

Prediction of estimated risk for bipolar disorder using machine learning and structural MRI features

Supplemental materials

Supplementary note 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the three recruitment pathways.

Supplementary note 2: The list of 20 selected features for secondary analysis according to Hibar et al.

Supplementary note 3: Analysis of the diagnoses ADHD and depression on the classification.

Supplementary note 4: Secondary analysis of *EPIbipolar* risk

Supplementary table 1: Overview of the risk assessment tools and corresponding sample sizes

Supplementary table 2. Breakdown of sample sizes and participants fulfilling and not fulfilling the risk criterion per study site.

Supplementary table 3. Ranking of features according to their SVM coefficients.

Supplementary note 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the three recruitment pathways.

Inclusion criteria

1. Youth and young adults consulting early recognition centres/facilities:

- Age: 15 to 35 years
- Consultation of an early recognition centre/facility
- Presence of at least one of the proposed risk factors for bipolar disorder: Family history of bipolar disorder, (sub)threshold affective symptomatology/depressive syndrome, hypomanic/mood swings, disturbances of circadian rhythm/sleep other clinical hints

2. Young individuals with diagnosed depression:

- Age: 15 to 35 years
- In- or outpatients with a depressive syndrome in the context of: Major depressive disorder, dysthymic disorder, cyclothymic disorder, minor depressive disorder, recurrent brief depressive disorder, adjustment disorder with depressed mood, depressive disorder Not Otherwise Specified (NOS)

3. Patients with ADHD:

- Age: 15 to 35 years
- In- or outpatients with a clinically confirmed ADHD diagnosis

Exclusion criteria:

- Diagnosis of: bipolar disorder, schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia
- Diagnosis of anxiety, obsessive–compulsive or substance dependence disorder that fully explains the whole symptomatology
- Limited ability to comprehend the study
- Implied expressed negative declaration of intent to participate in the study by a minor and
- Acute suicidality

Supplementary note 2: The list of 20 selected features for secondary analysis according to Hibar et al.⁴¹

lh_parsopercularis_thickness
lh_fusiform_thickness
lh_rostralmiddlefrontal_thickness
lh_parstriangularis_thickness
rh_fusiform_thicknes
lh_caudalmiddlefrontal_thickness
lh_inferiorparietal_thickness
rh_rostralmiddlefrontal_thickness
rh_inferiorparietal_thickness
rh_superiorfrontal_thickness
lh_supramarginal_thickness
lh_middletemporal_thickness
lh_inferiortemporal_thickness
rh_parsopercularis_thickness
lh_parsorbitalis_thickness
rh_parsorbitalis_thickness
lh_superiorfrontal_thickness
rh_parstriangularis_thickness
rh_medialorbitofrontal_thickness
rh_middletemporal_thickness

Supplementary note 3: Analysis of the diagnoses ADHD and depression on the classification.

In the Results – primary analysis section, we report post-hoc tests of the correctly and incorrectly classified subjects, in order to evaluate the potential confounders on the classification. Here, we compared the proportion of participants from the three recruitment pathways (early recognition / depression / ADHD) between the correctly and incorrectly classified subjects. However, for ADHD, there were 19 participants both in the early recognition and depression recruitment pathways. Taking only the ADHD diagnosis irrespective of recruitment pathway into account, there was no difference between the correctly and incorrectly classified subjects ($df = 1$, $\chi^2 = 0.016$, $p = 0.898$). For the lifetime or present major depression, we did not perform post-hoc tests, as depression and specific depressive symptoms belong to criteria of the risk syndromes according to BPSS-P (similarly to BARS as well as *EPIbipolar*).

Supplementary note 4: Secondary analysis of *EPIbipolar* risk

We analyzed *EPIbipolar* in more detail in two exploratory analyses. First, we performed a classification using all three groups – no-risk, low-risk and high-risk (N = 32 / 104 / 137 respectively) achieving a balanced accuracy of 34.7 % (95% CI 31.1- 38.3) (i.e. chance level for a three category outcome) in the 10-fold crossvalidation. Second, we removed the low-risk group from the sample, retaining the no-risk and high-risk groups exclusively (N = 136), and used the left pars opercularis thickness as a single feature, achieving a balanced accuracy of 60.9 % (95% CI 48.4- 73.4) in the 10-fold crossvalidation and 55.5 % (95% CI 42.4- 68.6) in the leave-one-site-out crossvalidation (i.e. chance level for a binary outcome considering the CI).

Supplementary table 1: Overview of the risk assessment tools and corresponding sample sizes (adapted according to Bröckel et al. and Mikolas et al.⁴³).

Instrument (N)	Risk states	N (% Sample)	Validation	Note
BPSS-P (276)	Attenuated mania symptom syndrome (AMSS)	54 (19.6)	Good internal consistency, convergent validity and inter-rater reliability ²⁷	Semi-structured interview based on the DSM-5 criteria for bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder ²⁷
	Genetic mania risk and deterioration syndrome (GMRDS)	2 (0.7)		
BARS (264)	Sub-threshold mania, assessed by BPSS-P	26 (9.8)	BARS criteria had an adequate prognostic accuracy (Harrell's C = 0.742) and clinical utility ²⁵	Extension of the BAR criteria (2 additional symptom domains) ²⁵
	Sub-threshold depression, assessed by BPSS-FP or SCID and cyclothymic features	149 (56.4)		
	Sub-threshold depression plus genetic risk	13 (4.9)		
	Mixed symptoms, assessed by BPSS-P	3 (1.1)		
	Mood swings, assessed by EPIbipolar	118 (44.7)		
EPIbipolar (273)	No-risk	32 (11.6)	No longitudinal (ongoing study)	Semi-structured interview
	Low-risk	137 (49.6)	Includes and integrates items from validated tools (BPSS-P, BAR) as well as genetic risk	Integrates risk factors based on a systematic review of literature ²⁴
	High-risk	104 (37.7)		

Supplementary table 2. Breakdown of sample sizes and participants fulfilling and not fulfilling the risk criterion per study site.

		Site							Total
		Berlin	Bochum	Dresden	Frankfurt	Hamburg	Marburg	Tübingen	
BPSS-P criterion	not fulfilled	57	7	31	21	21	67	16	220
	fulfilled	6	1	5	20	13	7	4	56
Total		63	8	36	41	34	74	20	276

Supplementary table 3. Ranking of features according to their SVM coefficients.

Feature	Mean SVM coefficient	Feature	Mean SVM coefficient
lh_precuneus_thickness	1.783976879	lh_superiorfrontal_thickness	-0.03653334
rh_parstriangularis_thickness	1.608533374	lh_insula_thickness	-0.04220697
rh_fusiform_thickness	0.928239047	rh_inferiortemporal_thickness	-0.05566412
lh_transversetemporal_thickness	0.800646946	lh_superiortemporal_thickness	-0.05851827
rh_superiorfrontal_thickness	0.789743825	rh_caudalmiddlefrontal_thickness	-0.07425086
rh_cuneus_thickness	0.713079441	rh_inferiorparietal_thickness	-0.08364897
lh_inferiorparietal_thickness	0.658000082	lh_pericalcarine_thickness	-0.08678666
lh_parstriangularis_thickness	0.642315548	lh_precentral_thickness	-0.09148176
lh_caudalanteriorcingulate_thickness	0.631354016	lh_parsorbitalis_thickness	-0.14161886
rh_caudalanteriorcingulate_thickness	0.492421592	lh_middletemporal_thickness	-0.226009
rh_parahippocampal_thickness	0.471491982	lh_isthmuscingulate_thickness	-0.22893125
rh_insula_thickness	0.431770073	lh_cuneus_thickness	-0.26492932
rh_frontalpole_thickness	0.420177869	rh_temporalpole_thickness	-0.27046636
rh_lateraloccipital_thickness	0.405688992	lh_lateralorbitofrontal_thickness	-0.28265985
lh_frontalpole_thickness	0.395092914	lh_lateraloccipital_thickness	-0.28658943
rh_medialorbitofrontal_thickness	0.391455904	lh_medialorbitofrontal_thickness	-0.29211138
rh_rostralanteriorcingulate_thickness	0.382318411	lh_rostralanteriorcingulate_thickness	-0.3898791
lh_supramarginal_thickness	0.359961695	rh_entorhinal_thickness	-0.39351071
rh_supramarginal_thickness	3.40E-01	lh_paracentral_thickness	-0.47477732
lh_inferiortemporal_thickness	0.292938256	lh_caudalmiddlefrontal_thickness	-0.48499482
lh_posteriorcingulate_thickness	0.267600265	lh_fusiform_thickness	-0.51077598
lh_superiorparietal_thickness	0.240793864	rh_isthmuscingulate_thickness	-0.51830264
lh_parahippocampal_thickness	0.232690747	rh_parsorbitalis_thickness	-0.55182755
rh_postcentral_thickness	0.218904162	lh_rostralmiddlefrontal_thickness	-0.57001052
rh_pericalcarine_thickness	0.167581752	rh_precuneus_thickness	-0.59037961
rh_parsopercularis_thickness	0.156305279	rh_lingual_thickness	-0.59452749
rh_precentral_thickness	0.124376678	rh_middletemporal_thickness	-0.59767057
lh_temporalpole_thickness	0.118953415	lh_lingual_thickness	-0.60498745
rh_lateralorbitofrontal_thickness	0.03930299	rh_superiorparietal_thickness	-0.66991654
lh_entorhinal_thickness	0.027801043	rh_rostralmiddlefrontal_thickness	-0.7187164
rh_superiortemporal_thickness	0.012469632	lh_parsopercularis_thickness	-0.84061014
lh_bankssts_thickness	0.009063421	rh_posteriorcingulate_thickness	-1.08693179
rh_bankssts_thickness	0.005956208	lh_postcentral_thickness	-1.1165027
rh_transversetemporal_thickness	-0.00309838	rh_paracentral_thickness	-1.14023448