

Assignment:
MAHDLW-95

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Structure Review

Overall manuscript

- The author does not need to make major changes as the overall paper follows a logical order.
- The paper is written in line with the intended audience.

Title & Abstract

- Also, it has been checked carefully to ensure that it clearly conveys the aim of the study.
- In the abstract, purpose, methods and materials, results, and conclusions are appropriately stated.
- The title is sufficiently informative and concise.
- The abstract required some minor changes as it did not entirely have a logical structure.

Comment: We have made the necessary changes.

Introduction

- In the Introduction, the scope of the investigation is indicated
- The limits of the problem and investigation are stated appropriately.
- Statements clarifying the purpose and approach of the study were not clearly mentioned in the Introduction.

We have edited it in order to clarify the "what and why" statement.

Comment: Substantial rewriting and commenting were required.

- The introduction did not clearly state the relevance of the findings. We have edited it accordingly to make it clear to the readers.

Comment: Substantial rewriting and commenting were required.

Materials and Methods

- All calculations are accurate.
- Statements describing measurement parameters such as sensitivity and accuracy are clear and accurate.
- The statistical significance is evaluated appropriately. The data is statistically significant and the samples are truly randomized.
- The measurement procedures are appropriately presented.
- The methodology is described appropriately and can be reproduced by another investigator.

Disclaimer: The evaluation is based on the subjective opinion of each editor, and should be used only as reference material.

Assignment:
MAHDLW-95

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Results

- The result section contains only results; no discussion is presented in this section.
- All the presented data is relevant to the thesis.
- The key results presented in tables and figures are summarized appropriately in the text.
- The results are stated correctly in only the Results section.

Discussion

- The content presented in the Discussion section is appropriate and does not repeat information from the Results section.
- The conclusions are clear.
- The discussion is of appropriate length.
- All key results are discussed in light of whether they did or did not support the hypothesis, and the discussion is convincing.

Peripheral Text Review

Figures and/or Tables

- Every figure and/or table can stand alone without reference to the main text.
- The Figures and Tables complement the main text, without any discrepancy.
- The legends are complete and accurate.
- They are cited in the main text at appropriate places.

Bibliography

- All statements of fact are appropriately referenced.
- The literature cited is very pertinent to the investigation.
- Most references cited follow a consistent format.

Comment: Necessary changes have been made.

Assignment:
MAHDLW-95

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

Content, Terminology, Style, and Format Review

Content

- There are no gaps in content in this paper.
Comment: No major gaps.
- Where applicable, the necessary information has been added.
Comment: Remarks have been added.

Terminology

- The scientific nomenclature (gene names, protein names) is in order throughout the text.
- The terms used in the paper are valid in the field.
- The scientific terminology and representation in the paper are more or less appropriate and consistent.
Comment: Some minor inconsistencies have been corrected.

Style

- In some instances, the capitalization/italicization/number style does not follow conventions of the subject area.
Comment: Some minor inconsistencies have been corrected.

Journal Format

- All journal guidelines have been followed.
- The structure and/or length of the abstract conforms to the journal guidelines.
- Where applicable, a remark has been added with next step(s) for the author.
Comment: Remarks have been added.