

The Al-Mizan Al-Mutakamil Relational Framework: Discrete Scale Operators, Galactic Kinematics, and Cosmological Expansion

Davinus Masire Ochanda

davinusmasire4@gmail.com

University of Nairobi and Independent Researcher

Research Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: October 22nd, 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-11422806/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

The Al-Mizan Al-Mutakamil Relational Framework: Discrete Scale Operators, Galactic Kinematics, and Cosmological Expansion

Davinus Masire Ochanda

Independent Theoretical Physics and Computational Cosmology Research
Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Nairobi, Chiromo Campus

davinusmasire4@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1769-8951>

October 2026

Abstract

I present a unified, rigorous theoretical formulation of the Al-Mizan Al-Mutakamil relational framework. I replace continuous particle dark matter halos and a fine-tuned cosmological constant with a discrete relational scale-step operator acting on baryonic angular-momentum flux tensors. I derive the Mizan Master Equation governing state evolution across discrete scale steps $k \in \mathbb{N}$, obtain the continuum limit of the non-local scale-step kernel $R_k(r, R_c, k)$, and calibrate the framework globally against 175 rotationally supported galaxies from the Spitzer Photometry and Accurate Rotation Curves (SPARC) database using solely the observed baryonic mass distribution $M_{\text{bar}}(r)$. With strictly three global scalar constants—an amplitude normalization $A_0 = 5.234951$ ($\log_{10} A_0 = 0.7189$), a mass-scaling exponent $\gamma = -0.138325$, and a relational scale-step ratio exponent $K_{\text{Global}} = 0.978294$ —I achieve a median galaxy-by-galaxy RMS residual of 0.1157 dex and a global mean residual bias of -0.0079 dex across approximately 3400 radial data points, matching the empirical intrinsic scatter floor of the Radial Acceleration Relation. The negative mass-scaling power γ naturally accounts for the elevated mass discrepancies of low-surface-brightness dwarfs without artificial dark-matter cores. I further derive the dual-trace scale factor of the Al-Musi'a expansion sector, rectify the algebraic derivation of the cosmic acceleration transition time t_{acc} ($\ddot{a} = 0$), obtain the exact physical root $x_{\text{acc}} = (\sqrt{33} - 5)/4 \cdot \Omega_m/\Omega_v$, and demonstrate that the transition occurs at $z_{\text{acc}} \approx 2.15$. Numerical evaluation of the AlMizanCosmologyPipeline against DESI BAO and RSD data yields enhanced comoving distances and a suppressed peak $f\sigma_8(z) \approx 0.295$. I construct the covariant relational stress-energy tensor from the off-diagonal coherence operator, prove its conservation, and supply a thermal decoherence mechanism that accounts for the observed mass-gas offset in systems such as the Bullet Cluster. All equations, proofs, tables, and quantitative predictions are retained without omission.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Foundational Formulation of the Al-Mizan Master Equation	5
3	Detailed Mathematical Derivations of the Scale-Step Kernel and Angular-Momentum Flux Mapping	6
3.1	Derivation of the Non-Local Relational Mapping Operator	6
3.2	Continuum Limit and Scale-Step Kernel Formulation	6
3.3	Baryonic Mass-Dependence and Non-Local Acceleration Coupling	7
3.4	Limiting Physical Regimes and Asymptotic Behaviour	7
4	Methodology: SPARC Kinematic Calibration	8
4.1	Discrete Scale-Step Kernel and Acceleration Modification	8
4.2	SPARC Observational Dataset and Kinematic Pipeline	9
4.3	Global Optimisation and Loss Formulation	9
5	Results: Performance across Morphological and Mass Regimes	10
5.1	Global Fit Quality and Mass-Regime Stability	10
5.2	LSB Dwarf Galaxies versus High-Mass Spirals: The Role of γ	11
5.3	Case Studies: Representative Rotation-Curve Fits	12
5.4	Summary of Results	13
6	Discussion of Galactic Calibration	13
6.1	Comparative Kinematic Accuracy and Intrinsic Scatter	13
6.2	Parameter Economy: Global Unification versus Halo Fine-Tuning	13
6.3	Physical Interpretation of the Optimised Coupling Parameters	14
7	Analytical Rectification of the Cosmic Acceleration Transition Time	14
7.1	Exact Chain-Rule Evaluation	14
7.2	Substitution for Exponent $\Gamma = 4/3$	15
7.3	Solution of the Rectified Biquadratic Equation	15
7.4	Numerical Transition Redshift z_{acc}	16

8	Observational Testing Against DESI Data	16
8.1	Pipeline Setup and Parameters	16
8.2	Numerical Pipeline Output.....	17
8.3	Physical Drivers of Discrepancies with Λ CDM	17
9	Covariant Stress-Energy Tensor and Formal Conservation	18
10	Explicit Closed-Form Galactic Density Profile and Quantitative Benchmarks	19
11	Thermal Decoherence in Galaxy-Cluster Collisions	19
12	Linear Perturbations and Structure Growth	20
13	Summary of Comparative Protocol	20
14	Conclusion	20

1 Introduction

The flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies and the systematic excess of centrifugal acceleration at low gravitational field strengths remain among the most persistent problems in modern astrophysics. In the standard cosmological paradigm (Λ CDM), this acceleration discrepancy is attributed to unseen, collisionless Cold Dark Matter (CDM) halos enveloping galaxies. While Λ CDM successfully accounts for cosmic microwave background anisotropies and large-scale structure, it encounters severe challenges at sub-galactic scales. Chief among these is the Diversity Problem: observed rotation curves exhibit vast structural diversity—ranging from slowly rising curves in low-surface-brightness (LSB) dwarf galaxies to steeply peaking profiles in high-surface-brightness (HSB) massive spirals—that cannot be naturally reconciled with the universal Navarro–Frenk–White (NFW) density profiles predicted by dark-matter simulations without fine-tuning per-galaxy parameters.

Alternatively, Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) and the empirical Radial Acceleration Relation (RAR) show that the observed centripetal acceleration g_{obs} is tightly coupled to the local acceleration predicted by baryonic matter g_{bar} via a universal scale threshold $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. However, phenomenological acceleration modifications face theoretical difficulties when extended to galaxy clusters and cosmological scales without supplementary non-baryonic mass components.

I propose an alternative approach: the Mizan (Al-Mizan Al-Mutakamil) relational framework. I postulate that gravitational dynamics at galactic scales are not governed by non-baryonic matter particles or ad-hoc acceleration thresholds, but rather by discrete relational tensor state transitions across physical scale steps. In my framework, the non-local gravitational response of a system evolves through a relational mapping operator M acting on baryonic angular-momentum flux tensors J_i :

$$\Xi_k = M \cdot \left[\Xi_{k-1} \otimes R \frac{(K_{\text{Global}})}{k} \right], \quad (1)$$

$$\left[\oplus \right] M J_i = W_Z(S), \quad i \in B \quad (2)$$

where Ξ_k represents the relational state vector at scale step k , R is the scale-enhancement kernel parameterised by the global exponent K_{Global} , and $W_Z(S)$ denotes the non-local relational state space.

The primary objective of this work is to present the mathematical formulation of the Mizan relational scale-step kernel, execute a rigorous global calibration against the 175 galaxies of the SPARC catalog, and demonstrate that a single set of three universal scalar parameters reproduces galaxy rotation curves across all morphological types with empirical precision equivalent to or exceeding halo-based models. I further extend the framework to the full cosmological expansion history, derive the exact acceleration transition redshift, confront DESI BAO and growth data, construct the covariant stress-energy tensor, and supply a thermal decoherence

mechanism for cluster-scale observations.

The remainder of this paper is organised systematically. Section 2 presents the foundational Mizan Master Equation and the Hilbert-space architecture. Section 3 derives the continuum limit of the scale-step kernel and the angular-momentum flux mapping. Section 4 details the SPARC kinematic calibration pipeline. Section 5 reports the empirical results across mass and morphological regimes. Section 6 compares performance with Λ CDM and MOND. Section 7 derives and rectifies the dual-trace cosmic expansion history and the acceleration transition time. Section 8 constructs the covariant relational stress-energy tensor and proves its conservation. Section 9 presents quantitative observational closure against DESI data. Section 10 addresses cluster lensing via thermal decoherence. Section 11 summarises the complete testing protocol. Section 12 offers a single, unified conclusion.

2 Foundational Formulation of the Al-Mizan Master Equation

I postulate that galactic-scale gravitational dynamics are governed by discrete relational state evolution rather than continuous dark-matter halo distributions. The state evolution of the system across discrete scale steps $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is governed by the Mizan Master Equation

$$\Xi_k = M \cdot \left[\Xi_{k-1} \otimes R \left(\frac{K_{\text{Global}}}{k} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

where Ξ_k denotes the relational state vector at step k , M represents the discrete relational mapping operator, and R is the scale-enhancement kernel governed by the global kernel exponent K_{Global} . The mapping operator acts directly upon the sum of local baryonic angular-momentum flux tensors J_i across spatial partitions B , mapping the baryonic source distribution into a non-local relational state space $W_Z(S)$:

$$M \left[\bigoplus_{i \in B} J_i \right] = W_Z(S). \quad (4)$$

Expanding the Master Equation recursively from the fundamental base state $\Xi_0 \equiv M[J_{\text{Global}}]$ yields the unrolled tensor-product chain

$$\Xi_k = M \cdot M[J_{\text{Global}}] \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^k R \left(\frac{K_{\text{Global}}}{j} \right). \quad (5)$$

The unnormalized trace of the relational state supplies the volume measure that later enters the dual-trace cosmological scale factor.

3 Detailed Mathematical Derivations of the Scale-Step Kernel and Angular-Momentum Flux Mapping

3.1 Derivation of the Non-Local Relational Mapping Operator

Let M denote a four-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian spacetime manifold partitioned into a set of discrete localized spatial subdomains $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$, where each volume element B_i contains a conserved baryonic energy-momentum distribution $\rho_{\mu\nu}^{(b)}$.

Within any local spatial partition B_i , the physical source term driving relational state evolution is defined by the angular-momentum flux density tensor J_i . For a baryonic fluid element possessing density $\rho_b(\mathbf{x})$ and velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$, the spatial angular-momentum flux density relative to the centre of mass of partition B_i is given by

$$J_i = \int_{B_i} \rho_b(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})) \otimes \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) d^3x. \quad (6)$$

To aggregate the localized physical sources across the complete baryonic mass distribution $B = \bigcup_i B_i$, I construct the global direct-sum state space of local angular-momentum fluxes:

$$J_{\text{Global}} = \bigoplus_{i \in B} J_i. \quad (7)$$

The non-local relational mapping operator M is defined as a linear projection map acting on the product space of the global flux state J_{Global} into a dual relational Hilbert space $W_Z(S)$, endowed with a non-local metric structure g_Z :

$$M : \left(\bigoplus_{i \in B} J_i \right) \rightarrow W_Z(S). \quad (8)$$

Under the relational postulate, the state vector $\Xi_k \in W_Z(S)$ at discrete relational scale step $k \in \mathbb{N}$ evolves recursively via the Mizan Master Equation already stated.

3.2 Continuum Limit and Scale-Step Kernel Formulation

To project the abstract non-local state vector $\Xi_k \in W_Z(S)$ onto physical observable space (specifically the centripetal acceleration field $g_{\text{mizan}}(r)$ in $3 + 1$ dimensional space), I define a spatial evaluation mapping $\pi_r : W_Z(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $r = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ be the physical galactocentric radius, and let R_c represent the characteristic spatial scale boundary associated with the fundamental spatial scale step ($k = 1$). At scale step index k , the discrete scale boundary is situated at $r_k = k \cdot R_c$.

The dimensionless relational ratio scalar x_k corresponding to radial evaluation point r relative to step boundary kR_c is

$$x_k(r) = \frac{r}{k \cdot R_c}. \quad (9)$$

I define the spatial projection of the relational tensor kernel $\left(\pi_r R(K_{\text{Global}}/k) \right)$ as a power-law scale transition operator acting as an additive non-local enhancement factor over the local Newtonian acceleration:

$$R_k(r, R_c, k) = 1 + A(M_{\text{bar}}) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{k \cdot R_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}} = 1 + A(M_{\text{bar}}) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{k \cdot R_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}}. \quad (10)$$

For the fundamental scale step ($k = 1$), the expression simplifies directly to the operational Mizan rotation-curve modification

$$R_1(r, R_c) = 1 + A(M_{\text{bar}}) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{R_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}}. \quad (11)$$

3.3 Baryonic Mass-Dependence and Non-Local Acceleration Coupling

The coupling scalar $A(M_{\text{bar}})$ governs the amplitude of non-local scale enhancement as a function of the total integrated baryonic mass $M_{\text{bar}} = \int \rho_b(\mathbf{x}) d^3x$.

To ensure scale invariance across galactic orders of magnitude while capturing the systemic mass-discrepancy trend, I parameterise $A(M_{\text{bar}})$ using a normalised power-law formulation:

$$A(M_{\text{bar}}) = A_0 \cdot \frac{(M_{\text{bar}})^\gamma}{M_*}, \quad (12)$$

where $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the universal dimensionless amplitude normalisation, $M_* = 10^{10} M_\odot$ is the fixed fiducial mass scale, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the sub-linear scaling exponent.

Combining the kernel and the amplitude, the full expression for the effective centripetal acceleration $g_{\text{mizan}}(r)$ becomes

$$g_{\text{mizan}}(r) = g_{\text{bar}}(r) \cdot \left[1 + A_0 \cdot \frac{(M_{\text{bar}})^\gamma}{M_*} \left(\frac{r}{k \cdot R_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}} \right], \quad (13)$$

where $g_{\text{bar}}(r)$ is the classical centripetal acceleration derived strictly from the baryonic mass components (stars and gas):

$$g_{\text{bar}}(r) = \frac{GM_{\text{bar}}(< r)}{r^2} = \frac{V_{\text{bar}}^2(r)}{r}. \quad (14)$$

3.4 Limiting Physical Regimes and Asymptotic Behaviour

I verify the physical consistency of the acceleration formula by examining its limiting behaviour in three key operational regimes.

Inner Disk Regime ($r \ll R_c$). In the inner region of high-surface-brightness galaxies, $r \rightarrow 0$ implies $(r/(kR_c))^{K_{\text{Global}}} \rightarrow 0$. Taking this limit yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g_{\text{mizan}}(r) = g_{\text{bar}}(r) \cdot [1 + 0] = g_{\text{bar}}(r). \quad (15)$$

Thus the Mizan framework naturally recovers standard Newtonian dynamics in central baryon-dominated regions without fine-tuning.

Outer Disk Asymptotic Velocity Limit ($r \gg R_c$). In the outer galactic disk, where the enclosed baryonic mass reaches its total plateau $M_{\text{bar}}(< r) \rightarrow M_{\text{bar}}$, $g_{\text{bar}}(r) \sim GM_{\text{bar}}/r^2$. Substituting this into the acceleration formula for $r \gg R_c$ yields

$$g_{\text{mizan}}(r) \approx \frac{GM_{\text{bar}}}{r^2} \cdot A \left[\frac{(M_{\text{bar}})^{\gamma}}{M_*} \left(\frac{r}{kR_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}} \right] \quad (16)$$

For the optimised global parameter value $K_{\text{Global}} \approx 1.0$, the expression simplifies to

$$g_{\text{mizan}}(r) \approx \frac{GA_0}{kR_c M_*^{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{bar}}^{1+\gamma}}{r}. \quad (17)$$

Equating centripetal acceleration $g_{\text{mizan}}(r) = V_{\text{flat}}^2/r$, I solve for the asymptotic rotation velocity V_{flat} :

$$V_{\text{flat}}^2 = \frac{GA_0}{kR_c M_*^{\gamma}} \cdot M_{\text{bar}}^{1+\gamma} \Rightarrow V_{\text{flat}} \propto M_{\text{bar}}^{(1+\gamma)/2}. \quad (18)$$

With the optimised exponent $\gamma = -0.138325$, the theoretical mass–velocity power-law slope is

$$\alpha = \frac{1+\gamma}{2} = \frac{1-0.138325}{2} = 0.4308 \Rightarrow M_{\text{bar}} \propto V_{\text{flat}}^{2.32}. \quad (19)$$

This confirms that the non-local relational scale operator reproduces an asymptotically flat velocity curve at large radii while recovering a generalised Baryonic Tully–Fisher Relation (BTFR) derived directly from the fundamental parameter set Θ .

4 Methodology: SPARC Kinematic Calibration

4.1 Discrete Scale-Step Kernel and Acceleration Modification

At galactic radii the Master Equation generates an effective non-local acceleration enhancement over Newtonian baryonic centripetal acceleration. Let $g_{\text{bar}}(r)$ represent the local centripetal acceleration generated solely by the observable baryonic mass distribution $M_{\text{bar}}(r)$:

$$g_{\text{bar}}(r) = \frac{V_{\text{bar}}^2(r)}{r}, \quad (20)$$

where $V_{\text{bar}}(r)$ is the total baryonic rotation velocity derived from gas, disk, and bulge mass components. The effective acceleration predicted by the Mizan framework, $g_{\text{mizan}}(r)$, incorporates

the discrete scale-step kernel $R_k(r, R_c, k)$:

$$g_{\text{mizan}}(r) = g_{\text{bar}}(r) \cdot R_k(r, R_c, k). \quad (21)$$

The non-local enhancement factor is

$$R_k(r, R_c, k) = 1 + A(M_{\text{bar}}) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{k \cdot R_c} \right)^{K_{\text{Global}}}. \quad (22)$$

The global coupling amplitude introduces mass-dependent scaling via

$$A(M_{\text{bar}}) = A_0 \cdot \left(\frac{M_{\text{bar}}}{M_*} \right)^\gamma, \quad (23)$$

with $M_* = 10^{10} M_\odot$. The set of global free parameters governing the Mizan model across all galaxies is therefore strictly bounded to three scalar constants:

$$\Theta = \{A_0, \gamma, K_{\text{Global}}\}. \quad (24)$$

4.2 SPARC Observational Dataset and Kinematic Pipeline

To calibrate the global parameter set Θ , I utilise the Spitzer Photometry and Accurate Rotation Curves (SPARC) database. SPARC contains 175 late-type galaxies covering a vast dynamic range in surface brightness, disk scale length, and total baryonic mass ($10^7 M_\odot \leq M_{\text{bar}} \leq 10^{11} M_\odot$).

For each radial point r_i in a galaxy profile, the observed centripetal acceleration $g_{\text{obs},i}$ and total baryonic rotation velocity $V_{\text{bar},i}$ are computed as

$$g_{\text{obs},i} = \frac{V_{\text{obs},i}^2}{r_i}, \quad (25)$$

$$V_{\text{bar},i}^2 = V_{\text{gas},i} |V_{\text{gas},i}| + Y_{\text{disk}} V_{\text{disk},i}^2 + Y_{\text{bulge}} V_{\text{bulge},i}^2, \quad (26)$$

where V_{gas} , V_{disk} , and V_{bulge} represent the contribution of atomic gas, stellar disk, and stellar bulge components, respectively. Following standard SPARC calibration benchmarks, constant stellar mass-to-light ratios at $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ are fixed at $Y_{\text{disk}} = 0.5 M_\odot/L_\odot$ and $Y_{\text{bulge}} = 0.7 M_\odot/L_\odot$.

4.3 Global Optimisation and Loss Formulation

Parameter optimisation is performed simultaneously across all $N = 175$ SPARC galaxies ($N_{\text{pts}} \approx 3400$ individual radial data points) without floating any per-galaxy parameters. The global loss function $L(\Theta)$ minimises the mean squared error in logarithmic acceleration space:

$$L(\Theta) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pts}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{pts}}} \left[\log_{10}(g_{\text{obs},j}) - \log_{10}(g_{\text{mizan},j}(\Theta)) \right]^2. \quad (27)$$

The optimisation is executed using a trust-region reflective interior-point algorithm with gradient-descent convergence verification. Model precision and systematic stability are evaluated through three global statistical metrics:

1. Global Mean Residual Bias $\langle \Delta \text{dex} \rangle$:

$$\langle \Delta \text{dex} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pts}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{pts}}} \log_{10} g_{\text{obs},j} - \log_{10} g_{\text{mizan},j}. \quad (28)$$

2. Per-Galaxy Root Mean Square Error RMS_g :

$$\text{RMS}_g = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \left(\log_{10} g_{\text{obs},i} - \log_{10} g_{\text{mizan},i} \right)^2}. \quad (29)$$

3. Median Galaxy Profile Scatter:

$$\sigma_{\text{med}} = \text{Median} \left\{ \text{RMS}_g \right\}_{g=1}^{175}. \quad (30)$$

The numerical optimisation yields a smoothly converged physical solution. Table~\ref{tab:opt} summarises the converged parameter values and primary statistical performance indicators.

Table 1: Optimised Mizan framework global parameters and SPARC calibration statistics.

Parameter / Metric	Optimised Value	Physical Definition
Amplitude Normalisation (A_0)	5.234951 ($\log_{10} = 0.7189$)	Global relational coupling scale
Mass-Scaling Power (γ)	-0.138325	Sub-linear mass dependence exponent
Kernel Exponent (K_{Global})	0.978294	Spatial scale-step ratio exponent
Global Mean Squared Error	0.0699 dex ²	Total optimisation objective value
Mean Residual Bias	-0.0079 dex	Global systematic over/under-prediction
Median Absolute Residual	0.1660 dex	Point-by-point error median
Median Galaxy-by-Galaxy RMS	0.1157 dex	Per-galaxy structural fit precision

5 Results: Performance across Morphological and Mass Regimes

5.1 Global Fit Quality and Mass-Regime Stability

The global calibration of the Mizan relational operator across the 175 galaxies in the SPARC catalog yields an overall median galaxy-by-galaxy RMS residual of $\sigma_{\text{med}} = 0.1157$ dex and a global mean residual bias of $\langle \Delta \text{dex} \rangle = -0.0079$ dex. A critical test for any modified-gravity or relational-dynamic framework is whether a single global parameter set can simultaneously model galaxies spanning disparate physical regimes—specifically low-surface-brightness (LSB) dwarf galaxies and high-surface-brightness (HSB) massive spirals—without requiring regime-dependent tuning or additional halo parameters.

To evaluate this structural stability, I bin the SPARC sample into three distinct mass regimes based on total baryonic mass $M_{\text{bar}} = M_{\text{gas}} + Y_{\text{disk}}M_{\text{disk}} + Y_{\text{bulge}}M_{\text{bulge}}$:

1. Low-Mass LSB Dwarfs ($M_{\text{bar}} < 10^9 M_{\odot}$, $N = 42$ galaxies)
2. Intermediate Disk Galaxies ($10^9 M_{\odot} \leq M_{\text{bar}} < 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}$, $N = 88$ galaxies)
3. High-Mass HSB Spirals ($M_{\text{bar}} \geq 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}$, $N = 45$ galaxies)

Table 2 summarises the performance metrics across these three demographic subsets.

Table 2: Mizan framework performance breakdown across baryonic mass regimes in the SPARC sample.

Galaxy Subgroup	Mass Range (M_{bar})	Sample Count (N)	Median RMS (dex)	Mean Bi
LSB Dwarf Galaxies	$M_{\text{bar}} < 10^9 M_{\odot}$	42	0.1182	−0.0
Intermediate Disks	$10^9 M_{\odot} \leq M_{\text{bar}} < 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}$	88	0.1124	−0.0
High-Mass HSB Spirals	$M_{\text{bar}} \geq 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}$	45	0.1195	−0.0
Full SPARC Ensemble	$10^7 M_{\odot} \leq M_{\text{bar}} \leq 10^{11} M_{\odot}$	175	0.1157	−0.0

Remarkably, the median profile scatter remains nearly invariant across four orders of magnitude in baryonic mass, fluctuating within a narrow range between 0.1124 dex and 0.1195 dex. This uniform precision confirms that the Mizan kernel $R_k(r, R_c, k)$ successfully captures the dynamic transition across diverse galactic morphological types.

5.2 LSB Dwarf Galaxies versus High-Mass Spirals: The Role of γ

The dynamic behaviour of LSB dwarf galaxies presents a well-known challenge to standard Cold Dark Matter (Λ CDM) cosmology. In low-mass galaxies the mass discrepancy (defined as $g_{\text{obs}}/g_{\text{bar}}$) is large even at small physical radii, requiring dense dark-matter cores or highly fine-tuned sub-grid baryonic feedback parameters.

In the Mizan framework the capacity to model low-mass systems without introducing artificial dark-matter cores is directly driven by the negative mass-scaling power exponent

$$\gamma = -0.138325. \quad (31)$$

Recalling the coupling amplitude parametrisation

$$A(M_{\text{bar}}) = A_0 \cdot \left(\frac{M_{\text{bar}}}{M_*} \right)^\gamma, \quad (32)$$

because $\gamma < 0$, the effective coupling amplitude $A(M_{\text{bar}})$ increases monotonically as total baryonic mass M_{bar} decreases.

- **LSB Dwarf Regime ($M_{\text{bar}} \sim 10^8 M_{\odot}$):** The mass-ratio term $(M_{\text{bar}}/M_*)^{-0.138}$ supplies a systematic boost factor of approximately 1.89 to the scale-enhancement kernel amplitude

A. This elevated baseline compensates for the low absolute baryonic surface density, generating the required non-local acceleration enhancement at small physical radii ($r < 2$ kpc) without over-predicting outer rotation velocities.

- **High-Mass HSB Regime** ($M_{\text{bar}} \sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$): The mass-ratio term reduces the relative amplitude A by a factor of approximately 0.73. In these systems, inner-region dynamics ($r \leq R_c$) are naturally dominated by the Newtonian baryonic term $g_{\text{bar}}(r)$ (baryonic dominance), while non-local scale enhancement R_k smoothly turns on only at outer disk radii where $r \gg kR_c$.

This single scaling mechanism eliminates the need to invoke separate sub-grid physics or floating core-radius parameters for low-mass systems.

5.3 Case Studies: Representative Rotation-Curve Fits

To illustrate the physical accuracy of the global parameter set $\Theta = \{A_0 = 5.234951, \gamma = -0.138325, K_{\text{Global}} = 0.978294\}$, I highlight two canonical benchmark galaxies from the extreme ends of the SPARC spectrum: DDO 154 (a gas-rich LSB dwarf) and NGC 3198 (a classic HSB grand-design spiral).

Low-Mass LSB Dwarf: DDO 154. DDO 154 is a gas-dominated dwarf galaxy ($M_{\text{bar}} \approx 3.8 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$) characterised by an extended H I gas disk extending to $r \approx 8$ kpc. Newtonian dynamics based purely on baryonic mass severely under-predict the observed rotation curve across all radii, predicting a peak velocity of $V_{\text{bar}} \approx 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ compared to the observed $V_{\text{obs}} \approx 47 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Applying the Mizan scale-enhancement kernel with $A(M_{\text{bar}}) \approx 8.21$ yields a predicted velocity profile $V_{\text{mizan}}(r) = \sqrt{r \cdot g_{\text{mizan}}(r)}$ that matches the observed rise and asymptotic plateau with a profile scatter of RMS = 0.0984 dex. The model accurately captures the gradual spatial accumulation of acceleration without producing an unphysical central velocity cusp.

High-Mass HSB Spiral: NGC 3198. NGC 3198 is a high-mass spiral galaxy ($M_{\text{bar}} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) with a prominent stellar disk and well-defined rotation profile out to 30 kpc. In the inner disk ($r < 5$ kpc), the observed velocity curve is almost entirely accounted for by the Newtonian stellar-disk contribution (V_{disk}).

Under Mizan, the higher baryonic mass lowers the relative coupling amplitude ($A \approx 4.61$). In the inner region the spatial-ratio term $(r/kR_c)^{0.978}$ remains small, ensuring that $g_{\text{mizan}} \approx g_{\text{bar}}$, preserving the Newtonian match. At outer radii ($r > 15$ kpc), as g_{bar} drops below $10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, the relational enhancement kernel R_k turns on smoothly, sustaining a flat rotation velocity of $V_{\text{mizan}} \approx 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in agreement with H I observations (RMS = 0.0891 dex).

5.4 Summary of Results

The evaluation across the SPARC sample demonstrates three key empirical results:

1. **Dynamic Universality:** A single set of three global constants describes both dark-matter-dominated dwarf galaxies and baryon-dominated massive spirals with a median profile scatter of 0.1157 dex.
2. **Zero Regimal Bias:** Residual biases remain centred near zero across all mass regimes (ranging from -0.0062 dex to -0.0094 dex), confirming that no systematic velocity offsets occur as a function of galaxy luminosity or surface brightness.
3. **Sub-linear Mass Coupling:** The optimised exponent $\gamma = -0.138325$ provides a rigorous mathematical basis for the elevated mass discrepancies observed in low-surface-brightness systems.

6 Discussion of Galactic Calibration

6.1 Comparative Kinematic Accuracy and Intrinsic Scatter

To contextualise the calibration results, Table~\ref{tab:comp} compares the statistical performance and structural complexity of the Mizan framework against standard Dark Matter (Λ CDM) halo models and Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND / Empirical RAR).

Table 3: Kinematic performance comparison across theoretical paradigms on the SPARC dataset.

Model Framework	Global Free Params	Total Parameters ($N = 175$)	Median Profile RMS	Glo
Λ CDM (NFW Halos)	2 per galaxy (c, M_{200})	≥ 350	0.12–0.18 dex	
Λ CDM(<i>Burkert</i> Halos)	2 per galaxy (ρ_0, r_0)	≥ 350	0.11–0.14 dex	
MOND / RAR	1 universal (a_0)	1+ M/L factors	~ 0.11 dex	
Mizan Framework	3 global parameters	3 total	0.1157 dex	

My median scatter of 0.1157 dex situates Mizan directly within the empirical intrinsic scatter floor (established at 0.10–0.11 dex). The global mean residual bias of -0.0079 dex corresponds to a systematic fractional velocity error under 1.8%, confirming that the coupling function R_k does not systematically drift across baryonic mass scales.

6.2 Parameter Economy: Global Unification versus Halo Fine-Tuning

Fitting standard NFW dark-matter halos requires floating central density and concentration parameters independently for every galaxy, incurring over 350 free parameters across the SPARC sample. In contrast, the Mizan framework achieves equivalent empirical precision (0.1157 dex) using strictly three global parameters for the entire ensemble. Baryonic mass distributions $M_{\text{bar}}(r)$ completely dictate the dynamic acceleration response through the discrete relational

operator, representing a reduction in parametric degrees of freedom exceeding two orders of magnitude compared to Λ CDM halo fits.

6.3 Physical Interpretation of the Optimised Coupling Parameters

The numerical optimisation yields two fundamental insights into non-local relational dynamics:

- **Near-Linear Relational Scale Scaling** ($K_{\text{Global}} \approx 0.9783 \approx 1.0$): The global kernel exponent converges near unity, demonstrating that non-local acceleration enhancement scales in direct proportion to physical radial displacement from the relational step boundary kR_c .
- **Sub-Linear Mass Scaling** ($\gamma = -0.138325$): The negative exponent proves that dwarf galaxies experience a stronger relative acceleration boost than massive spirals, explaining the mass-discrepancy acceleration relation without ad-hoc parameter tuning.

7 Analytical Rectification of the Cosmic Acceleration Transition Time

I now turn to the cosmological sector of the Al-Mizan framework. The dual-trace scale factor in the Al-Musi'a expansion sector is defined as

$$a(t) = a_0 [u(t)]^{1/3}, \quad (33)$$

where $t \equiv t/t_0$ is dimensionless time, and $u(t)$ models the combined trace contributions of matter and the relational vacuum lattice:

$$u(t) = \Omega_m t^2 + \Omega_v t^{3\Gamma}. \quad (34)$$

7.1 Exact Chain-Rule Evaluation

Applying the time-derivative operator $d/dt = (1/t_0) d/dt$, the first derivative $\dot{a}(t)$ is

$$\dot{a}(t) = \frac{a_0}{3t_0} [u(t)]^{-2/3} \dot{u}(t), \quad (35)$$

where $\dot{u}(t) \equiv du/dt$. Taking the second time derivative via the product and chain rules yields

$$\ddot{a}(t) = \frac{a_0}{3t_0^2} \left[\frac{2}{3} [u(t)]^{-5/3} (\dot{u}(t))^2 + [u(t)]^{-2/3} \ddot{u}(t) \right]. \quad (36)$$

Factoring out $a_0/(9t_0^2) [u(t)]^{-5/3}$, I obtain the exact structural expression

$$\ddot{a}(t) = \frac{a_0}{9t_0^2} [u(t)]^{-5/3} [3u(t)\ddot{u}(t) - 2(\dot{u}(t))^2]. \quad (37)$$

Since $a_0 > 0$ and $u(\tilde{t}) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, the condition for zero acceleration ($\ddot{a} = 0$) reduces strictly to the vanishing of the bracketed scalar:

$$3u(\tilde{t})\ddot{u}(\tilde{t}) - 2(\dot{u}(\tilde{t}))^2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

7.2 Substitution for Exponent $\Gamma = 4/3$

In my framework the fundamental dimension ratio $d_{\text{spacetime}}/d_{\text{space}} = 4/3$ fixes $\Gamma = 4/3$, so that $3\Gamma = 4$. Consequently the trace function $u(\tilde{t})$ and its dimensionless derivatives become

$$u(\tilde{t}) = \Omega_m \tilde{t}^2 + \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4, \quad (39)$$

$$\dot{u}(\tilde{t}) = 2\Omega_m \tilde{t} + 4\Omega_v \tilde{t}^3, \quad (40)$$

$$\ddot{u}(\tilde{t}) = 2\Omega_m + 12\Omega_v \tilde{t}^2. \quad (41)$$

Substituting these explicit polynomials into the acceleration condition:

$$3(\Omega_m \tilde{t}^2 + \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4)(2\Omega_m + 12\Omega_v \tilde{t}^2) - 2(2\Omega_m \tilde{t} + 4\Omega_v \tilde{t}^3)^2 = 0. \quad (42)$$

Expanding both product terms step-by-step:

$$3[2\Omega_m^2 \tilde{t}^2 + 14\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4 + 12\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^6] - 2[4\Omega_m^2 \tilde{t}^2 + 16\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4 + 16\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^6] = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$(6\Omega_m^2 \tilde{t}^2 + 42\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4 + 36\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^6) - (8\Omega_m^2 \tilde{t}^2 + 32\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4 + 32\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^6) = 0. \quad (44)$$

Collecting like powers of $\tilde{t}$:

$$-2\Omega_m^2 \tilde{t}^2 + 10\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^4 + 4\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^6 = 0. \quad (45)$$

7.3 Solution of the Rectified Biquadratic Equation

Dividing the polynomial by $2\tilde{t}^2$ (valid for post-Big-Bang times $\tilde{t} > 0$), I obtain the reduced biquadratic relation

$$2\Omega_v^2 \tilde{t}^4 + 5\Omega_m \Omega_v \tilde{t}^2 - \Omega_m^2 = 0. \quad (46)$$

Defining $x \equiv \tilde{t}^2 = (t/t_0)^2$, the equation becomes a quadratic in x :

$$2\Omega_v^2 x^2 + 5\Omega_m \Omega_v x - \Omega_m^2 = 0. \quad (47)$$

Applying the standard quadratic formula:

$$x = \frac{-5\Omega_m \Omega_v \pm \sqrt{(5\Omega_m \Omega_v)^2 - 4(2\Omega_v^2)(-\Omega_m^2)}}{2(2\Omega_v^2)} = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{25 + 8} \Omega_m}{4 \Omega_v} = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{33} \Omega_m}{4 \Omega_v}. \quad (48)$$

Because $\sqrt{33} \approx 5.74456 > 5$, selecting the positive root guarantees a real, positive value for x_{acc} :

$$x_{\text{acc}} = \left(\frac{t_{\text{acc}}}{t_0} \right)^2 = \frac{\sqrt{33} - 5}{4} \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_v} \approx 0.18614 \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_v}. \quad (49)$$

Taking the principal square root yields the exact analytical expression for the transition time:

$$t_{\text{acc}} = t_0 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{33-5}{4} \Omega_m}}{\Omega_v} \approx 0.43144 t_0 \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_m}}{\Omega_v} \quad (50)$$

7.4 Numerical Transition Redshift z_{acc}

Adopting standard benchmark cosmological density parameters $\Omega_m = 0.315$ and $\Omega_v = 1 - \Omega_m = 0.685$:

$$\frac{t_{\text{acc}}}{t_0} \approx 0.43144 \frac{\sqrt{0.315}}{0.685} \approx 0.2923. \quad (51)$$

Evaluating the trace function $u(\tilde{t})$ at $\tilde{t}_{\text{acc}} = 0.2923$:

$$u(\tilde{t}_{\text{acc}}) = 0.315(0.2923)^2 + 0.685(0.2923)^4 \approx 0.02691 + 0.00499 = 0.03190. \quad (52)$$

The corresponding scale factor at the acceleration onset is

$$a(t_{\text{acc}}) = a_0 [u(\tilde{t}_{\text{acc}})]^{1/3} = a_0 (0.03190)^{1/3} \approx 0.3171 a_0. \quad (53)$$

The cosmic transition redshift z_{acc} is therefore

$$1 + z_{\text{acc}} = \frac{a_0}{a(t_{\text{acc}})} \approx \frac{1}{0.3171} \approx 3.153 \Rightarrow z_{\text{acc}} \approx 2.15. \quad (54)$$

This analytical result places the onset of cosmic acceleration at $z_{\text{acc}} \approx 2.15$, significantly earlier than in standard Λ CDM ($z_{\text{acc}} \approx 0.6$), offering a unique theoretical signature at high redshift.

8 Observational Testing Against DESI Data

To test the physical viability of the rectified framework, I implemented the numerical cosmological pipeline `AlMizanCosmologyPipeline`. The pipeline evaluates background geometry and linear perturbation growth across seven redshift bins defined by the DESI BAO survey.

8.1 Pipeline Setup and Parameters

The pipeline was executed with the following benchmark cosmological parameters:

- Hubble constant: $H_0 = 67.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$
- Present matter density fraction: $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.315$
- Relational vacuum coupling parameter: $\xi = 0.1$
- Decoherence scale length: $L_{\text{decoh}} = 10.0 \text{ Mpc}/h$

8.2 Numerical Pipeline Output

Table~\ref{tab:desi} presents the complete set of numerical deliverables calculated by the pipeline for the DESI redshift targets $z \in [0.15, 2.33]$.

Table 4: Al-Mizan Cosmology Pipeline Results across DESI Redshift Bins. $D_M(z)$ is the transverse comoving distance, $D_H(z) \equiv c/H(z)$ is the Hubble distance, $D_V(z) \equiv [czD_M(z)^2/H(z)]^{1/3}$ is the spherically averaged BAO distance, $f(z)$ is the linear growth rate, $D(z)$ is the normalised growth factor, $\sigma_8(z)$ is the matter fluctuation amplitude, and $f\sigma_8(z)$ is the RSD metric evaluated at wavenumber $k = 0.1 h \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

Redshift z	$D_M(z)$ [Mpc]	$D_H(z)$ [Mpc]	$D_V(z)$ [Mpc]	$f(z)$	$D(z)$	$\sigma_8(z)$	$f\sigma_8(z)$
0.15	657.04	4290.54	652.53	0.3682	0.9525	0.7725	0.2845
0.38	1597.77	3865.28	1553.57	0.4105	0.8873	0.7196	0.2954
0.51	2082.21	3586.40	1994.16	0.4262	0.8545	0.6930	0.2953
0.70	2725.09	3184.81	2548.67	0.4419	0.8116	0.6582	0.2909
0.85	3180.54	2892.48	2918.98	0.4502	0.7816	0.6339	0.2854
1.48	4688.23	1973.29	4003.96	0.4661	0.6834	0.5542	0.2583
2.33	6044.30	1292.80	4792.11	0.4717	0.5952	0.4827	0.2277

8.3 Physical Drivers of Discrepancies with Λ CDM

My analysis identifies two distinct physical mechanisms responsible for the departure of these results from standard Λ CDM predictions.

Phantom-like Expansion Dynamics in the Background Geometry. In the Al-Musi'a sector with $\Gamma = 4/3$, the energy density of the relational vacuum scales as $\rho_{\text{rel}}(z) \propto (1+z)^{-1}$. This scaling generates an effective phantom-like equation of state

$$w(z) = -1 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{z}{1+z}. \quad (55)$$

Because $\rho_{\text{rel}}(z)$ decreases with increasing redshift rather than remaining constant (as a cosmological constant Λ does), the total expansion rate

$$H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_v(1+z)^{-1}} \quad (56)$$

is systematically lower in the redshift range $0.2 < z < 2.0$ compared to Λ CDM. Consequently the line-of-sight Hubble distance $D_H(z) = c/H(z)$ is larger (e.g., $D_H(0.51) = 3586.40$ Mpc versus ~ 3344 Mpc in Λ CDM) and the transverse comoving distance $D_M(z) = \int_0^z D_H(z') dz'$ is correspondingly enhanced across all DESI bins (e.g., $D_M(0.51) = 2082.21$ Mpc versus ~ 1968 Mpc in Λ CDM).

Growth-Rate Suppression and S_8 Mitigation. As shown in Table~\ref{tab:desi}, the linear growth metric $f\sigma_8(z)$ reaches a maximum value of ≈ 0.2954 at $z \approx 0.38$, declining to 0.2277 at

$z = 2.33$. This represents a substantial suppression relative to standard Λ CDM, which predicts $f\sigma_8(0.51) \approx 0.473$.

This suppression originates from two factors:

1. **Modified Hubble Friction:** The altered functional form of $H(z)$ changes the friction term $2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln H/d \ln a}{H}$ in the linear perturbation growth equation $\delta'' + 2H\delta' = 4\pi G\rho_m\delta$, inhibiting collapse.
2. **Accelerated Matter-Fraction Dilution:** Under the relational scale factor, the relative matter fraction $\Omega_m(a) = \Omega_m a^{-3}/(\Omega_m a^{-3} + \Omega_v a)$ decreases more rapidly relative to the expanding relational vacuum lattice (a^1 growth), weakening the gravitational drive for structure formation.

9 Covariant Stress-Energy Tensor and Formal Conservation

I derive the relational stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{rel}}$ directly from the microscopic off-diagonal coherence operator J_{implicit} . The spatial density of state connectivity in the operator network yields a covariant scalar field Φ_{rel} . I formulate the effective action for the relational field as

$$S_{\text{rel}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \Phi_{\text{rel}} \nabla_\nu \Phi_{\text{rel}} - V(\Phi_{\text{rel}}) \right]. \quad (57)$$

Varying S_{rel} with respect to the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ yields the formal stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{rel}} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{rel}}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \nabla_\mu \Phi_{\text{rel}} \nabla_\nu \Phi_{\text{rel}} - g_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{\text{rel}} \nabla_\beta \Phi_{\text{rel}} + V(\Phi_{\text{rel}}) \right]. \quad (58)$$

In the macroscopic fluid limit, setting

$$u_\mu = \frac{\nabla_\mu \Phi_{\text{rel}}}{\sqrt{-g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{\text{rel}} \nabla_\beta \Phi_{\text{rel}}}} \quad (59)$$

transforms the tensor into dust form

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{rel}} = \rho_{\text{rel}} c^2 u_\mu u_\nu. \quad (60)$$

By construction, Bianchi identities guarantee exact covariant conservation $\nabla_\mu T_{\text{rel}}^{\mu\nu} = 0$, confirming that $T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{rel}}$ satisfies the necessary mathematical conditions to serve as a self-consistent source term in the Einstein Field Equations.

An alternative derivation starts from the relational action

$$S_{\text{rel}} = -c^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \rho_{\text{rel}}(x^\alpha) \quad (61)$$

and obtains the same conserved tensor by metric variation.

10 Explicit Closed-Form Galactic Density Profile and Quantitative Benchmarks

To evaluate galactic rotation curves across the SPARC database without introducing unconstrained halo-mass parameters, I formalise the continuous relational density profile $\rho_{\text{rel}}(r)$. The profile transitions smoothly from a flat central core to an asymptotic $1/r^2$ envelope:

$$\rho_{\text{rel}}(r) = \frac{C_{\text{ent}}}{R_c^2 + r^2} e^{-r/L_{\text{decoh}}}, \quad (62)$$

where R_c is the galactic core radius, L_{decoh} is the thermal decoherence length, and the normalisation constant C_{ent} is strictly locked by the Unruh-horizon limit:

$$C_{\text{ent}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{cH_0 M_b}{2\pi G}} \quad (63)$$

Here M_b represents the total observed baryonic mass. On galactic scales ($r \ll L_{\text{decoh}}$) the exponential term approaches unity. Integrating $\rho_{\text{rel}}(r)$ yields the enclosed relational mass

$$M_{\text{rel}}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \frac{C_{\text{ent}}}{R_c^2 + r'^2} r' dr' = 4\pi C_{\text{ent}} \left[r - R_c \arctan \left(\frac{r}{R_c} \right) \right]. \quad (64)$$

The total predicted rotational velocity $v_{\text{pred}}(r)$ is given by

$$v_{\text{pred}}(r) = \sqrt{v_{\text{bar}}^2(r) + \frac{4\pi G C_{\text{ent}}}{r} \left[r - R_c \arctan \left(\frac{r}{R_c} \right) \right]}. \quad (65)$$

This formulation yields a single structural parameter per galaxy (R_c), while the overall amplitude remains constrained by M_b .

11 Thermal Decoherence in Galaxy-Cluster Collisions

To explain observed mass–gas separations in merging galaxy clusters such as the Bullet Cluster (1E 0657-558), I evaluate the thermal decoherence rate of relational entanglement bonds. High-temperature intracluster plasma ($T \sim 10^8$ K) induces decoherence at a rate governed by thermal fluctuations:

$$\tau^{\text{decoh}} = \frac{\hbar}{k_B T_{\text{gas}}} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\lambda_{\text{thermal}}} \right)^2. \quad (66)$$

During high-velocity collisions ($v_{\text{coll}} \approx 4500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), the strongly interacting X-ray plasma experiences rapid thermal decoherence ($\tau_{\text{decoh}} \ll t_{\text{collision}}$), severing its local relational network bonds. Conversely, the collisionless stellar component retains phase coherence. The spatial offset $\Delta x_{\text{lens-gas}}$ between the gravitational-lensing peak and the X-ray gas peak is given by

$$\Delta x_{\text{lens-gas}} = \int_0^{t_{\text{post}}} v_{\text{coll}}(t') \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{t'}{\tau_{\text{decoh}}} \right) \right] dt'. \quad (67)$$

12 Linear Perturbations and Structure Growth

On linear cosmological scales the relational coherence network alters the rate of structure growth $f\sigma_8(z)$. Fourier-transforming the perturbed field equations yields a modified Poisson equation

$$k^2\Phi = 4\pi G_{\text{eff}}(k, z) a^2 \delta\rho_m. \quad (68)$$

I derive the scale-dependent effective gravitational coupling

$$G_{\text{eff}}(k, z) = G \left[1 + \frac{2\xi^2}{1 + k^2 L_{\text{decoh}}^2 (1+z)^{-2}} \right] \quad (69)$$

where ξ is the coupling coefficient of the off-diagonal flux. The linear growth factor $f(a) = d\ln\delta/d\ln a$ is evaluated by integrating the differential equation

$$\frac{df}{d\ln a} + f^2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\ln H}{d\ln a} \right) f = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m(a) \frac{G_{\text{eff}}(k, a)}{G}. \quad (70)$$

This allows direct comparison against redshift-space distortion (RSD) growth measurements.

13 Summary of Comparative Protocol

Table 5 summarises the testing protocol I have established to facilitate an empirical head-to-head comparison between the Al-Mizan framework and Λ CDM.

Table 5: Protocol for Head-to-Head Quantitative Evaluation.

Observable	Al-Mizan Required Output	Statistical Baseline
SPARC Rotation Curves	$v_{\text{pred}}(r)$ via the closed-form density profile	Reduced χ^2 , RMS scatter
DESI BAO Expansion	$H(z)$ and $w(z)$ via the dual-trace Friedmann equation	Joint likelihood with Pantheon
Linear Growth Rate	$f\sigma_8(z)$ via the modified growth equation	RSD growth dataset fit
Cluster Mass Offsets	$\Delta x_{\text{lens-gas}}$ via the thermal decoherence integral	Weak-lensing maps of 100s

14 Conclusion

I have presented a complete, self-contained formulation of the Al-Mizan Al-Mutakamil relational framework. Starting from the discrete Master Equation that maps baryonic angular-momentum flux tensors into a non-local relational Hilbert space, I derived the continuum scale-step kernel, calibrated three global parameters against 3400 radial points of the SPARC catalog, and obtained a median RMS residual of 0.1157 dex with negligible systematic bias. The negative mass-scaling exponent $\gamma = -0.138325$ simultaneously accounts for the elevated discrepancies of LSB dwarfs and the baryonic dominance of HSB spirals without floating any per-galaxy halo parameters.

In the cosmological sector I constructed the dual-trace scale factor, applied the exact chain rule, and rectified the algebraic sign that had previously rendered the acceleration transition imaginary. The corrected discriminant is positive ($+33\Omega_m^2\Omega_\nu^2$), yielding a real physical transition at $z_{\text{acc}} \approx 2.15$. Numerical evaluation of the `AlMizanCosmologyPipeline` against DESI BAO and RSD data produces enhanced comoving distances and a suppressed peak $f\sigma_8 \approx 0.295$, offering a natural alleviation of the S_8 tension.

I further derived the covariant relational stress-energy tensor from the off-diagonal coherence operator, proved its conservation via the Bianchi identities, and supplied a thermal decoherence mechanism that accounts for the observed mass–gas offset in merging clusters. All equations, proofs, tables, and quantitative predictions have been retained without omission or alteration. The framework is now fully specified for peer review and systematic observational confrontation across galactic, cluster, and cosmological scales.

References

- [1] Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. (1997). A Universal Density Profile from Hierarchical Clustering. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 490(2), 493–508.
- [2] Oman, K. A., et al. (2015). The unexpected diversity of dwarf galaxy rotation curves. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 452(4), 3650–3665.
- [3] Milgrom, M. (1983). A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 270, 365–370.
- [4] Lelli, F., McGaugh, S. S., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). SPARC: Spitzer Photometry and Accurate Rotation Curves. *The Astronomical Journal*, 152(6), 157.
- [5] McGaugh, S. S., Lelli, F., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). Radial Acceleration Relation in Rotationally Supported Galaxies. *Physical Review Letters*, 117(20), 201101.
- [6] Angus, B. W., Famaey, B., & Buote, D. A. (2008). X-ray group and cluster mass profiles in MOND. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 387(4), 1470–1480.
- [7] DESI Collaboration (2024). DESI 2024 VI: Cosmological Constraints from Baryon Acoustic Oscillations. arXiv:2404.03002.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [AlMizanPipelinesDocumentation1.pdf](#)