

TABLES

	SP-MC	2L-PEG	1L-PEG	p
Age	51.76642	53.47965	50.09719	0,32
IBD	UC: 12, CD: 18, IBD-U: 1	UC: 16, CD: 11, IBD-U: 1	UC: 16, CD: 14, IBD-U: 3	0,45
UC phenotype (Montreal)	E1: 1 (3'2%), E2: 6 (19'3%), E3: 5 (16,12%)	E1: 2 (7'1%), E2: 6 (21'4%), E3: 8 (33,3%)	E1: 2 (6%), E2: 8 (24'2%), E3: 6 (18'1%)	0,94
CD phenotype (Montreal)	L1: 6 (19'3%), L2: 7 (22'5%), L3: 5 (16'12%)	L1: 3 (10'7%), L2: 0 (0%), L3: 8 (28'5%)	L1: 4 (12'1%), L2: 3 (9%), L3: 6 (18'18%)	0,11
Comorbidity				
Diabetes	Yes: 4 (12'9%), No: 27 (87'1%)	Yes: 4 (14'2%), No: 24 (85'8%)	Yes: 3 (9%), No: 30 (91%)	0,80
Obesity	Yes: 9 (29%), No: 22 (71%)	Yes: 7 (25%), No: 21 (75%)	Yes: 6 (18'18%), No: 27 (81'81%)	0,58
Chronic Kidney Disease	Yes: 1 (3'2%), No: 30 (96'8%)	Yes: 1 (3'5%), No: 27 (96'5%)	Yes: 2 (6%), No: 31 (93'9%)	0,83
Other comorbidities (arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia...)	Yes: 11 (35'4%), No: 20 (64'5%)	Yes: 7 (25%), No: 21 (75%)	Yes: 6 (22'2%), No: 27 (81'8%)	0,34
IBD treatment				
No immunosuppressive treatment	15 (48'3%)	13 (46'4%)	20 (60,6%)	0.37
Immunomodulator	7 (22'5%)	7 (25%)	4 (12'1%)	
TNFi	5 (16'2%)	3 (12%)	4 (12'1%)	
Vedolizumab	1 (3'2%)	2 (7'1%)	1 (3%)	
Ustekinumab	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Clinical trial drug	3 (9'6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Tofacitinib	0 (0%)	1 (3'5%)	1 (3%)	
Combination therapy (TNFi + IMM)	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	3 (9%)	
+ 5ASA	15 (48'3%)	15 (53'5%)	16 (48'4%)	0.9
+ corticosteroids	2 (6'4%)	4 (14'2%)	2 (6%)	0.45
Low-fibre diet	Yes: 30 No: 1	Yes: 26 No: 1	Yes: 33 No: 0	0,55

Symptoms during preparation	Yes: 13 No: 18	Yes: 11 No: 16	Yes: 21 No: 11	0,08
Stenosis	No: 32 (96,9%). Yes: 1 (3,1%)	No: 26 (92,8%) Yes: 2 (7,2%)	No: 26 (83,8%) Yes: 5 (6,2%)	0,09
Endoscopic disease activity	No: 15 Yes: 16	No: 14 Yes: 13	No: 18 Yes: 15	0,74
UC (MES)	2 (SD 1.41)	1.5 (SD 0.7)	1.5 (SD 0.7)	
CD (SES-CD)	6 (SD 2.82)	8.5 (SD 7.77)	7.5 (SD 6.9)	
Time from preparation to colonoscopy	7h (SD 3,37)	6,72h (SD 2,49)	7,8h (SD 3,47)	0,72; 0,44 and 0,27 respectively

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study population.

	Variable	SP-MC	2L-PEG	1L-PEG	p
Efficacy	% TQC	87%	85'74%	84'8%	0.8, 0.97 and 0.83 respectively
	% THQC	77'4%	53'5%	63'6%	0.079, 0.12 and 0.81 respectively
	% QC right-colon BBPS	93'5%	89'29%	87'8%	0.44, 0.84 and 0.57, respectively
	% QC transverse-colon BBPS	100%	89'29%	93'94%	0.10, 0.14 and 0.84 respectively
	· %QC left-colon BBPS	96'7%	96'4%	96'9%	0.98, 0.91 and 0.92 respectively
Tolerability	Questionnaire (Scale 1-10)	9.93 SD 0.35	9.59 SD 1.42	8.62 SD 2.53	p=0,006 ; p=0,55 and p=0,02 respectively
Safety					
Symptoms pre-colonoscopy	Abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting... self-reported via questionnaire	Yes: 13 No: 18	Yes: 11 No: 16	Yes: 21 No: 11	0,08
Symptoms post-colonoscopy	Abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting... self-reported via questionnaire	Yes: 4 No: 27	Yes: 5 No: 23	Yes: 2 No: 31	p=0,33
Laboratory:	Glu	75.5 (SD 13.7)	78 (SD 18)	79.35 (SD 15.4)	p<0,0001 for Na in SP, rest of p values for all parameters are nS.
	Cr	0.78 (SD 0.14)	0.7 (SD 0.21)	0.78 (SD 0.16)	
	GFR	88.34 (SD 4.09)	87.57 (SD 7.7)	87,69 (SD 7,7)	
	Na	138,7 (SD 2,19)	141,25 (SD 2,78)	142,006 (SD 2,66)	
	K	3.62 (SD 0.36)	3.86 (SD 0.48)	3.8 (SD 0.48)	

	Questionnaire (Scale 1-10)	7.32 (SD 2.74)	7.48 (SD 2.7)	6.1 (SD 2.87)	
Satisfaction	Questionnaire (Scale 1-10)	9,64 SD: 1,08	9,48 SD 0,84	7,93 SD 2,6	0,38; 0,25 and 0,05; respectively
Easiness to understand instrucions	Questionnaire (yes/no)	30/1	22/6	14/19	0,01 ; 0,1; and 0,0001 respectively
Willingness to retake	Questionnaire (yes/no)	Yes: 96.7% No: 3,3%	Yes: 78'5% No: 22'5%	Yes: 42'4% No: 57'6%	0,0001 ; 0,33 and 0,0001 respectively

Table 2: Complete results regarding efficacy, safety, tolerability and satisfaction of SP vs 1L-PEG vs 2L-PEG.