

MINORS criteria assessment of included comparative studies

Authors & Year								
MINORS Criteria	Ahmed 2016	Narek 2017	Moreno 2018	Hata 2019	Bozorgmehr 2020	Qin, A 2020	Mattes 2021	Silvia 2018
A clearly stated aim	2 ↑	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Inclusion of consecutive patients	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
Prospective collection of data	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Endpoints appropriate to the aims of the study	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Unbiased assessment of the study endpoint	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Follow-up period appropriate to the aim of the study	1	2	1	0	2	0	0	0
Loss to follow-up <5%	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	1
Prospective calculation of the study size	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
Total	12	14	11	13	14	11	11	12

0 = not reported, 1 = reported but inadequate, 2 = reported and adequate