

***Aedes fluviatilis* cell lines as new tools to study metabolic and immune interactions in mosquito-Wolbachia symbiosis**

Christiano Calixto Conceição^{a,c}, Jhenifer Nascimento da Silva^{a,c}, Angélica Arcanjo^{a,c}, Cíntia Lopes Nogueira^{a,c}, Leonardo Araujo de Abreu^{a,c}, Pedro Lagerblad de Oliveira^{a,c}, Katia C. Gondim^{a,c}, Bruno Moraes^{a,c}, Stephanie Serafim de Carvalho^{a,c}, Renato Martins da Silva^{a,c}, Itabajara da Silva Vaz Jr.^{b,c}, Luciano Andrade Moreira^{d,c} and Carlos Logullo^{#a,c}

^a Laboratório de Bioquímica de Artrópodes Hematófagos, Laboratório de Bioquímica e Fisiologia de Insetos – IBqM and Laboratório Integrado de Bioquímica Hatisaburo Masuda – NUPEM, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ, RJ, Brazil;


^b Centro de Biotecnologia and Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul - UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil;

^c Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Entomologia Molecular, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.


^d Grupo Mosquitos Vetores: Endossimbiontes e Interação Patógeno Vetor, Instituto René Rachou - Fiocruz, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

#Corresponding author: Carlos Logullo


Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Av. Carlos Chagas Filho, 373, bloco D, subsolo, sala 05. Prédio do CCS. Ilha do Fundão, Rio de Janeiro - RJ - 21941-902 – Brazil. Tel. +55 21 -39386751, carlos.logullo@bioqmed.ufrj.br




Supplementary Figure 1: Wolbachia confirmation in embryonic cell lines. wAflu1 was positive for the Wolbachia-specific gene, WSP, which was absent in Aflu2 cells (lane a and c, respectively). Amplification of WSP gene transcripts was still detected in wAflu1 cells after cryopreservation (lane b). Cell culture wAflu1.tet is absent of Wolbachia, removed by tetracycline treatment (lane d) All controls without reverse transcriptase has not WSP amplification. The WSP fragment amplified by RT – PCR has about 650 pb, as indicated by 100 pb marker (lane M).




Supplementary Figure 2: wAflu1 has a more peripheral actin distribution compared to Aflu2. (A) Actin cytoskeletal morphology (green) was observed three days after subculture using Phalloidin. wAflu1 cell line has more peripheral actin distribution than Aflu2 top panel and red asterisk indicating the enlarged cell (bottom panel). Scale Bar: 10 μm . (B) Enlarged cells represented in bottom panel had the area quantified demonstrating similar areas.



Supplementary Figure 3: wAflu1 and wAflu1.tet cell proliferation. A growth curve of each cell culture was made with data obtained by cell count each 3 days during 10 days with initial 1×10^5 cells. wAflu1 cell line also proliferate more than wAflu1.tet cell culture after 10 days. * $p < 0.05$, using student's t-test.




Supplementary Figure 4: Wolbachia change protein and glycogen levels on *Aedes fluviatilis* cells. wAflu1 cell line (Wolb+) has higher protein and glycogen contents in comparison to both Aflu2 and wAflu1.tet cell line without Wolbachia. The percentual was calculated from glycogen amount and normalized by 10^5 cells. The experiments were performed with three independent biological samples in three experimental replicates each, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, using student's t-test.




Supplementary Figure 5: Aflu2 cells have more lipid droplets compared to wAflu1.

Cell staining by Oil red showed more numerous lipid vesicles in Aflu2 cells line than in wAflu1. Scale Bar: 10 µm.



Supplementary Figure 6: Mitochondrial staining show different distribution patterns on *Aedes fluviatilis* cells. Mitochondrial staining on wAflu1 (Wolb+) and both wAflu1.tet and Aflu2 without Wolbachia was detected using MitoTracker specific staining. The mitochondria distribution in presence of Wolbachia exhibit a more diffuse marking in wAflu1 than wAflu1.tet and Aflu2. Scale Bar: 20 μm .



Supplementary Figure 7: Mitochondrial respiratory rates on wAflu1 and wAflu1.tet cells. A) Typical traces of oxygen consumption rates (OCR) of wAflu1 and Aflu2 cells. The blue line shows the oxygen concentration in the sealed chamber and the red line shows the OCR of the cells. Additions of OXPHOS modulators, oligomycin (oligo), FCCP, and Antimycin A (AA) are represented with arrows and their concentrations were reported in the methods section. B) Quantitative comparison of oxygen consumption rates. Data are expressed as pmoles/min/mL/10⁶cells.