

1 **Slow life history leaves endangered snake vulnerable to illegal** 2 **poaching**

3 CHRIS J. JOLLY, BRENTON VON TAKACH & JONATHAN K. WEBB

4

5 **Electronic Supplementary Information**

6

7 Because good estimates of parameter uncertainty are necessary to construct informative
8 stochastic demographic models, we used program MARK to obtain estimates of
9 environmental (process) variation around survival rates. We did this using the variance
10 components subroutine in MARK (appendix D, MARK Book v 19, see¹. For this analysis, we
11 used the mark-recapture data set for the gated population, with two groups (juveniles and
12 adults). We then ran CJS models to determine the best supported model from the data. The
13 data showed some over dispersion (bootstrap GOF test, $p = 0.05$, 1000 replicates) so we used
14 $\hat{c} = 1.27$ to adjust our candidate model set in MARK. From this analysis, the best
15 supported model was $S(\text{group}) p(\text{time})$, indicating that survival rates differed between
16 adults and juveniles (Table S1). We then used the variance components subroutine in
17 program MARK to estimate annual variation around survival rates for each age class
18 separately. For this analysis we used the model $S(\text{group} \times \text{time}) p(\text{constant})$. This
19 yielded estimates of annual survival for adults and juveniles of 0.89 and 0.61 respectively.
20 The standard deviations for these estimates were calculated from the estimates of sigma, and
21 were 0.057 and 0.108 for adults and juveniles respectively.

22 **Table S1.** Results of Cormack-Jolly-Seber analyses in MARK that was used to model rates of survival (S) and
 23 recapture (p) of broad-headed snakes from the gated population. Each snake was assigned to one of two groups
 24 depending on its size at first capture (sub-adults and adults, or juveniles). Table shows AIC values and associated
 25 AIC weights, model likelihood, number of parameters (N), and model deviance. The best-supported model is
 26 shown in bold font.

Model	AICc	Delta	AICc	Model	N	Deviance
		AICc	weight	Likelihood		
<i>S (group) p (time)</i>	297.9946	0.00	0.59	1.00	14	122.06
<i>S (group) p (constant)</i>	299.6107	1.62	0.27	0.45	3	147.76
<i>S (group) p (group)</i>	301.2301	3.24	0.12	0.20	4	147.30
<i>S (constant) p (group)</i>	306.0114	8.02	0.01	0.02	3	154.17
<i>S (constant) p (time)</i>	306.5289	8.53	0.01	0.01	13	132.90
<i>S (constant) p (constant)</i>	308.5055	10.51	0.00	0.01	2	158.72
<i>S (time) p (group)</i>	317.2972	19.30	0.00	0.00	14	141.36
<i>S (time) p (constant)</i>	319.8637	21.87	0.00	0.00	13	146.23
<i>S (group) p (group x time)</i>	320.6342	22.64	0.00	0.00	26	115.02
<i>S (time) p (time)</i>	325.4935	27.50	0.00	0.00	23	127.67
<i>S (constant) p (group x time)</i>	325.5252	27.53	0.00	0.00	25	122.54
<i>S (group x time) p (constant)</i>	332.3954	34.40	0.00	0.00	25	129.41

28 **References**

29 1. Cooch, E. & White, G. *Using MARK—a gentle introduction*. (Cornell University, 2001).

30