

Supplementary table 1. Cytogenetic classifications of the patients in the training and test set.

	Training set	Test set
<i>B-ALL, hyperploid</i>	24.6% (n = 141)	28.4% (n = 54)
<i>B-ALL, NOS</i>	24.4% (n = 140)	20.5% (n = 39)
<i>B-ALL with t(12;21)(p13.2;q22.1)/ETV6::RUNX1</i>	21.1% (n = 121)	22.6% (n = 43)
<i>T-ALL</i>	13.4% (n = 77)	12.6% (n = 24)
<i>B-ALL with t(1;19)(q23.3;p13.3)/TCF3::PBX1</i>	3.7% (n = 21)	0% (n = 0)
<i>B-ALL with t(v;11q23.3)/KMT2A rearranged</i>	3.3% (n = 19)	4.7% (n = 9)
<i>B-ALL, with PAX5 alteration</i>	3.0% (n = 17)	3.2% (n = 6)
<i>B-ALL with t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1</i>	2.6% (n = 15)	2.1% (n = 4)
<i>B-ALL with iAMP21</i>	1.2% (n = 7)	2.6% (n = 5)
<i>B-ALL with DUX4 rearrangement</i>	1.2% (n = 7)	1.6% (n = 3)
<i>B-ALL with ZNF384(362) rearrangement</i>	0.9% (n = 5)	1.0% (n = 2)
<i>B-ALL, hypoploid</i>	0.5% (n = 3)	0.5% (n = 1)

Supplementary table 2. C-indexes of the different random forest models evaluated for the 369 prediction of RFS and OS. In the RFS, no correlation filter was employed because of the 370 reduced number of CpG probes with low q-values. Additionally, no benefit of the HR hard 371 filter was observed as this didn't discard any variable. In the case of OS, both the HR and 372 correlation filters were evaluated.

OS predictors				
Q-value	HR filter	Correlation Filter	Training set c-index	Test set c-index
0,05	Yes	No	0,7383	0,7374
0,05	No	No	0,7388	0,7256
0,01	Yes	No	0,7245	0,7146
0,01	No	No	0,7219	0,7189
0,05	Yes	Yes	0,7469	0,759
0,05	No	Yes	0,751	0,726
0,01	Yes	Yes	0,7082	0,6905
0,01	No	Yes	0,7141	0,7141

RFS predictors				
Q-value		Correlation Filter	Training set c-index	Test set c-index
0,05	Yes	No	0,6408	0,6856
0,05	No	No	0,6408	0,6856
0,01	Yes	No	0,6329	0,668
0,01	No	No	0,6329	0,668

Supplementary table 3. Variable importance values for each of the CpGs in the final relapse 374 risk predictor (RRP). Variables are depicted in decreasing order of importance.

ID	Order	Variable Importance
<i>cg25663770</i>	1	0,06554844
<i>cg18358754</i>	2	0,059755976
<i>cg20324356</i>	3	0,055948684
<i>cg17209692</i>	4	0,046814949
<i>cg22535729</i>	5	0,046196853
<i>cg14396214</i>	6	0,044118723
<i>cg03446203</i>	7	0,041276727
<i>cg18076500</i>	8	0,032943851
<i>cg21173721</i>	9	0,028398854
<i>cg16267059</i>	10	0,027563885
<i>cg08445782</i>	11	0,02581552
<i>cg00046913</i>	12	0,02409957
<i>cg08025954</i>	13	0,022245443
<i>cg23672291</i>	14	0,021704871
<i>cg04956471</i>	15	0,018572842
<i>cg10286363</i>	16	0,014819689

Supplementary table 4. Variable importance values for each of the CpGs in the final 376 mortality risk predictor (MRP). Variables are depicted in decreasing order of importance.

ID	Order	Variable Importance
<i>cg27210565</i>	1	0,063527541
<i>cg24536691</i>	2	0,042341039
<i>cg03180426</i>	3	0,041068496
<i>cg02514021</i>	4	0,040311171
<i>cg25149751</i>	5	0,038510061
<i>cg14199423</i>	6	0,034164831
<i>cg13072214</i>	7	0,033705229
<i>cg00470505</i>	8	0,032985824
<i>cg24877510</i>	9	0,03295808
<i>cg04301738</i>	10	0,028867644
<i>cg01911068</i>	11	0,028259842
<i>cg01363662</i>	12	0,027528074
<i>cg12296532</i>	13	0,026475847
<i>cg11096441</i>	14	0,02633762
<i>cg14911521</i>	15	0,025627303
<i>cg27177997</i>	16	0,024900512
<i>cg27377289</i>	17	0,024210814
<i>cg03033176</i>	18	0,023074801
<i>cg27139956</i>	19	0,022446827
<i>cg08262220</i>	20	0,021682839

<i>cg26587014</i>	21	0,021155954
<i>cg04444771</i>	22	0,019274253
<i>cg20534287</i>	23	0,019063137
<i>cg17718302</i>	24	0,018363372
<i>cg19675684</i>	25	0,018226099
<i>cg09363128</i>	26	0,018022938
<i>cg16323034</i>	27	0,017824248
<i>cg24496614</i>	28	0,017757746
<i>cg00992239</i>	29	0,017732871
<i>cg10633958</i>	30	0,017696944
<i>cg06454380</i>	31	0,017257257
<i>cg23743428</i>	32	0,016514352
<i>cg16110032</i>	33	0,016450912
<i>cg08374494</i>	34	0,015762679
<i>cg01993576</i>	35	0,015554267
<i>cg00866476</i>	36	0,015148683
<i>cg04384209</i>	37	0,014865501
<i>cg19599529</i>	38	0,014854579
<i>cg03891050</i>	39	0,014626172
<i>cg19726840</i>	40	0,014613516
<i>cg07260003</i>	41	0,014193535
<i>cg00671759</i>	42	0,013626694
<i>cg09926212</i>	43	0,013236141
<i>cg02763617</i>	44	0,012980205
<i>cg07090714</i>	45	0,012969178
<i>cg08576623</i>	46	0,012921712
<i>cg15679331</i>	47	0,012061588
<i>cg23448978</i>	48	0,012011635
<i>cg14541870</i>	49	0,011934702
<i>cg23430664</i>	50	0,011162204
<i>cg02959285</i>	51	0,010143257
<i>cg13901752</i>	52	0,009903484
<i>cg08261702</i>	53	0,006727811

Supplementary table 5. C-indexes of the MRP within the traditional prognostic groups in the 378 cohort provided by Krali et al.

	Sample Size	C-index
Standard Risk	170	0, 590
Intermediate Risk	126	0, 526
High Risk	66	0,495
Infant	20	0,562