

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq

Bond precision: C-C = 0.0098 Å Wavelength=1.54178

Cell: a=20.9737(2) b=20.9737(2) c=20.9737(2)
 alpha=90 beta=90 gamma=90

Temperature: 170 K

	Calculated	Reported
Volume	9226.3(3)	9226.2(3)
Space group	I 2 3	I 2 3
Hall group	I 2 2 3	I 2 2 3
Moiety formula	2(C21 H12 N O6), 2(C21 H15 N O6), 3(H O), 6(H4 N) [+ solvent]	2(C21 H12 N O6), 2(C21 H15 N O6), 6(H4 N), 1.5(H2 O2), 0.875[C3
Sum formula	C84 H81 N10 O27 [+ solvent]	C86.62 H87.12 N10.88 O27.88
Mr	1662.59	1726.63
Dx, g cm ⁻³	1.197	1.243
Z	4	4
Mu (mm ⁻¹)	0.761	0.789
F000	3484.0	3624.0
F000'	3496.08	
h, k, lmax		23, 24, 24
Nref		2724
Tmin, Tmax	0.759, 0.815	0.602, 0.753
Tmin'	0.759	

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.602 Tmax=0.753

AbsCorr = NONE

Data completeness=

Theta(max)= 66.444

R(reflections)= 0.0745(2004)

wR2(reflections)=
0.2270(2724)

S = 1.019

Npar= 184

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.



Alert level C

RINTA01_ALERT_3_C The value of Rint is greater than 0.12
Rint given 0.153

PLAT020_ALERT_3_C The Value of Rint is Greater Than 0.12 0.153 Report

PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of C00J Check

PLAT250_ALERT_2_C Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor 3.2 Note

PLAT250_ALERT_2_C Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor 3.6 Note

PLAT260_ALERT_2_C Large Average Ueq of Residue Including O00M 0.207 Check

PLAT340_ALERT_3_C Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds 0.00979 Ang.

PLAT790_ALERT_4_C Centre of Gravity not Within Unit Cell: Resd. # 1 Note
C21 H12 N O6

PLAT911_ALERT_3_C Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.595 2 Report
2 0 4, 2 2 4,



Alert level G

FORMU01_ALERT_2_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the
_chemical_formula_sum and the formula from the _atom_site* data.
Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum: C86.62 H87.12 N10.88 O27.88
Atom count from the _atom_site data: C84 H81 N10 O27

CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected.

CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G ALERT: Large difference may be due to a
symmetry error - see SYMMG tests
From the CIF: _cell_formula_units_Z 4
From the CIF: _chemical_formula_sum C86.62 H87.12 N10.88 O27.88
TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data

atom	Z*formula	cif sites	diff
C	346.48	336.00	10.48
H	348.48	324.00	24.48
N	43.52	40.00	3.52
O	111.52	108.00	3.52

PLAT007_ALERT_5_G Number of Unrefined Donor-H Atoms 6 Report
H002 H00M H00A H00C H00F H00H

PLAT041_ALERT_1_G Calc. and Reported SumFormula Strings Differ Please Check
Calc: C84 H81 N10 O27
Rep.: C86.62 H87.12 N10.88 O27.88

PLAT042_ALERT_1_G Calc. and Reported MoietyFormula Strings Differ Please Check
Calc: 2(C21 H12 N O6), 2(C21 H15 N O6), 3(H O), 6(H4 N)
Rep.: 2(C21 H12 N O6), 2(C21 H15 N O6), 6(H4 N), 1.5(H2 O2), 0.875

PLAT072_ALERT_2_G SHELXL First Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large 0.16 Report

PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H00M Constrained at 0.5 Check

PLAT605_ALERT_4_G Largest Solvent Accessible VOID in the Structure 712 A**3

PLAT720_ALERT_4_G Number of Unusual/Non-Standard Labels 36 Note

O001	O002	H002	O003	O004	N005	N006	H00A
H00C	H00F	H00H	C007	C008	C009	C00A	C00B

	H00B	C00C	C00D	H00D	C00E	H00E	N00F	C00G
	H00G	C00H	C00I	H00I	C00J	H00J	C00K	H00K
	C00L	H00L	O00M	H00M				
PLAT869_ALERT_4_G	ALERTS	Related to the Use of SQUEEZE	Suppressed					! Info
PLAT909_ALERT_3_G	Percentage of I>2sig(I)	Data at Theta(Max)	Still					44% Note
PLAT910_ALERT_3_G	Missing # of FCF Reflection(s)	Below Theta(Min).						2 Note
	0	1	1,	0	0	2,		
PLAT916_ALERT_2_G	Hoof t y and Flack x	Parameter Values Differ by	.					0.20 Check
PLAT933_ALERT_2_G	Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File							3 Note
	-2	2	4,	2	0	4,	2	2
			4,					
PLAT978_ALERT_2_G	Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density.							0 Info

0 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
0 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
9 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
16 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

4 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
9 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
6 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
5 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
1 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

Validation response form

Please find below a validation response form (VRF) that can be filled in and pasted into your CIF.

```
# start Validation Reply Form
_vrf_RINTA01_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: The value of Rint is greater than 0.12
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT020_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: The Value of Rint is Greater Than 0.12 ..... 0.153 Report
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT241_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of C00J Check
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT250_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor .... 3.2 Note
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT260_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: Large Average Ueq of Residue Including O00M 0.207 Check
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT340_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
```

```

PROBLEM: Low Bond Precision on  C-C Bonds .....      0.00979 Ang.
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT790_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: Centre of Gravity not Within Unit Cell: Resd.  #          1 Note
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT911_cu_tca_nh4_1_0m_a_sq
;
PROBLEM: Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L=      0.595          2 Report
RESPONSE: ...
;
# end Validation Reply Form

```

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 14/11/2023; check.def file version of 14/09/2023

