Online Resource 1 Primary results and calibration sensitivity

Label Budget Trade-offs and Calibration Transfer in GNSS Spoofing Detection

Annals of Telecommunications
Bo Xie and Yi Zhao
Wuhan Digital Engineering Institute, Wuhan, China
Corresponding author: Bo Xie (xiebo@mail.hfut.edu.cn)

All 108 source-macro and 324 source-specific means are retained, without filtering methods, sources, budgets, or nominal levels. Rates are percentages. alpha_01 denotes 1%; alpha_05 denotes 5%. Each source-specific rate averages two roles and five seeds; macro rates average the three sources equally. Worst-source FPR and FNR can refer to different sources. These are descriptive results, not independent replicates or population confidence intervals.

S1 Source-macro results

Table OR1.1 is provided as Table_OR1_1.csv: 108 data rows. Column headings and displayed precision are preserved from the archived supplement. The table is not filtered.

S2 Results by test source

Table OR1.2 is provided as Table_OR1_2.csv: 324 data rows. Column headings and displayed precision are preserved from the archived supplement. The table is not filtered.

S3 Calibration-size sensitivity at the 1% nominal level




Figure S1. Fixed-training calibration-size comparisons at the 1% nominal level. Columns distinguish training budget and calibration arm. FPR and FNR occupy separate rows and scales. Models and test scores remain fixed within each comparison. The nominal level does not imply population error control.