

Corresponding author(s): Ben Z. Stanger

Last updated by author(s): May 15, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- ☐ ☒ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- ☐ ☒ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- ☐ ☒ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- ☒ ☐ A description of all covariates tested
- ☐ ☒ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- ☐ ☒ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- ☐ ☒ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- ☒ ☐ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- ☒ ☐ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- ☒ ☐ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Flow cytometry analysis was performed using LSR II and software FACSDiva v8.0 (BD Biosciences). qPCR analysis was performed using CFX384 Real-Time System and software BioRadCFXManager (BioRad). RNA-seq and ATAC-seq analyses were performed using Illumina next generation sequencers and software NextSeq System Suite. Tissue image acquisition was performed using Olympus BX41 microscope with a DP25 camera and Olympus IX71 inverted multicolor fluorescent microscope with a DP71 camera. Cell proliferation and viability assay were performed using SpectraMax M5 (Molecular Devices) and Synergy HTX (BioTek) microplate readers. Western blot images were acquired using ChemiDoc (BioRad).

Data analysis

FlowJo v10.8.1 (Tree Star), Prism v9.3.1 (GraphPad)
GSEA v4.3.0 (Broad Institute) <https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp>
IGV genome browser v2.14.1 (Robinson et al., 2011) <https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/>
ImageJ Fiji v2.3.0 (NIH) <https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>
R v4.1.2 (The R Foundation) <https://cran.r-project.org/>
Salmon v1.8.0 (Dobin et al., 2013) <https://combine-lab.github.io/salmon/>
DESeq2 Bioconductor v3.14 (Love et al., 2014) <https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html>
HOMER v4.11 (Heinz et al., 2010) <http://homer.ucsd.edu/homer/>
Cutadapt v3.5 (Martin, 2011) <https://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/v3.5/>
Bowtie2 v2.4.4 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) <https://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml>
Sambamba v0.7.1 (Tarasov et al., 2015) <https://github.com/biod/sambamba>
SAMtools v1.9 (Li et al., 2009) <http://samtools.sourceforge.net>
Genrich v0.6.1 <https://github.com/jsh58/Genrich>

BEDtools v2.30.0 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) <https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/>
 FeatureCounts v2.0.1 (Liao et al., 2014) <https://subread.sourceforge.net/featureCounts.html>
 ChIPseeker v1.32.1 (Yu et al., 2015) <https://rdrr.io/bioc/ChIPseeker/f/vignettes/ChIPseeker.Rmd>
 GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) <http://great.stanford.edu/>
 BEDOPS (Neph et al., 2012) <https://github.com/bedops>
 DeepTools v3.5.1 (Ramirez et al., 2016) <https://deeptools.readthedocs.io/en/develop/>
 Seurat v4 (Hao et al., 2021) <https://satijalab.org/seurat/>

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our [policy](#)

NGS datasets generated in this study are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE222225 (SuperSeries) with SubSeries accession number GSE222223 (ATAC-seq) and GSE222224 (RNA-seq). Reviewers can access the SuperSeries with following token: sdqxgaokljirvcj

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with [human participants or human data](#). See also policy information about [sex, gender \(identity/presentation\), and sexual orientation](#) and [race, ethnicity and racism](#).

Reporting on sex and gender

N/A

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings

N/A

Population characteristics

N/A

Recruitment

N/A

Ethics oversight

N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

No statistical method was used to pre-determine the sample size, which was chosen on the basis of previous experimental experience.

Data exclusions

No data were excluded from the analyses.

Replication

All experimental replication was attempted at least two times or two biological replicates for NGS analyses. The number of biological replicates were provided in the figure legends.

Randomization

Mice bearing PDAC were randomly allocated to each group. For in vitro experiments, samples were randomly assigned to control and experimental groups.

Blinding

For measuring tumor phenotypes in vivo, investigators were not blinded to group information, however, the results were reproducible by two independent researchers in different animal facilities. For in vitro experiments, blinding was not required because all the samples were analyzed in a consistent manner.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology and archaeology
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual use research of concern
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Plants

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used

InVivoMAb anti-mouse PD-1 (CD279) (clone RMP1-14), Bio X Cell #BE0146
 InVivoMAb anti-mouse CTLA-4 (CD152) (clone 9H10), Bio X Cell #BE0131
 InVivoMAb anti-mouse CD40 (clone FGK45), Bio X Cell #BE0016-2
 InVivoMAb anti-mouse CD4 (clone GK1.5), Bio X Cell #BE0003-1
 InVivoMAb anti-mouse CD8 α (clone 2.43), Bio X Cell #BE0061
 InVivoMAb anti-mouse NK1.1 (clone PK136), Bio X Cell #BE0036
 InVivoMAb rat IgG2a isotype control (clone 2A3), Bio X Cell #BE0089
 InVivoMAb rat IgG2b isotype control (clone LTF-2), Bio X Cell #BE0090
 InVivoMAb polyclonal Syrian hamster IgG, Bio X Cell #BE0087
 InVivoMAb mouse IgG2a isotype control (clone C1.18.4), Bio X Cell #BE0085
 Anti-mouse CD335 (Nkp46) (clone 29A1.4), PE, BioLegend #137604 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD103 (clone 2E7), PE/Dazzle 594, BioLegend #121430 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse H-2Kb/H-2Db (clone 28-8-6), PE/Cy7, BioLegend #114616 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse H-2Kb bound to SIINFEKL (clone 25-D1.16), APC, BioLegend #141606 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse F4/80 (clone BM8), PE/Cy5, BioLegend #123112 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD45 (clone 30-F11), AF700, BioLegend #103128 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse I-A/I-E (clone M5/114.15.2), APC/Cy7, BioLegend #107627 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse/human CD11b (clone M1/70), BV421, BioLegend #101251 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse Ly6C (clone HK1.4), BV570, BioLegend #128030 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse Ly6G (clone 1A8), BV650, BioLegend #127641 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD11c (clone N418), BV605, BioLegend #117334 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD3 ϵ (clone 145-2C11), PE/Cy5, BioLegend #100310 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD8 α (clone 53-6.7), BV570, BioLegend #100740 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD4 (clone HK1.4), BV650, BioLegend #128030 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD25 (clone PC61), FITC, BioLegend #102005 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD62L (clone MEL-14), APC/Cy7, BioLegend #104428 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD44 (clone IM7), BV605, BD Biosciences #563058 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse/human CD324 (E-Cadherin) (clone DECMA-1), PE/Dazzle 594, BioLegend #147316 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD73 (clone TY/11.8), PerCP/Cy5.5, BioLegend #127214 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD274 (B7-H1, PD-L1) (clone 10F.9G2), PE/Cy7, BioLegend #124314 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD155 (PVR) (clone TX56), BV605, BioLegend #131519 (1:100 for FACS)
 Anti-mouse CD95 (Fas) (clone SA367H8), PE, BioLegend #152607 (1:100 for FACS)
 Rabbit anti-active Caspase-3 (clone C92-605), BV650, BD Biosciences #564096 (1:20 for FACS)
 Rabbit polyclonal anti-IkBa, Cell Signaling Technology #9242 (1:1000 for western)
 Rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-NF- κ B p65 (Ser536), Cell Signaling Technology #3031 (1:1000 for western)
 Rabbit monoclonal anti-NF- κ B p65 (clone D14E12), Cell Signaling Technology #8242 (1:1000 for western)
 Rabbit monoclonal anti-GAPDH (clone 14C10), Cell Signaling Technology #2118 (1:1000 for western)
 Rabbit polyclonal anti-TRADD, Cell Signaling Technology #3694 (1:1000 for western)
 Mouse monoclonal anti-FADD (clone 1F7), Enzo Life Sciences #ADI-AAM-212-E (1 μ g/ml for western)
 Rabbit polyclonal anti-cleaved Caspase-3 (Asp175), Cell Signaling Technology #9661 (1:1000 for western, 1:200 for IF)
 Rabbit monoclonal anti-Caspase-8 (clone D35G2), Cell Signaling Technology #4790 (1:1000 for western)
 Goat polyclonal anti-IRF6, Novus Biologicals #NBP1-51911 (1:1000 for western)
 Rat monoclonal anti-mouse E-cadherin (clone ECCD-2), Takara Bio #M108 (1:200 for IF)
 Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP, Abcam #ab13970 (1:200 for IF)
 Ultra-LEAF purified anti-mouse TNF- α (clone MP6-XT22), BioLegend #506331 (5 μ g/ml for neutralization)
 Ultra-LEAF purified anti-mouse CD3 ϵ (clone 145-2C11), BioLegend #100340 (2 μ g/ml for T-cell activation)
 Ultra-LEAF purified anti-mouse CD28 (clone 37.51), BioLegend #102116 (1 μ g/ml for T-cell activation)

Validation

All the antibodies are commercially available and validated by manufacturers for the indicated purpose. Depletions of specific immune population were confirmed by peripheral blood samples and end-of-study flow cytometry.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Cell line source(s)	4662 murine PDAC cell line was derived from a spontaneous pancreatic cancer in a female KPC mouse on the C57BL/6J background (Evans et al., 2016). HEK293T cells were purchased from Clontech #632180.
Authentication	4662 cell lines were tested by using the Infectious Microbe PCR Amplification Test (IMPACT) and authenticated by the Research Animal Diagnostic Laboratory (RADIL) at the University of Missouri. HEK293T cells were authenticated by the provider.
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	No commonly misidentified lines were used in this study.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about [studies involving animals](#); [ARRIVE guidelines](#) recommended for reporting animal research, and [Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Laboratory animals	C57BL/6, C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/J (OT-I), and NOD/SCID mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and/or bred at the University of Pennsylvania. Mice were housed under a 12h-12h light-dark cycle, temperature of 18–23°C, and pathogen-free conditions. 6-8-week-old female mice were used in the study.
Wild animals	No wild animals were used in this study.
Reporting on sex	Female mice were used in the study.
Field-collected samples	No field-collected samples were used.
Ethics oversight	All animal procedures used in this study were performed following the National Institutes of Health guidelines. All mouse procedure protocols used in this study were in accordance with, and with the approval of, the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Pennsylvania (protocols 804643 and 805650).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

- ☒ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- ☒ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- ☒ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- ☒ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	Transplant tumors were minced and digested in DMEM supplemented with 2 mg/ml of collagenase type IV (GIBCO, 17104-019) and 0.2 mg/ml of DNase I (Sigma, 10104159001) at 37°C for 45 minutes and filtered through a 70-µm cell strainer to generate single cell suspensions. Cells were then stained with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies and a live/dead stain (Invitrogen, L34966) at 4°C for 20 minutes and washed twice with cold PBS plus 5% FBS for sample acquisition. For intracellular caspase staining, cells were further permeabilized with Fix/Perm buffer (eBioscience, 00-5523-00) at 4°C for 30 minutes and stained with active caspase-3 Ab (C92-605; BD Biosciences) in Perm buffer (eBioscience) at 4°C for 30 minutes.
Instrument	LSR II (BD Biosciences) was used for flow data acquisition. FACSARIA II (BD Biosciences) was used for cell sorting.
Software	FACSDiva v8.0 (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo v10.8.1 (Tree Star) were used for data acquisition and analysis.
Cell population abundance	The purity of post-sort OT-I cells was at a range of 96-99%.
Gating strategy	Singlets were gated based on FSC-A and FSC-H. FSC-A, SSC-A, and Live/dead staining were used to exclude debris and dead cells. Boundaries between positive and negative staining cell populations were determined using isotype control antibodies or FMO.

- ☒ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.