

Supplementary material 1

Study reporting using COREQ checklist (1)

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Study reporting
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
Personal Characteristics			
1.	Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	The corresponding author
2.	Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? <i>E.g. PhD, MD</i>	Registered Nurse “RN” and PhD in healthcare management
3.	Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Post-doctoral researcher
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	Female
5.	Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Experience in nursing profession, healthcare system and organization and care quality. Training on methods and analysis of qualitative data; qualitative research methodology; articles redaction.
Relationship with participants			
6.	Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	There is no relationship established prior to study
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? <i>e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research</i>	Participants only know the general intention of the project PEPON which is improving the personalization of patients' care pathway .
8.	Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? <i>e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic</i>	Professionals and patients interviews are conducted by the corresponding author in a private place at GR

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Study reporting
Domain 2: study design			
Theoretical framework			
9.	Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? <i>e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis</i>	The methodological orientation will be according to a content analysis of interviews, observation and documents using the theoretical framework GERS for personalization in phase I,
Participant selection			
10.	Sampling	How were participants selected? <i>e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball</i>	Participants were selected purposively: professionals must be involved in the patient's pathway through the one-day hospital (ODH); Patients that fulfil the eligibility criteria and confirmed to participate in the study.
11.	Method of approach	How were participants approached? <i>e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email</i>	Face to face semi structured interviews,
12.	Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Not specified to reach data saturation, (number will be available at the end of Phase I)
13.	Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Unknown at this stage (number will be available at the end of Phase I)
Setting			
14.	Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? <i>e.g. home, clinic, workplace</i>	At GR cancer center
15.	Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	Participants are interviewed alone and in a private place generally
16.	Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? <i>e.g. demographic data, date</i>	Unknown at this stage (information will be available at the end of Phase I)

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Study reporting
Data collection			
17.	Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Interviews are conducted according to a semi-structured interview guide. Tested with professionals from the studied hospital
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	Unknown at this stage; data collection is ongoing (number will be available at the end of Phase I)
19.	Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	All interviews will be recorded
20.	Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	After each interview, a brief notes will registered for the important emergent ideas
21.	Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	The duration estimated for each interview is between 40-60 min
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	The interviews will be interrupted when production reached a closing direction.
23.	Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
Data analysis			
24.	Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	coding data will be used in limited part of the study, and will be conducted manually by the corresponding author and revised by one of the co-author
25.	Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Yes the used code will be described briefly in legend of table
26.	Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	themes are identified from the GERS concept (deductive analysis)

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Study reporting
27.	Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	Data will be analyzed manually on Word sheets
28.	Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	Unknown at this stage; data collection is ongoing
Reporting			
29.	Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. <i>participant number</i>	Unknown at this stage, however, the used participant's quotations to support the themes and findings, will be identified according to a defined acronym and a sequence number for each participant
30.	Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Unknown at this stage; data collection is ongoing
31.	Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Unknown at this stage; data collection is ongoing
32.	Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Unknown at this stage; data collection is ongoing

Abbreviation: PEPON: PErsonalized Pathway for the Oncology patients; GR: Gustave Roussy cancer center; GERS: Gérer la singularité à grande échelle (Managing Singularity on a Large Scale); ODH: one-day hospital.

Table. Presents the study report using the “Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research” COREQ checklist (1), we added a new column to the 32 item checklist and in which we answered about our study, the guide questions which described each item from the checklist.

Reference

Allison Tong, Peter Sainsbury, Jonathan Craig. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups, *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, Volume 19, Issue 6, December 2007, Pages 349–357