nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Tianyi Yin, Sami Ramadan

Last updated by author(s): Sep 9, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a	Confirmed
☐	☒ The exact sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
☐	☒ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
☐	☒ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided *Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
☒	☐ A description of all covariates tested
☒	☐ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
☐	☒ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
☒	☐ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. *F*, *t*, *r*) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and *P* value noted *Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.*
☒	☐ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
☒	☐ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
☒	☐ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's *d*, Pearson's *r*), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	Electrical characterization of the different graphene sensing units contained inside the GFET chip array was performed using a custom-designed and portable readout system with a dedicated graphical user interface (GUI) connected to Matlab (Mathworks Inc., USA) via a Universal Serial Bus (USB). The custom MATLAB codes used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
Data analysis	Multivariate PCA analysis was performed using multiple methods for cross validation, including numerical approach and sklearn (Python library). The density functional theory (DFT) based analysis has been performed using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP). All code that supports the findings of this study is available from the corresponding authors upon request. The statistical data analysis were performed with OriginLab software OriginPro2022 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Relevant data supporting the key findings of this study are available within the manuscript and the Supplementary Information file. All raw data sets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with human participants or human data. See also policy information about sex, gender (identity/presentation), and sexual orientation and race, ethnicity and racism.

Reporting on sex and gender	*Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design; whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data, where this information has been collected, and if consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.*
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	*Please specify the socially constructed or socially relevant categorization variable(s) used in your manuscript and explain why they were used. Please note that such variables should not be used as proxies for other socially constructed/relevant variables (for example, race or ethnicity should not be used as a proxy for socioeconomic status). Provide clear definitions of the relevant terms used, how they were provided (by the participants/respondents, the researchers, or third parties), and the method(s) used to classify people into the different categories (e.g. self-report, census or administrative data, social media data, etc.) Please provide details about how you controlled for confounding variables in your analyses.*
Population characteristics	*Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."*
Recruitment	Patient samples were obtained through the CIRcular and Non-coding RNAs as Clinically USeful Biomarkers in Pancreaticobiliary Cancers (CIRCUS) clinical trial at Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (NCT04584996), Research Ethics Committee (REC)-approved, IRAS Project ID: 277406.
Ethics oversight	Research Ethics Committee (REC)-approved

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	A preliminary study with 4 clinical samples used to validate the performance of the fabricated GFET biosensor in clinical samples.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded
Replication	The result is replicated by the 6 individual GEFT channels on-chip. All replications were successful.
Randomization	This is not relevant.
Blinding	The investigator were blinded during data collection and analysis.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☒ Antibodies
☐	☐ Eukaryotic cell lines
☐	☐ Palaeontology and archaeology
☐	☐ Animals and other organisms
☐	☒ Clinical data
☐	☐ Dual use research of concern
☐	☐ Plants

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
☒	☐ ChIP-seq
☐	☒ Flow cytometry
☒	☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used: anti-CD63 antibody (BD Biosciences, #556019), anti-GPC-1 antibody (Invitrogen, PA5-28055), polyclonal goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher, # 31210), F(ab')2 (Thermo Fisher, # 31234) fragments and Fc fragments (Thermo Fisher, # 31216), Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated anti-Glypican antibody (Abcam, ab237290)

Validation: All antibodies were immobolized on the surface of the fabricated GFET sensor.
anti-CD63 antibody (BD Biosciences, #556019), anti-GPC-1 antibody (Invitrogen, PA5-28055) were used to capture exosomes. The validation is stated on the manufacture's website.
polyclonal goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher, # 31210), F(ab')2 (Thermo Fisher, # 31234) fragments and Fc fragments (Thermo Fisher, # 31216) are used to investigate the orientation of antibodies on the surface of graphene.
Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated anti-Glypican antibody (Abcam, ab237290) were used for nano flow cytometry. The validation is stated on the manufacture's website.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s): *State the source of each cell line used and the sex of all primary cell lines and cells derived from human participants or vertebrate models.*

Authentication: *Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.*

Mycoplasma contamination: *Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.*

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register): *Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.*

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance: *Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information). Permits should encompass collection and, where applicable, export.*

Specimen deposition: *Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.*

Dating methods: *If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.*

☐ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

Ethics oversight: *Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.*

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals	*For laboratory animals, report species, strain and age OR state that the study did not involve laboratory animals.*
Wild animals	*Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.*
Reporting on sex	*Indicate if findings apply to only one sex; describe whether sex was considered in study design, methods used for assigning sex. Provide data disaggregated for sex where this information has been collected in the source data as appropriate; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex-based analysis.*
Field-collected samples	*For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.*
Ethics oversight	*Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.*

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	NCT04584996
Study protocol	Full trial protocol can be accessed.
Data collection	All patients planned for surgical resection for PDAC were identified through the HPB multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meeting and by the clinical team at Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. These patients were approached either in clinic or at the pre-operative assessment.
Outcomes	Plasma samples were taken from resectionable PDAC patients and those with locally advanced, borderline, or metastatic PDAC deemed unsuitable for surgical resection and for potential medical treatment (i.e., neo-adjuvant or palliative chemotherapy). The control group consisted of patients diagnosed and/or due to undergo surgery for benign pathology (e.g., gallstones, chronic pancreatitis, etc.)

Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

No	Yes	
☒	☐	Public health
☒	☐	National security
☒	☐	Crops and/or livestock
☒	☐	Ecosystems
☒	☐	Any other significant area

Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

No	Yes	
☒	☐	Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective
☒	☐	Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents
☒	☐	Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent
☒	☐	Increase transmissibility of a pathogen
☒	☐	Alter the host range of a pathogen
☒	☐	Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities
☒	☐	Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin
☒	☐	Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

☐ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

☐ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

☐ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

☐ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	First, 50 µL of each blood plasma sample was incubated with 1 µL of Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated anti-Glypican antibody (Abcam, ab237290) for 30 min at room temperature. After incubation, the mixture was diluted in PBS to 1 mL to pellet EVs, following by ultracentrifugation at 55,000 rpm (100,000× g) with a benchtop optima TLX (Beckman Coulter) for 45 min. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 µL PBS. The re-suspended mixture was analysed in the Flow NanoAnalyser to determine concentration and fluorescence positivity.
Instrument	NanoAnalyzer U30 instrument
Software	nFCM Professional Suite v1.8 software
Cell population abundance	*Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.*
Gating strategy	*Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined.*

☐ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.