Online Resource 1: BIBLIO reporting checklist

From arterial resection to the TRIANGLE operation: a bibliometric analysis of radical periarterial surgery for pancreatic cancer

Adem Şafak and Ahmet Çakçak

Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery. Corresponding author: Adem Şafak, Department of General Surgery, Başkent University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye; sademsafak@gmail.com

Completed checklist for the BIBLIO guideline for reporting bibliometric reviews of the biomedical literature (Montazeri et al., Systematic Reviews 2023;12:239; reference [20] of the manuscript). Item wording is abridged; the right column gives where each item is reported in the manuscript.

Section	Item	Checklist item (abridged)	Reported in
Title	1	Identify the report as a bibliometric review	Title
Title	2	State the topic and the period covered	Title; Abstract; Results (Publication output and journals)
Abstract	3	Structured summary: background, methods, main findings, conclusions	Abstract
Introduction	4	Background and existing knowledge	Introduction, paragraphs 1–4
Introduction	5	Objectives or research questions	Introduction, last paragraph
Methods	6	Information sources	Methods (Data source and search strategy)
Methods	7	Search strategy (terms, date, language, document type)	Methods (Data source and search strategy)
Methods	8	Review period	Methods (Data source and search strategy): no date limit; records from 2000–2026
Methods	9	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	Methods (Eligibility criteria and screening)
Methods	10	Screening and removal of duplicate and irrelevant records	Methods (Data source and search strategy; Eligibility criteria and screening); Fig. 1; Online Resource 2
Methods	11	Quality assessment of included papers (optional)	Not performed; study design assessed for records mentioning randomization (Methods, Bibliometric analysis)
Methods	12	Data summary, synthesis and analysis	Methods (Data standardization; Bibliometric analysis; Software)
Results	13	Flow diagram and descriptive statistics (output, years, document types, countries, authors, journals, institutions, most-cited papers)	Figs. 1 and 2; Results (Publication output and journals to Most-cited documents); Tables 1–3; Online Resource 5
Results	14	Science maps and trends produced with appropriate software	Results (Authors and co-authorship; Keyword co-occurrence and thematic evolution); Figs. 3 and 4
Results	15	Findings tabulated by subtopic or study design	Tables 3 and 4; Results (Randomized evidence)
Results	16	Synthesis of findings and research gaps	Results (Randomized evidence); Discussion (first two subsections)
Discussion	17	Summary of main findings	Discussion, first paragraph
Discussion	18	Interpretation of findings	Discussion
Discussion	19	Strengths and limitations	Discussion (Strengths and limitations)
Conclusion	20	General interpretation and implications	Conclusion