

COREQ (CONsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
<i>Personal characteristics</i>			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	
<i>Relationship with participants</i>			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Domain 2: Study design			
<i>Theoretical framework</i>			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	
<i>Participant selection</i>			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
<i>Setting</i>			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	
Presence of non-participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	
<i>Data collection</i>			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	
Duration	21	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
<i>Data analysis</i>			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	
<i>Reporting</i>			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.

Supplementary Data related to reporting of the research

Relationship to the participants

The researcher (AM) liaised with the participants online and in some cases via telephone, to arrange the interview and to clarify what was involved. They were also sent an online consent form to sign electronically (recorded verbal consent was offered as an alternative and this was taken up by two participants). At the start of the interview the researcher introduced herself, reiterated the objectives of the research and gave the participant opportunity to ask any further questions. The researcher is of White British descent and has been through two pregnancies herself, she was therefore able to relate to some of the experiences of pregnancy. She is also experienced at working in partnership with people of minority ethnic heritage. Nevertheless, there is potential for her ethnicity and healthcare role, to influence trust and disclosure particularly amongst women who may not be familiar with the research process, those with lower health literacy and those from non-concordant ethnicities.

Participant selection

Participants primarily volunteered to take part based on communication via text, posters and via community links and awareness building. We, therefore, were unable to record and report how many declined to participate. Two participants dropped out from scheduled interviews because they had emergency caesarean sections.

Data collection and analysis

A topic guide was used to guide the interviews and it was designed in partnership with the study PPI team. The topic guide was not pilot tested but it was developed iteratively to ensure all research questions were adequately answered. Field notes were made during the interview and upon post-interview reflection, including following re-listening to the audio recordings. The transcripts were not given back to the participants for comment/correction but clarity was sought on one occasion where meaning of comments was unclear. Participants will, however, be given a lay summary of the findings and will have opportunity for further comment. The paper covers the major themes related to two research questions. There was not space in this particular paper to include minor themes or full report of the data. The latter of which will be reported in subsequent manuscripts.