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Abstract

Background

Mindfulness skills training is a core component of Dialectical Behavior Therapy and aims to improve emotion dysregulation (ED) in people with Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD). However, the underlying mechanisms of change are not fully understood.

Methods

A total of 75 BPD outpatients participated in a 10-week mindfulness skills training. Decentering, nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness was proposed as putative mechanisms. Multilevel models with a time-lagged approach were conducted to examine the temporal dynamics between these mechanisms and ED.

Results

Greater nonjudgment and body awareness showed within-person effects; participants who reported higher nonjudgement of inner experience and body awareness than their own personal average at a given week showed improvement in ED at the following week. Notably, decentering moderated these associations, such that increased nonjudgment and body awareness predicted improvements in ED more strongly in those participants with high decentering ability. Lastly, a bidirectional relationship between the mechanisms and ED was found; when participants were more emotionally dysregulated than their usual state, they showed less gain in the mechanisms at the following week.

Conclusions

Knowing how mindfulness training works is relevant to optimize treatments. Clinicians may use strategies to increase these mechanisms when the goal is to improve emotion regulation difficulties in BPD.

INTRODUCTION

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a severe mental illness with an estimated community prevalence of 2.7%. It is characterized by affective dysregulation, unstable identity and interpersonal relationships, and serious behaviors such as non-suicidal self-injury [1, 2]. The complexity and severity of BPD is characterized by high comorbidity with other psychiatric disorders, drug and/or alcohol abuse, and a high risk of suicide, which lead to an extensive use of healthcare resources, high costs of treatment, polymedication, as well as impaired psychosocial and occupational functioning [3–6].
One of the main features underlying cognitive, affective, and behavioral instability in BPD is a pervasive pattern of emotion dysregulation (ED) [7, 8]. ED involves the inability to regulate or change an emotional response or expression in a desired manner and involves a lack of (or maladaptive use of) emotion regulation strategies [9], which in turn facilitates the emergence of impulsive and risky behaviors (e.g., self-harm, substance use). These risk behaviors can be understood as a consequence of emotion regulation difficulties or as a strategy to regulate a distressing emotional state [10]. Therefore, prevention and treatment efforts are focused on addressing ED as a means of reducing distress, suffering, psychosocial impairment, and potentially life-threatening risk behaviors in individuals with a diagnosis of BPD [11].

Psychotherapy is the main recommended treatment for BPD [10, 12]. One of the empirically based therapies for the treatment of BPD is dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) [13]. DBT is a multifaceted intervention involving individual and group therapy. The group therapy addresses the skills training and comprises four different modules: mindfulness, emotion regulation, distress tolerance, and interpersonal effectiveness. Mindfulness is one of the main components of DBT, and mindfulness training are the first skills taught to support the other DBT skills [14, 15]. Interestingly, mindfulness skills training as a stand-alone intervention improves ED in BPD [16, 17], but the underlying mechanisms of change are not yet fully understood.

An understanding of the mechanisms (i.e., mediators) through which psychological interventions produce changes in desired outcomes (understanding how and why it works) is essential to optimize treatments [18]. In addition, identifying moderators (i.e., the effects on direction or magnitude of the relation between the intervention and outcome) may guide therapeutic strategies that effectively impact the mechanisms or processes of an intervention in order to obtain therapeutic improvements [19]. Thus, clinical research based on mechanisms of change (and moderators) may inform which processes (e.g., cognitive, behavioral) of an intervention contribute to the expected outcomes and in what ways. One way to study the mechanisms is to demonstrate that changes in the putative mechanism are related to changes in the expected outcome through a temporal precedence with repeated session-by-session measures [18, 20] and also disaggregating within- and between-person effects of the mechanisms [21]. This method allows for a more precise examination of the process of change within an individual.

Research has focused on uncovering the mechanisms of change by which DBT skills training improves ED in BPD [22]. Studies suggest that a greater use of behavioral skills (learned during DBT skills training) mediates changes in emotion dysregulation [9, 23]. More specifically, studies exploring the within-person effects found that greater skills use predicted improvements in ED [24, 25] and fewer risk behaviors associated with high ED [26] after undergoing DBT skills training. However, there is a scarcity of studies focused on studying the mechanisms of change of mindfulness skills training as a stand-alone intervention. For example, a recent study reported that the amount of mindfulness practice at a given week (a required dose of at least 3 days and 30 minutes) predicted improvements in ED at the following week in BPD outpatients [27].
As noted, previous findings suggest that the use of DBT skills (e.g., mindfulness) improves ED in people with BPD. Although prior theoretical and empirical accounts have proposed decentering (i.e., change in perspective on the self), emotion regulation (i.e., process emotional reactions with non-judgmental stance), body awareness and attention awareness as possible mechanisms or processes by which mindfulness practice may works [28, 29], the underlying mechanisms of change remains unclear. Decentering consists of adopting a change in perspective of one's own experience through the disidentification of the mental contents that are usually experienced as defining the self [30, 31]. This metacognitive capacity involves a process of meta-awareness by observing thoughts and emotions in a non-attached manner, which results in a reduced reactivity to thought content [32]. Interestingly, decentering has been proposed as a mediating mechanism both in mindfulness DBT skills training [17] and in emotion regulation interventions [33].

The emotion regulation process related to a nonjudgmental stance towards internal experience (i.e., when individuals stop criticizing themselves) is associated with a lower level of psychopathology [34]. However, individuals with BPD are characterized by a high tendency to judge their internal experience [14, 35]. Therefore, strategies that help increase the capacity for nonjudgment are particularly relevant to foster emotional acceptance in BPD [15]. Interestingly, this capacity (i.e., nonjudgment) is an active component of mindfulness DBT skills training. However, it has been shown to act as a mediating mechanism between DBT skills training and clinical outcomes in BPD [36, 37]. For instance, increased levels of nonjudgment over time predict fewer borderline symptoms in women with BPD features [38].

Body awareness involves paying attention to body information by identifying internal body sensations (e.g., tension) and the associated emotional state (feeling relaxed or stressed) as opposed to avoiding the bodily experience [39]. Bodily sensations are a common object of attention during mindfulness meditations [40] and would be cultivated through the ability to observe the inner experience [41]. Body awareness levels are significantly decreased in individuals with BPD compared to healthy controls, and this deficit is associated with ED [42]. Therefore, increasing body awareness is a relevant aspect in the treatment of psychological disorders, including BPD [15, 28]. Attention awareness is another putative mechanism underlying mindfulness practice and involves cultivating a present-moment awareness. For instance, mindfulness meditation involves focusing attention on an object (e.g., breathing), noticing distraction, and returning to the object of attention [43]. Promoting attention regulation in individuals with BPD is relevant to ameliorate ED and impulse control by teaching participants to observe what they are experiencing in the present moment, without attachment or avoidance of the content of the experience [15]. To our knowledge, no study has evaluated these proposed mechanisms at the same time in a mindfulness training for individuals with BPD. Knowing these specific mechanisms of change may be useful for clinicians to understand how mindfulness training works.

In summary, the main question guiding this study is how participants with BPD improve their level of ED through a mindfulness skills training. Therefore, this study explored the potential mechanisms of change within mindfulness training, focusing on decentering, nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness. Firstly, we evaluated whether these mechanisms and ED showed improvement over the
course of mindfulness skills training. Secondly, we explored the temporal dynamics between mechanisms and ED throughout mindfulness skills training. Thirdly, based on previous findings [44], we explored decentering as a metacognitive ability that could moderate (i.e., increase the strength) the relationship between the other proposed mechanisms and ED. In summary, our hypotheses were: (1) Decentering, nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness would increase and ED would decrease during mindfulness skills training; (2) greater within- and between-person effects of mechanisms would predict improvements in ED in the following week; and this relationship would be bidirectional; and (3) the association between nonjudgment, body awareness, and attention awareness with ED would be greater among participants with higher decentering capacity.

METHOD

Participants

Participants were recruited from the Department of Psychiatry Unit of the Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau (Barcelona, Spain). This outpatient program is part of the Public National Mental Health Service of Spain and provides specialized care for people with BPD diagnosis. A total of 95 BPD outpatients were enrolled in a DBT skill training. Of these, 75 participants were included in the present study (13 discontinued treatment before starting the first session; 7 had very low attendance and completed less than 3 weekly assessments). All participants were evaluated by a psychiatrist and a clinical psychologist from the psychiatry unit, both with a doctoral degree and experience in the treatment of people with BPD. The clinical diagnosis was based on two semi-structured interviews: Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV axis II Disorders (SCID II) [45]and the Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines (DIB-R) [46]. The inclusion criteria were: (i) diagnostic confirmation of BPD; (ii) age between 18–55 years; (iii) absence of any of the following comorbid conditions: schizophrenia, drug-induced psychosis, organic brain syndrome, substance dependence, bipolar disorder, intellectual disability; (iv) not receiving any other type of psychotherapy at the time of study enrollment. Patients were allowed to continue with the drug regimen followed prior to study enrollment. However, they were not allowed to change the type or dose of drugs during the study period. Participants had not previously participated in DBT skills training.

Procedure

Participants were enrolled in a 10-week DBT mindfulness skills training. In total, 7 groups were conducted between February 2020 and October 2023 (12–15 participants/group). Each intervention group followed the same structure and weekly sessions were 2.5 hours in length. All groups were led by the same team (2 clinical psychologists with training and experience in DBT, and expertise in mindfulness meditation). For each participant, written informed consent was obtained prior to inclusion in the study. The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau and was conducted in accordance with the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The intervention was based on the DBT skills training protocol [15]. The 10-week mindfulness training program consisted of 1 week of orientation and goals, 6 weeks of mindfulness skills, and 3 weeks of
acceptance skills (taken from the distress tolerance module). This mindfulness training for BPD is proposed by Linehan as an alternative to standard DBT skills training [15] and its efficacy has been shown in previous clinical trials [16, 47]. The mindfulness and acceptance skills taught were (i) Wise Mind; (ii) "What" Skills (i.e., observing, describing, participating); (iii) "How" Skills (i.e., nonjudgmentally, one-mindfully, and effectively); (iv) Radical Acceptance; (v) Mind Turning and Willingness; (vi) Half-smile and willing hands; and (vii) Mindfulness of current thoughts. Formal and informal mindfulness practice were taught during the sessions. In each session, a new skill was introduced and practiced through role-playing and discussion. Participants were then given meditation audios and worksheets related to the skills and instructed to practice at home. In the next session, the homework was reviewed and each participant commented on his or her experience with the practice, as well as any difficulties encountered. The therapist then reinforced the participants’ progress and suggested effective ways to improve skill practice. The meditation audios were recorded by the lead therapist and lasted 10 minutes.

**Measures**

Several repeat measures were collected on a weekly basis during the mindfulness training. Only the first introduction/orientation session was not assessed because it did not include mindfulness skills practice. In order to assess the participants’ level of ED and specific mechanism of change, they were asked to complete the following scales before starting a new session (i.e., session 2 onwards).

**Emotion Dysregulation.** The Brief Version of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale was used to capture how participants managed their weekly difficult emotional experiences (DERS-18) [48]. The 18-items Spanish translation of this self-report scale was administered and the total score was used in the analyses as an overall measure of emotion dysregulation. Items were rated on a scale from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). This scale has high internal reliability (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .91$). In the current study, this scale obtained a good internal reliability at session 1 (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .87$).

For each mechanism of change proposed, the 3 most representative items of each original scale were used based on the highest factor loadings, with the aim of facilitating the weekly assessment of the participants. The same Likert scale was used for all items, from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always).

**Decentering.** It was assessed with the Experiencing Questionnaire (EQ) [31]. The EQ is a 20-item self-report scale that assesses decentering and rumination. Decentering is the ability to observe thoughts and feelings in a detached manner [31]. In the present study, items from the Spanish validation EQ-Decentering was used [49]. These items were: (i) “I can separate myself from my thoughts and feelings” (factor loading: .74); (ii) “I can observe unpleasant feelings without being drawn into them” (factor loading: .72); (iii) “I view things from a wider perspective” (factor loading: .77). The Spanish EQ version has good internal reliability (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .89$) [49] and in the present study the 3-items scale had an acceptable internal reliability at session 1 (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .73$).

**Nonjudgment.** It was assessed with the Nonjudging of Inner Experience sub-scale of Five Facets Mindfulness Questionnaire (NJ-FFMQ) [41]. NJ-FFMQ refers to taking a non-evaluative stance toward
thoughts and feelings and is closely associated with acceptance, a central component of mindfulness [41, 50]. In the present study, items of the Spanish validation of NJ-FFMQ subscale was used [50]. These items were: (i) "I make judgments about whether my thoughts are good or bad" (factor loading: .69); (ii) "I tell myself I shouldn’t be thinking the way I’m thinking" (factor loading: .67); (iii) "I think some of my emotions are bad or inappropriate and I shouldn’t feel them" (factor loading: .73). The Spanish NJ-FFMQ version has an excellent internal reliability (Cronbach’s α = .91) [50] and the internal reliability of the 3-items in the current study at session 1 was good (Cronbach’s α = .81).

Body awareness. It was assessed with the Body Awareness of Scale Body Connection (BA-SBC), which measures the conscious attention to sensory signals of the state of the body, such as the sensation of tension or relaxation [39]. Items of the Spanish BA-SBC version was used in the current study [51]. These items were: (i) "I take my body's signals to understand how I feel" (factor loading: .83); (ii) "I listen to my body's information about my emotional state" (factor loading: .85); (iii) "When I am tense, I pay attention to where the tension is concentrated in my body" (factor loading: .72). The Spanish BA-SBS has a good internal reliability (Cronbach's α = .86) [51]. The 3-items scale used in the current study also showed good internal reliability at session 1 (Cronbach's α = .84).

Attention awareness: It was assessed with the Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) [52]. It is a 15-item self-reported questionnaire, which measures an individual’s awareness of their experience in the present moment, in contrast to having automated behaviors. Items of the Spanish MAAS version were used in the current study [53]. These items were: (i) "I drive places on 'automatic pilot' and then wonder why I went there" (factor loading: .77); (ii) "I find myself doing things without paying attention" (factor loading: .71); (iii) "I snack without being aware that I'm eating" (factor loading: .69). Both the original version [52] and the Spanish version [53] present high internal reliability (Cronbach's α = 0.82 and 0.89, respectively). In the present study, the 3-items scale showed an acceptable internal reliability at session 1 (Cronbach's α = .71).

Data analysis

First, descriptive analyses of the participants were conducted. Second, pre- and post-intervention correlations were calculated to determine baseline and post-treatment relationships between mechanism of change and ED. Third, multilevel modeling (MLM) analyses with a restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation method and with a time-lagged approach were conducted to examine the temporal dynamics over time between the proposed mechanism of change (i.e., mediators) and ED. MLM allows modeling changes in the measures of interest over time and time-lagged predictions allows exploring the association of a previous time point measure (i.e., time x) with a later time point measure (i.e., time x + 1). For these analyses, the between- and within-person variability was disaggregated, that is recommended for multilevel models with repeated measures data [21]. To calculate the within-person effects (i.e., level 1), the person-mean-centered was calculated by subtracting the participant-level mean across observations from each participant’s score at each time point. Thus, scores at each time point become a deviation score, representing the person's deviation from her own average at that time point. To obtain the between-person effects (i.e., level 2), the grand-mean-centered was calculated by subtracting each
participant’s mean from the sample average of all participants’ means. Thus, the participant-level mean represents the person’s deviation from the total sample average [54]. This approach is recommended to interpret the parameters in MLM [55]. Then, it was tested whether between- and within-person changes in decentering, nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness at session x predicted changes in ED at session x + 1, over mindfulness skills training. The reverse direction was also explored to assess the bidirectional relationship. That is, whether between- and within-person changes in ED level at session x predicted changes in the proposed mechanisms of change at session x + 1.

Fourth, cross-level interactions were conducted to assess the moderating role of decentering between the association of the other mechanisms and ED. A cross-level interaction occurs when a level 2 variable (i.e., between-person) moderates the magnitude of a level 1 association (i.e., within-person) [55]. Separate MLM analyses were performed to explore whether the between-person effect of decentering (level 2) moderates the within-person effects of nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness (level 1) on the prediction of ED. Significant interactions were probed by testing the simple slopes of each mechanism (e.g., nonjudgment) on ED at high and low levels of decentering capacity (i.e., ± 1 SD) [56].

In all MLM analyses the participants’ intercept was defined as random effects. The partR² package was used [57] to estimate the marginal and conditional R² [58]. The coefficient of determination R² was used to interpret the effect size of the models, which were classified as weak (.02-.13), medium (.13-.26) and large (> .26) [59]. All models were tested with the lme4 package [60] in RStudio and IBM SPSS statistics (version 24) was used for descriptive analyses.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the sample

A total of 75 outpatients with a diagnosis of BPD attended a mindfulness skills training (M<sub>age</sub> = 27.15 [range 18–53], 93.3% female). A total of 499 observations were obtained (Mean = 4.95, SD = 2.60). Most participants were working (33%) or studying (32%) at the time of the evaluation (see Table 1).
Table 1
Sociodemographic characteristics of total sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total sample (N = 75)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong>, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.15 (8.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong>, female, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>33 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>23 (30.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>19 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment Status</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>17 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>25 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>24 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick leave</td>
<td>4 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability pension</td>
<td>5 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical characteristics</strong>, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIB-R total score</td>
<td>7 (1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous self-injury behavior</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past suicide acts</td>
<td>32 (42.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past non-suicide self-injury</td>
<td>53 (70.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmacological treatment</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant</td>
<td>56 (74.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>27 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>17 (22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood stabilizers</td>
<td>15 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. SD = standard deviation. DIB-R = Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Regarding the weekly practice of the tasks assigned during training, the participants practiced mindfulness skills for a median of 3 days (M = 2.80, SD = 1.97, range = 0–7) and a mean of 27.90
minutes per week (SD = 27.81, range = 0-180). In addition, practice time increased over the course of the training, both in days (b = .16, SE = .02, p < .001) and minutes (b = 1.27, SE = .38, p < .01).

Table 2 shows the correlations between ED (DERS-18) and the mechanisms of change proposed in sessions 1 and 9 of the mindfulness training. Correlations were significant both at baseline (r range from −.306 to −.487) and at the end of the training (r range from −.573 to −.642). However, more robust correlations were observed in session 9 (moderate to high).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DERS</th>
<th>Decentering</th>
<th>Nonjudgment</th>
<th>Body awareness</th>
<th>Attention awareness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.558**</td>
<td>-.642**</td>
<td>-.593**</td>
<td>-.573**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentering</td>
<td>-.487***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.290*</td>
<td>.569**</td>
<td>.439**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonjudgment</td>
<td>-.416**</td>
<td>.369**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.434**</td>
<td>.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body awareness</td>
<td>-.480**</td>
<td>.533**</td>
<td>.241</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.443**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention awareness</td>
<td>-.306*</td>
<td>-.089</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Correlations below the diagonal correspond to session 1 scores. Correlations above the diagonal correspond to session 9 scores. DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; Decentering = Experience Questionnaire - Decentering items [EQ]; Nonjudgment = Five facet Mindfulness Questionnaire – Nonjudge sub-scale items [FFMQ-NJ]; Body Awareness = Scale Body Connection – Body-Awareness sub-scale items [SBC-BA]; Attention Awareness = Mindful Attention Awareness Scale items [MAAS]. *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

[Insert Table 3 here]
### Table 3
Time-lagged analysis of changes in mechanisms and improvement in emotion dysregulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>DERS Predicted by time-lagged Decentering</th>
<th>Decentering Predicted by time-lagged DERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (sessions)</td>
<td>-.58</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-person</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-person</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2_{\text{marginal}}$</td>
<td>= .31 [95% CI: .19-.41]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = .69 [95% CI: .66-.74]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $R^2_{\text{marginal}}$ | = .27 [95% CI: .20-.33]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = .56 [95% CI: .46-.64] |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>DERS Predicted by time-lagged Nonjudgment</th>
<th>Nonjudgment Predicted by time-lagged DERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (sessions)</td>
<td>-.51</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-person</td>
<td>-3.05</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-person</td>
<td>-.43</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2_{\text{marginal}}$</td>
<td>= .29 [95% CI: .21-.45]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = .68 [95% CI: .63-.75]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $R^2_{\text{marginal}}$ | = .30 [95% CI: .23-.39]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = .67 [95% CI: .57-.76] |

Notes. DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; Decentering = Experience Questionnaire - Decentering items [EQ]; Nonjudgment = Five facet Mindfulness Questionnaire – Nonjudge sub-scale items [FFMQ-NJ]; Body Awareness = Scale Body Connection – Body-Awareness sub-scale items [SBC-BA]; Attention-Awareness = Mindful Attention Awareness Scale items [MAAS]; $R^2_{\text{marginal}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effect predictors relative to the total variance in the response; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effects plus random effects relative to the total variance in the response.
### DERS Predicted by time-lagged Decentering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (sessions)</td>
<td>-.54</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-person</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>-4.89</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-person</td>
<td>-.59</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .20$ [95% CI: .15-.33]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}} = .69$ [95% CI: .57-.74]

### Decentering Predicted by time-lagged DERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>- .52</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (sessions)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-person</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-person</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .19$ [95% CI: .07-.25]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}} = .63$ [95% CI: .56-.73]

Notes. DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; Decentering = Experience Questionnaire - Decentering items [EQ]; Nonjudgment = Five facet Mindfulness Questionnaire – Nonjudge sub-scale items [FFMQ-NJ]; Body Awareness = Scale Body Connection – Body-Awareness sub-scale items [SBC-BA]; Attention-Awareness = Mindful Attention Awareness Scale items [MAAS]; $R^2_{\text{marginal}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effect predictors relative to the total variance in the response; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effects plus random effects relative to the total variance in the response.

### Changes in emotion dysregulation and mechanisms of change during mindfulness training
The effects of mindfulness training on ED levels and mechanisms of change was assessed. The mindfulness training (sessions from 1 to 9) prompted improvements in participants’ ED over time ($b = -0.82, p < .001$) as well as an increase in each of the mechanisms assessed (decentering: $b = 0.13, p < .001$; nonjudgment: $b = 0.20, p < .001$; body awareness: $b = 0.12, p < .01$; attention awareness: $b = 0.14, p < .01$).

Subsequently, it was explored whether improvements in ED and gains in mechanisms of change over mindfulness training were moderated by sociodemographic variables (e.g., age, sex) or baseline clinical variables (i.e., score in diagnostic interview for Borderlines [DIB-R], past suicide acts, past non-suicidal self-injury). Improvements in ED and gains in mechanisms were not moderated by sociodemographic variables (all $p$-values $> .11$) or by any of the baseline clinical measures (all $p$-values $> .18$).

**Effects of mechanisms of change on emotion dysregulation over time**

The time-lagged effects of decentering, nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness on ED was explored. In these analyses, the effect of both between and within person mechanisms on ED was tested (see Table 3). Consistent with our hypothesis, greater both within- and between-person effect of nonjudgment and body awareness at session $x$ predicted improvements in ED at session $x + 1$. This means that when participants reported higher capacity of nonjudgment and body awareness than their personal average (i.e., higher-than-usual), and more than the total sample average, they also showed an improvement/reduction in their ED level at the following week. Similarly, greater between-person (but not within-person) effects of decentering and attention awareness at session $x$ also predicted improvements in ED at session $x + 1$. Therefore, when participants reported higher capacity of decentering and attention awareness than the total sample average, they showed improvements in their ED in the following week (see also Table 3). Medium-to-large effects size were obtained in all models ($R^2: .20-.31$).

Subsequently, both the between- and within-person effect was assessed of all mechanisms on ED in the same model (see Table 4). Greater between-person effects of decentering, nonjudgment, and attention awareness, as well as greater within-person effects of body awareness in session $x$ predicted improvements in ED at the following week (i.e., session $x + 1$). The variance of the time lagged fixed effects (i.e., the four mechanisms at session $x$) explained 52% of the total variance of DERS in the following week, yielding a large effect size.
Table 4
Full model time-lagged analysis of mechanisms and improvement in emotion dysregulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(session x-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (sessions)</td>
<td>-.44</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentering Between-person</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentering Within-person</td>
<td>-.006</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonjudgment Between-person</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>-5.52</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonjudgment Within-person</td>
<td>-.31</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Awareness Between-person</td>
<td>-.58</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Awareness Within-person</td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention-Awareness Between-person</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>-4.86</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention-Awareness Within-person</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-.86</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .52$ [95% CI: .44-.60]; $R^2_{\text{conditional}} = .69$ [95% CI: .65-.74]

Notes. DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; Decentering = Experience Questionnaire - Decentering items [EQ]; Nonjudgment = Five facet Mindfulness Questionnaire – Nonjudge sub-scale items [FFMQ-NJ]; Body Awareness = Scale Body Connection – Body-Awareness sub-scale items [SBC-BA]; Attention Awareness = Mindful Attention Awareness Scale items [MAAS]; $R^2_{\text{marginal}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effect predictors relative to the total variance in the response; $R^2_{\text{conditional}}$ = the variance explained by fixed effects plus random effects relative to the total variance in the response.

Effects of emotion dysregulation on mechanism of change over time

We then explored the lagged effects of ED on each mechanism of change to determine the possibility of bidirectionality (see Table 3). Higher within- and between-person level of ED at session x predicted decreased capacity of decentering, nonjudgment and attention awareness at session x + 1. However, only higher between-person level of ED at session x predicted decreased body awareness at session x + 1. This means that when participants had a week with more emotion regulation difficulties than their personal average, they were less able to increase decentering, nonjudgment and attention awareness at the
following week. In addition, when participants had more ED than the total sample average, they were also less able to increase body awareness in the following week. In all models, medium-to-large effects size were obtained ($R^2: .19-.30$). Thus, a bidirectional temporal dynamic between the proposed mechanisms and ED was found.

**Decentering moderates the association of body awareness, attention awareness, and nonjudgment with emotion dysregulation**

Subsequently, the moderating role of decentering as a metacognitive process on the association between nonjudgment, body awareness, attention awareness, and ED was assessed. In these analyses, the between-person effects of decentering as moderator, the within-person effects of nonjudgment, body awareness and attention awareness as predictors, and ED as outcome were used [55]. First, an interaction effect was found between nonjudgment x decentering in predicting ED ($b = - .41$, SE = .09, $p < .001$). Simple slope analysis showed that within-person increase in nonjudgment predicted improvements in ED more strongly among participants with high decentering ($b = -2.37$, SE = .31, $p < .001$) than among participants with low decentering ($B = - .71$, SE = .22, $p < .01$; see Fig. 1).

Second, an interaction effect was also found between body awareness x decentering in predicting ED ($b = - .25$, SE = .08, $p < .01$). Simple slope analysis showed that a within-person increase in body awareness predicted improvements in ED more strongly among participants with high decentering ($B = -1.88$, SE = .30, $p < .001$) than among participants with low decentering ($B = - .84$, SE = .21, $p < .001$; see Fig. 2).

There was no significant moderating effect of decentering on the association between attention awareness and ED ($b = - .09$, SE = .09, $p = .31$). In addition, there was no evidence that a within-person effect of decentering was moderated by the between-person effects of nonjudgment, body awareness or attention awareness (all $p$-value > .69).

[Insert Figs. 1 and 2 here]

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to explore how mindfulness DBT skills training improves emotion regulation in people with BPD. A main finding was that participants who at a given week increased their capacity for nonjudgment of inner experience and body awareness more than their own personal average (i.e., within-person effects), and those who increased their capacity for decentering and attention awareness more than the total sample average (i.e., between-person effects), showed improvements in ED at the following week. Moreover, as expected, a bidirectional relationship was found. That is, when participants were more emotionally dysregulated than their own usual state, they showed less gains in decentering, nonjudgment and attention awareness at the following week. Lastly, decentering moderated the relationship between nonjudgment and body awareness with ED, such that increased nonjudgment and body awareness
predicted improvements in ED more strongly in those participants with high decentering capacity compared with those participants with low decentering.

The present study is an advance in identifying the possible mechanisms of change involved in a mindfulness DBT skills training as a stand-alone intervention to improve ED in BPD outpatients, using ad hoc methodology [20]. Previous studies reporting the mechanisms of change involved in a DBT skills training agree that greater use of behavioral skills mediates changes in ED [9]. Specifically, when participants use more DBT skills than their own personal average, their emotion regulation capacity improve [24, 25]. A recent study explored this question only in a DBT mindfulness skills training and also found that greater use of behavioral skills (i.e., mindfulness practice) predicted improvements in ED [27]. Current results extend previous findings and provide further evidence to report specifically what are the mechanisms of change involved in a mindfulness skill training.

Specifically, the within-person effects of nonjudgment and body awareness predicted subsequent improvements in ED. Previous empirical studies support these findings, showing that acceptance without judgment mediated changes in psychiatric symptoms and frequency of nonsuicidal self-injury – ED related outcomes – following DBT skills training [36, 37]. Similarly, Eisenlohr-Moul et al. [38] found that fluctuations in nonjudgment of internal experience over time (i.e., at within-person level) predicted lower borderline symptoms in women with BPD features. The tendency to judge internal experience is characteristic in individuals with BPD and contributes to emotional regulation problems [35, 61]. Therefore, strategies focused on improving this ability are key in the treatment of BPD [14]. The role of body awareness as a mechanism of change has been less studied in DBT interventions and the evidence obtained from other mindfulness-based interventions shows significant but small effects [62]. However, our results support the role of body awareness as a relevant mechanism to foster emotion regulation in BPD. For example, some of the items used to assess body awareness (“I take my body’s signals to understand how I feel”) highlight the importance of the body (e.g., attending to bodily sensations) to facilitate awareness of one’s emotions and feelings, which is a pre-condition for regulating them [28].

An additional finding in the current study was that decentering ability modulated the strength of the association between nonjudgment, body awareness, and ED, such that high decentering capacity reinforced the effect of these mechanisms on reducing ED. This result is consistent with previous studies [44] and highlights the role of decentering as a metacognitive capacity that promotes emotion regulation [33]. Decentering is described as a metacognitive awareness that helps us disidentify from the contents of our experience so that instead of fusing with them (e.g., “I am not lovable”), we can perceive them as transient mental events (e.g., “I am having a thought of not being lovable”) [32]. Given that decentering is diminished in individuals with BPD [49], a goal of mindfulness skills in DBT is to increase meta-awareness of mental contents by enhancing the ability to detach from thoughts and sensations, and decreasing reactivity to mental events [15]. Furthermore, the findings support that decentering not only allows for greater meta-awareness of mental contents but also of bodily sensations, but not inversely (i.e., moderating role), suggesting that observing judgmental thought patterns and intense bodily
sensations (e.g., associated with distress) from a decentered perspective may help reduce emotional reactivity [63].

As noted, a bidirectional temporal dynamic between the proposed mechanisms and ED was found. Interestingly, previous studies also found a bidirectional relationship between poorer psychosocial functioning and ED [54], and between mindfulness practice skills and ED [27] in people with BPD who attended DBT skill training. These antecedents suggest that when participants with BPD had a previous high level of ED, they tended to practice less and, therefore, improved less in the proposed mechanisms. One explanation for this effect is that mindfulness practice is mood-dependent [64] and therefore practicing skills is more difficult when one is emotionally dysregulated. This leads to a challenge for clinicians when intervening with individuals diagnosed with BPD who usually have pervasive patterns of ED. However, part of the effectiveness of DBT skill training is that participants are taught to express and manage difficult emotional states within a structured group setting in which the therapist models skills and provides positive feedback and reinforcement [22]. This encourages exposure to difficult experiences rather than avoidance or fusion with them [44]. In this way, patients undergoing DBT skills training may feel more capable to apply their newly learned skills to the real-world.

The results of this study may have some clinical implications and allow for possible causal interpretations of the mechanisms that favor improvements in ED after mindfulness skill training. Only nonjudgment and body awareness increases were related to within-person effects, whereas increases in decentering and attention awareness were only related to between-person effects. Thus, the more attitudinal aspects of mindfulness, such as nonjudgment and body awareness, seem to be more sensitive to within-person variability, whereas the more attentional and metacognitive aspects seem more stable [38]. Importantly, when all four proposed mechanisms of change were included in the same model, they explained 52% of the variance of changes in ED in the following week. Thus, these mechanisms appear to complement each other and therapists could consider this information to improve the efficacy of BPD-oriented treatments. In addition, decentering capacity acted as a metacognitive process that enhances the effect of the other mechanisms on ED. This also suggests that therapists may use strategies that increase the decentering ability in BPD treatments.

The present study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. First, a single-arm non-randomized trial was implemented, that limits the generalizability of our conclusions. Second, to facilitate the weekly evaluation of the participants, the 3 most representative items of each measure (i.e., mechanisms of change) was used. However, these items may not be fully representative of the overall construct and may therefore increase risk of false negatives (i.e., type II error). Third, a measure of ED was used as the outcome. However, ED can also be considered as a process that contributes to the development or maintenance of psychopathology [65]. For example, a repeated measure of borderline symptoms would have enriched the results. Fourth, the sample was mostly composed of women. It is desirable that future studies consider more representative samples of men with BPD.
Conclusions

The present study provides preliminary evidence on the mechanisms of change underlying mindfulness skill training. Therapists could benefit from this information when treating emotion dysregulation in BPD patients by using strategies that promote decentering, nonjudgment and body awareness. Finally, understanding how mindfulness DBT skills training works can help optimize treatment for BPD.
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Figures
Figure 1

Decentering moderating the association between Nonjudgment and emotion dysregulation.
Figure 2

Decentering moderating the association between Body awareness and emotion dysregulation.