

Manuscript Rate Card (Simplified Version)

ASN Number: MAHDLW-95

Service: Substantive Editing

Date of Evaluation: April 05, 2019

Rating Scale

- 1 :Beginner
- 2 :Developing
- 3 :Intermediate
- 4 :Proficient
- 5 :Advanced

Language		Average Score :	3.3
Sentence Structure	3	General Tip! When you are searching for a research study on a particular topic, you probably notice that articles with interesting, descriptive research titles draw you in. But how to write a good research title? Click here to read some important tips: https://www.enago.com/academy/write-irresistible-research-paper-title/	
Flow and Transition	4		
Word Choice	3		
Grammar		Average Score :	3.3
Subject-Verb Agreement	4	Optimize Your Manuscript! Headlines are the signposts that readers rely on when navigating a piece of writing; they tell the reader, at a quick glance, where to start and which sections are more important or interesting. Most writers often struggle with the rules of Using Headline Case. If you do too, click here: https://www.enago.com/academy/best-practices-for-using-headline-case/	
Article Usage	3		
Tenses	3		
Mechanics and Style		Average Score :	3.0
Punctuation	3	Quick Read! Specific paired punctuation is used in writing to set words off from other words in the same sentence. These paired punctuations such as brackets can be used as delimiters to determine the order of performing calculations within a mathematical equation, or to alert the reader to text that is not part of a quotation, is incorrect in its original form, or has been omitted. To know more, click here: https://www.enago.com/academy/how-to-use-brackets-in-academic-writing-some-common-rules/	
References	3		
Academic Style	3		

Edit Summary

Before Edit

The manuscript was averagely written, and some parts were not very good.



Your manuscript is almost ready, but some parts still need to be reworked (See Tags and Remarks).

After Edit

Structure Check Points

✓ Title: Is the title sufficiently informative and concise?	Yes, it was both informative and concise
✓ Abstract: Does it follow a logical structure?	Yes, it did so accurately
✓ Methods: Was the discussion of procedures restricted to those directly affecting study results?	Yes, the methods section was precise
✓ Results: Were key results in tables and figures summarized in the manuscript text?	Yes, the results were summarized and the tables and figures are cited in the text
✓ Discussion: Were all the key results discussed in light of whether they did or did not support the hypotheses?	Yes, the discussion covered all the necessary supporting points

Note: See Remarks for more details.

Disclaimer: The evaluation is based on the subjective opinion of each editor, on English point of view, and should be used as reference material.

© 2019 Enago | Crimson Interactive Inc. | www.enago.com