosomal dominant myopathy with characteristic sarcoplasmic inclusions. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1396.
89. Munjal C, et al. TGF-beta mediates early angiogenesis and latent fibrosis in an Emilin1-deficient mouse model of aortic valve disease. Dis Model Mech. 2014;7(8):987–96.
90. Guan YZ et al. *Identification of the Potential Molecular Mechanism of TGFBI Gene in Persistent Atrial Fibrillation.* Comput Math Methods Med, 2022. 2022: p. 1643674.
91. Wu G, et al. Truncating Variants in OBSCN Gene Associated With Disease-Onset and Outcomes of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. Circ Genom Precis Med. 2021;14(5):e003401.
92. Chen P, et al. Intracellular calcium current disorder and disease phenotype in OBSCN mutant iPSC-based cardiomyocytes in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Theranostics. 2020;10(24):11215–29.

Figures




Figure 1

Figure 1

Loss of A1BG and Its Sex-Differential Cardiac Effects on the Left Ventricle. (A) Representative images of (A) male control, (B) female control, (C) male A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO), and (D) female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) hearts stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (E) Echocardiogram data (n=11 mice of each genotype) for left ventricular posterior wall thickness in (E) systole (LVPW;s) and (F) diastole (LVPW;d). Significance was determined by ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. **** indicates $p<0.001$, ** indicates $p<0.01$.




Figure 2

Figure 2

Female hearts, but not male hearts, regulate the expression of genes related to cardiac metabolism and DCM. Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes in (A) female KO vs. female control group and (B) male KO vs. male control group. Cutoffs used were adjusted p-value< 0.05, and log2 fold change ≥ 0.5 (C) Venn diagram depicting genes downregulated (left) and upregulated (right) in A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) mice in both males and females. Overlap indicates genes similarly regulated in both sexes in response to

A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) (D) Pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes in response to A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO). (E) Heat map of selected dysregulated genes in female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) and control mice.




Figure 3

Figure 3

A1BG is required in females for the formation of the cardiac intercalated disc. (A-D) Transmission electron micrograph images of (A) male control, (B) female control, (C) male A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO), and female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) (D) hearts taken at 50000x magnification. (E) Quantification of differences in cardiomyocyte intercalation, taken as a ratio of the total length of cell border divided by straight line length (n=2 hearts per genotype with >10 intercalated discs per heart analyzed. (F) PR interval duration in male and female A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO, control) and Tnnt2-Cre; A1bg$^{CM/CM}$ (A1BG KO) mice (n=14 mice per genotype). Significance was determined by ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test. * Indicates p<0.05 between indicated groups, **** indicates p<0.0001 between indicated groups.




Figure 4

Figure 4

A1BG is an extracellular matrix protein.(A) Schematic of A1BG genomic locus, mRNA transcript, and protein with key domains indicated. Amino acid numbers are noted below, and disulfide bonds are above the diagram. (B) AlphaFold3 structure prediction of A1BG**.** (C) AlphaFold 3 prediction of human A1BG (blue) aligned with mouse A1bg (green) with root mean square deviation indicated (RMSD) (D) Immunohistochemistry staining of female atria with antibodies for tropomyosin (TMY, green), A1BG (red), and DAPI (blue).




Figure 5

Figure 5

Female and male A1BG cardiomyocyte interactome. (A) Schematic of A1BG Rosa26 genomic locus for generation of CM-A1BG^{3XHA} mice. (B) Schematic depicting immuno-purification of A1BG in male and female mouse hearts to identify the A1BG interactome by mass spectrometry. Cutoffs were Log fold change >1.5 A1BG IP vs. non-HA control) (C) Proteins enriched in the (C) female and (D) male A1BG interactome with associated gene ontology circled.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- A1BGFigureS1ID.tif

- A1BGFigureS2.tif
- A1BGRNAseqdata.xlsx