Research Square

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review.
They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice,
or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Effects of Physical Properties of Reservoir Rocks on Hydrocarbon Formation and Accumulation in Sedimentary Basins

**Seid Endrie**
seidendrie22@gmail.com

Addis Ababa Science and Technology University

**Ketsela Tadesse**
Addis Ababa Science and Technology University

**Yimam Ali**
Addis Ababa Science and Technology University

**Research Article**

**Keywords:** Physical properties, Reservoir rocks, Hydrocarbon formation, Sedimentary basins, Ethiopia

**Posted Date:** October 15th, 2026

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-11200507/v1

**License:** This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

**Additional Declarations:** No competing interests reported.

## Abstract

The sedimentary basins have unique geological characteristics and holds different types of sedimentary rocks, such as sandstones, shales, carbonates, and volcanic rocks. The Basins are found in the all parts of the country and covers areas of large square kilometers. Reservoir rocks are the permeable, and porous sedimentary rock, usually composed of carbonates, and sandstones.

In the laboratory, the chemical composition, porosity and permeability values of the reservoir rocks are determined using a representative sample that was collected from the study area.

During field work, it was discovered that the following fault systems were dominate in the study area. These includes; east-west (E-W) trending faults, northeast-southwest (NE-SW) trending faults, and northwest-southeast (NW-SE) trending faults.

In the basin, there is the presence indicators for the potential of oil seeps in different sedimentary basins especially in the Ogaden and Abay basins such as Were Ilu, Mechale, Legehida, and Dengle Ber are few areas in the Abay basin where there are indications of oil spills.

## 1. Introduction

The sedimentary basins have unique geological characteristics and holds different types of sedimentary rocks, such as sandstones, shales, carbonates, and volcanic rocks. Reservoir rocks are permeable, and porous sedimentary rock, usually composed of carbonates, and sandstones. The source rock in the Abay Basin is predominantly composed of organic-rich shales of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous deposits (Bosellini, 1989., Bosellin, 1992). These shales were deposited in a deep marine environment and have the potential to generate significant amounts of oil and gas.

Like Ogaden basin, the Abay basin has not been extensively explored for hydrocarbons; preliminary studies suggest that it has significant potential for oil and gas exploration. This study proposes to document some information about the effects of the physical properties of reservoir rocks, and the source rocks which have their own contribution for the formation of hydrocarbon resources in the sedimentary basins to accumulate the oil and gas resources through it and economic potential of the central portion of the Abay sub- basin of Were Ilu area (Wolela Ahmed, 2008).

Reservoir rocks are porous and permeable rocks where hydrocarbon fluids accumulate and can be economically recovered. Seals are rock formations that form an impermeable barrier above the reservoir rock, preventing hydrocarbons from escaping (Barnes, 1976).

Trap structures in the Abay Basin may include both structural and stratigraphic traps, such as anticlines and fault blocks. The Impermeable rock formations such as shale or evaporates act as seals preventing hydrocarbons from escaping (Kazmin, 1980; Getaneh Assefa, 1981).

A conventional petroleum system commonly includes a source rock, migration pathways, a reservoir rock, a seal, and a trap. Reservoir rocks are commonly porous and permeable sedimentary rocks such as sandstone and limestone. Their physical properties determine the volume of pore space available for hydrocarbons and the ease with which fluids can flow. Reservoir properties affect hydrocarbon storage and accumulation more directly than hydrocarbon generation. Generation occurs mainly when organic-rich source rocks are buried deeply enough to reach suitable thermal maturity.

Sandstones and carbonates make up reservoir rocks, which are porous and permeable sedimentary rocks.

Hydrocarbon generation occurs mainly in organic-rich source rocks, but the accumulation, storage, migration and production of oil and natural gas depend strongly on the physical properties of reservoir rocks. A reservoir rock is a subsurface rock with enough interconnected pore space to store fluids and enough permeability to allow those fluids to move. In a sedimentary basin, burial, compaction, cementation, dissolution, fracturing and diagenesis progressively modify these properties.

In conclusion, Ethiopia's sedimentary basins offer significant potential for the extraction and exploitation of hydrocarbons and other minerals, including fuel, oil, and geothermal energy (Wolela Ahmed, 2008).

## 2. Geological Setting of the basin

The study area is a section of the Ethiopian sedimentary basins, a semi-arid plateau area in the major parts of Ethiopia. The areas are characterized by using high hills, mountain, and plains, is traversed with the main rivers of Ethiopia. The area's particular geological and climatic conditions make it difficult to conduct scientific study **(Rabben et al., 1979) and (Beicip, 1985).**

The Basins have an extended history of sedimentation, with deposition occurring over the Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic eras.

The Cretaceous higher reserve of sedimentary rocks in the basin are the reservoir rocks, which is bedded sandstone, limestone, and other carbonate, characterizes the reservoir rocks of the basin (Wolela Ahmed, 2004).

The structures framework like; faults, folds, joints, and other geological features are influence the movement and accumulation of hydrocarbons.

## 3. Methodology

# 3.1 Materials

The main equipment's that are required to collect the representative samples from the field includes; Geologic hammer, hand lens, rock pick, shovel, sampling bags, sample labels, permanent waterproof marker, sample trays, digital camera, Geological compass, as well as a Geographic Positioning System (GPS).

Table 1
The main sampling equipment

| Equipment | Purposes |
|---|---|
| Geological hammer | Breaking and collecting representative fresh rock pieces |
| Hand lens(10x) | Examining grains, cements, pore related features, fractures, and weathering |
| Rock pick | General field examination and extraction of rock fragments |
| Shovel | Collecting of loose sediment or unconsolidated reservoir materials |
| Sampling bag | Containing of individual samples and preventing mixing |
| Sample labels | Giving each sample a unique identification number |
| Permanent waterproof marker | Writing sample IDs on bags, tags, and containers |
| Digital camera | Take photograph of the outcrop, sampling location, and sample before removal |
| Geographic Positioning System (GPS). | To record the sampling coordinates of the study area |
| Geological compass | Measuring strike and dip of bedding, joints, fractures, and other structures |

## 3.2 Data Collection

The analysis is used to determine how the physical properties of reservoir rocks such as porosity, permeability, grain size, rock density, specific gravity, water absorption, influence hydrocarbon storage, migration, and accumulation. The following workflow below can be applied to the collected samples that take the study area and send to the laboratory analysis.

Table 2
The main variables to collect

| Variables | Units | Purposes |
|---|---|---|
| Depth | m | Compare reservoir properties with burial depth |
| Lithology | - | Identify sandstone, limestone, shale and etc |
| Grain size | mm | Evaluate pore geometry and sorting |
| Porosity (Φ) | % | Estimate pore/storage capacity |
| Permeability (K) | mD | Evaluate fluid flow capacity |
| Water absorption (Sw) | % | Estimate water filled pore space |
| Specific gravity (γ) | - | Determine the density of the rocks |

The high porosity generally provides more pore volume for storing fluids, while high permeability generally indicates better connected flow pathways. However, porosity alone does not guarantee good reservoir performance. The cementation, compaction, clay content, fractures, and digenesis can strongly modify the permeability and porosity of the reservoir rocks.

## 3.3 Sample Collection

The reservoir rock samples are collected from the basin, which are important to determine the physical properties of the reservoir rocks.

To gather the samples and take the regular data from the study area. The representative samples are sending to the laboratory, and determine the quality of reservoir rock properties that includes; porosity, permeability, and the chemical composition. The fifteen better reservoir rock samples have been taken from different place of sedimentary (Abay Basin) outcrops. The samples were prepared for three different analyses: The all samples were used to measure the rocks porosity and permeability, and these samples were used to assess their chemical composition.

Table 3
The measurement locations of collected samples, measuring of dykes, strike and dip direction of the study area during field work.

| No. | Sample code | Reading | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Easting | Northing | Strike | Dip | Altitude | Depth |
| 1 | $SS_1$ | 0547392 | 1214515 | N15E | N65 | 2044 | 2 |
| 2 | $SS_2$ | 0547392 | 1214515 | N15E | N65 | 2044 | 2 |
| 3 | $SS_3$ | 0547160 | 1226551 | N5E | N60 | 2150 | 1 |
| 4 | $SS_4$ | 0547090 | 1276725 | N50E | N20 | 1860 | 1 |
| 5 | $SS_5$ | 0547100 | 1276720 | N40E | N10 | 1865 | 2 |
| 6 | $SS_6$ | 0547120 | 1276715 | N35E | N15 | 1867 | 1 |
| 7 | $SS_7$ | 0547130 | 1276710 | N20E | N10 | 1870 | 1 |
| 8 | $SS_8$ | 0547140 | 1276055 | N15E | N5 | 1875 | 2 |
| 9 | $SS_9$ | 0547395 | 1214625 | N10E | N10 | 2050 | 1 |
| 10 | $SS_{10}$ | 0547310 | 1214530 | N5E | N15 | 2055 | 2 |
| 11 | $SS_{11}$ | 0547155 | 1214550 | N10E | N20 | 2145 | 1 |
| 12 | $SS_{12}$ | 0547170 | 1214580 | N20E | N30 | 2155 | 1 |
| 13 | $SS_{13}$ | 0547365 | 1214600 | N15E | N25 | 2165 | 2 |
| 14 | $SS_{14}$ | 0547150 | 1276155 | N15E | N5 | 1880 | 1 |
| 15 | $SS_{15}$ | 0547170 | 1276250 | N10E | N10 | 1885 | 2 |

## 3.3 Laboratory Analysis

The method is important to determine chemical compositions, the porosity, and the permeability values of the reservoir rocks, which are primarily composed of silica ($SiO_2$) with smaller amounts of aluminum oxide ($Al_2O_3$) and iron oxide ($Fe_2O_3$). These results will be considered the physical properties of the upper sandstone reservoir rocks of the basin.

Table 4
The physical properties of Upper Sandstone reservoir rocks

| No. | Description | Samples code | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | $SS_1$ | $SS_2$ | $SS_3$ | $SS_4$ | $SS_5$ | $SS_6$ | $SS_7$ | $SS_8$ | $SS_9$ | $SS_{10}$ | $SS_{11}$ | $SS_{12}$ | $SS_{13}$ | $SS_{14}$ | $SS_{15}$ |
| 1 | Unit weight rock (g/cc) | 1.62 | 1.70 | 1.65 | 1.71 | 1.65 | 1.72 | 1.80 | 1.61 | 1.75 | 1.65 | 1.71 | 1.76 | 1.68 | 1.72 | 1.76 |
| 2 | Specific gravity | 1.90 | 1.89 | 1.85 | 1.88 | 1.92 | 1.89 | 1.88 | 1.79 | 1.75 | 1.80 | 1.86 | 1.91 | 1.93 | 1.84 | 1.82 |
| 3 | Water absorption (%) | 20.98 | 23.02 | 21.85 | 22.10 | 21.00 | 22.05 | 23.15 | 21.5 | 22.35 | 20.50 | 20.65 | 23.10 | 21.25 | 23.001 | 22.25 |
| 4 | Porosity (%) | 32.31 | 34.35 | 33.25 | 302.6 | 34.40 | 32.50 | 35.00 | 33.25 | 34.35 | 32.60 | 34.45 | 32.50 | 35.00 | 32.35 | 33.25 |

## 3.3.1 Porosity (Φ) Determination

The sandstone reservoir rocks have a porosity value that ranges from 10% to 40% (Miah, 2014). Although there are a number of direct and indirect methods for estimating reservoir porosity, the direct method is used in this project to determine the porosity value.

**A. Direct methods**

In this method, the analysis of the physical properties of the sandstone rocks that are on the top, such as the bulk unit weight values, porosity value, specific gravity value, water absorption value, etc., is to be done.

Table 5
The porosity value of the Upper Sandstone reservoir rock

| No. | Sample Codes | Porosity value (%) |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | SS1 | **32.31** |
| 2 | SS2 | **34.35** |
| 3 | SS3 | **33.25** |
| 4 | SS4 | **32.60** |
| 5 | SS5 | **34.40** |
| 6 | SS6 | **32.50** |
| 7 | SS7 | **35.00** |
| 8 | SS8 | **33.25** |
| 9 | SS9 | **34.35** |
| 10 | SS10 | **32.60** |
| 11 | SS11 | **34.45** |
| 12 | SS12 | **32.50** |
| 13 | SS13 | **35.00** |
| 14 | SS14 | **32.35** |
| 15 | SS15 | **33.25** |

## 3.3.2 Permeability (K) Estimation

The shortage of the laboratory, we could be evaluate the permeability value of the upper sandstone rocks were by using the mathematical correlation of porosity and permeability (Miah, 2014). The tasks are conducting by using the indirect method to calculate the permeability value of the rocks.

**B. Indirect Methods**

The application of empirical correlations can yield rapid estimates of permeability for initial examination when direct or laboratory methods are not available to collect permeability data. The following formula represents the relationship between permeability and porosity (Miah, 2014).

**K = 19.17 * e0.14 *Φ (1)** (Miah, 2014)

Where **K** is Permeability and **Φ** is Porosity of the reservoir rocks

Table 6
The permeability values of the Upper Sandstone rocks (correlation).

| No. | Sample Codes | K = 19.17* e0.14*Φ | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Porosity value (%)** | **Permeability value (mD)** |
| 1 | SS1 | **32.31** | **1766** |
| 2 | SS2 | **34.35** | **2350** |
| 3 | SS3 | **33.25** | **2015** |
| 4 | SS4 | **32.60** | **1840** |
| 5 | SS5 | **34.40** | **2352** |
| 6 | SS6 | **32.50** | **1845** |
| 7 | SS7 | **35.00** | **2360** |
| 8 | SS8 | **33.25** | **2015** |
| 9 | SS9 | **34.35** | **2350** |
| 10 | SS10 | **32.60** | **1840** |
| 11 | SS11 | **34.45** | **2355** |
| 12 | SS12 | **32.50** | **1845** |
| 13 | SS13 | **35.00** | **2360** |
| 14 | SS14 | **32.35** | **2350** |
| 15 | SS15 | **33.25** | **2015** |

Porosity and permeability are fundamental physical properties of reservoir rocks. Porosity controls the amount of pore space available to store formation fluids, while permeability describes how easily fluids can move through interconnected pores and fractures. Therefore, they are strongly influence hydrocarbon migration, accumulation, storage, and production.

The resulting structural map is a crucial tool for understanding the geological context of the region, identifying potential hazards and opportunities and informing decision- making and planning activities.

The following processes are used to generate the structural map of the study area:

**Step 1**. Take the (x,y) coordinate reading of the area by using GPS

**Step 2**. Measure the strike and the dip direction and obtain the values of the structures

**Step 3**. Measure the joint space values of the structures

**Step 4**. Insert all the known and collected values into the system and analysis by using

**ArcGIS version 10** application

**Step 5**. Label the structures such as faults, lineaments, lakes, towns, dykes and water bodies by different color in the system

**Step 6.** Finally by using the ArcGIS system we can prepare the structural map at a scale of 1:50,000.

For analysis and the creation of the map layout, the Geographic Information System (ArcGIS® version 10) of the ESRI was used.

The areas of engineering structural map are created by ArcGIS 10 ® which is used to create the maps at a scale of 1:50,000.

## 4. Results and Discussion

The reservoir characteristic of the basin is the physical characteristics of the Upper Cretaceous Amba Aradam deposit (Upper Sandstone) rock.

Compared to the sandstone rocks, the limestone reservoir rocks in the study area cover a greater surface area. The physical characteristics of the sandstone reservoir rocks include their texture, colour, grain size, shape, and roundness. The following chemical analysis of reservoir rocks, the research area's upper sandstone rock frequently has light white colour and fine to medium-to-coarse grains with major and minor elements like $SiO_2$, $Al_2O_3$, $Fe_2O_3$, MgO, CaO, $Na_2O$, MnO, $K_2O$, $P_3O_5$, $TiO_2$, and $H_2O$.

The laboratory study found that the porosity values of the rocks in the Abay basin's Sandstone reservoir range from 32.31 to 34.35% (Table 4.3). The findings indicate that the upper sandstone rocks have good reservoir characteristics for the formation of hydrocarbons, with permeability values ranging from 1766 to 2350 mD. Were Ilu, Mechale, Legehida, and Dengle Ber are a few locations in the Abay Basin where there are signs of possible oil spills.

## 4.1 Field description of the reservoir rocks of the Basin

My project work focused on the effects of physical properties of reservoir rocks, such as their porosity and permeability values. The measurements will provide crucial information regarding the rocks in the Upper Sandstone reservoir's suitability for hydrocarbon exploration and production. The project's efforts would increase our understanding of the hydrocarbon resource potential of the basin.

The amount of sand in upper sandstone rock determines its porosity and permeability, which in turn determines its reservoir rock capacity. Quartz grains, which are almost as big as sand or silica cement, make up the majority of the best sandstone reservoirs. This suggests that the Upper Sandstone rock in the basin might be a great reservoir rock for the buildup of hydrocarbons.

The physical properties of the upper sandstone reservoir rocks have a moderate to high porosity because they contain few fragmented particles and well-packaged quartz grains. Based on the laboratory result, the porosity and permeability value can be found between 32.31–34.35%, and 1766–2350 mD respectively. The Ethiopian Construction Design and Supervision Works Corporation and the Geological Survey of Ethiopia's Geochemical Laboratory conducted these tests.

In the study area, the upper sandstone rock formation is primarily light white and grey in color. Both the size and form of the grains and the arrangement of the framework grains of detrital components define the texture of the upper sandstone rocks in the Basin.

## 4.2 Physical Properties of reservoir rocks

The sandstone reservoir rocks have a porosity value that ranges from 10% to 40%, and the permeability value is found between 1766 mD and 2366 mD. Although there are a number of direct and indirect methods for estimating reservoir porosity, and the permeability value. The direct method is used in this project to determine the porosity value.

In this method, the analysis of the physical properties of the sandstone rocks that are on the top, such as the porosity value is to be done. The application of empirical correlations can yield rapid estimates of permeability for initial examination when direct or laboratory methods are not available to collect permeability data. The following formula represents the relationship between permeability and porosity.

Table 7
The porosity value of the reservoir rocks

| No. | Sample Codes | Porosity value (%) | Permeability value (mD) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | USS1 | **32.31** | **1766** |
| 2 | USS2 | **34.35** | **2350** |
| 3 | USS3 | **33.25** | **2015** |
| 4 | USS4 | **32.60** | **1840** |
| 5 | USS5 | **34.40** | **2352** |
| 6 | USS6 | **32.50** | **1845** |
| 7 | USS7 | **35.00** | **2360** |
| 8 | USS8 | **33.25** | **2015** |
| 9 | USS9 | **34.35** | **2350** |
| 10 | USS10 | **32.60** | **1840** |
| 11 | USS11 | **34.45** | **2355** |
| 12 | USS12 | **32.50** | **1845** |
| 13 | USS13 | **35.00** | **2360** |
| 14 | USS14 | **32.35** | **2350** |
| 15 | USS15 | **33.25** | **2015** |

## 4.3 Chemical Composition of reservoir rocks

Determining the chemical composition of the reservoir rocks in the Abay Basin is important as it helps to identify the types and proportions of minerals and elements present.

To determine the chemical composition of the reservoir rocks, representative samples were collected from the study area.

The following chemical analysis of reservoir rocks, the research area's upper sandstone rock frequently has light white color and fine to medium-to-coarse grains with major and minor elements like $SiO_2$, $Al_2O_3$, $Fe_2O_3$, MgO, CaO, $Na_2O$, and MnO, $K_2O$, $P_3O_5$, $TiO_2$ respectively and also composed of volatiles( $H_2O$).

Table 8
Chemical properties of reservoir rocks taken from the laboratory

| No. | Sample codes | Composition of upper sandstone | | | | | | | | | | | Weight of samples |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | $SiO_2$ | $Al_2O_3$ | $Fe_2O_3$ | CaO | MgO | $Na_2O$ | $K_2O$ | MnO | $P_3O_5$ | $TiO_2$ | $H_2O$ | (Kgs) |
| 1 | $SS_1$ | 65.40 | 15.58 | 4.12 | 1.52 | 0.60 | 1.76 | 2.20 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 1.57 | 1.3 |
| 2 | $SS_2$ | 65.58 | 16.50 | 4.25 | 1.40 | 0.45 | 1.50 | 2.25 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 1.50 | 1.3 |
| 3 | $SS_3$ | 68.10 | 15.50 | 2.50 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 1.50 | 2.35 | 0.005 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 1.60 | 1.3 |
| 4 | $SS_4$ | 66.55 | 14.70 | 3.50 | 1.15 | 0.12 | 1.35 | 2.05 | 0.075 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 1.70 | 1.3 |
| 5 | $SS_5$ | 64.80 | 15.68 | 5.15 | 0.75 | 0.025 | 1.10 | 2.50 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 1.80 | 1.3 |
| 6 | $SS_6$ | 69.25 | 13.90 | 2.50 | 0.55 | 0.012 | 1.005 | 1.90 | 0.025 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 1.68 | 1.3 |
| 7 | $SS_7$ | 66.50 | 14.25 | 3.40 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 1.11 | 1.95 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 1.75 | 1.3 |
| 8 | $SS_8$ | 65.80 | 17.50 | 2.75 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 2.05 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 1.80 | 1.3 |
| 9 | $SS_9$ | 66.70 | 14.75 | 3.15 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 2.25 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 1.65 | 1.3 |
| 10 | $SS_{10}$ | 65.90 | 15.55 | 2.15 | 0.55 | 0.005 | 0.55 | 1.99 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 1.70 | 1.3 |
| 11 | $SS_{11}$ | 66.50 | 13.55 | 2.45 | 1.05 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 1.85 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 1.60 | 1.3 |
| 12 | $SS_{12}$ | 63.85 | 15.55 | 3.25 | 0.85 | 0.025 | 0.65 | 2.00 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 1.75 | 1.3 |
| 13 | $SS_{13}$ | 65.65 | 13.67 | 3.005 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 1.95 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.68 | 1.3 |
| 14 | $SS_{14}$ | 64.75 | 14.85 | 2.95 | 0.65 | 0.005 | 0.55 | 1.75 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 1.80 | 1.3 |
| 15 | $SS_{15}$ | 62.90 | 14.65 | 4.10 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 2.10 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 1.85 | 1.3 |

## 4.4 Factors controlling Reservoir Rock Properties

Grain size and sorting: the well-sorted sediments can preserve substantial intergranular pore space. Poorly sorted sediments contain smaller grains that fill spaces between larger grains, commonly reducing porosity. The grain shape and packing arrangement affects the amount of void space. Compaction during burial rearranges grains and generally reduces porosity. Compaction has its own effects on reservoir properties like; increasing burial stress can deform grains, close pores, and reduce pore volume. In cementation, the minerals such as quartz, calcite, and clay may precipitate in pores and reduce available pore space, as well as in the dissolution process, the removal of soluble minerals can create secondary pores and sometimes increase porosity. Fracturing and clay content have also their geologic effects for the reservoir properties create additional voids and flow pathways, particularly important in low-matrix-porosity rocks and may occupy pore space and can also produce very small pore throats, strongly affecting permeability respectively.

## 4.5 Effects of Porosity and Permeability on hydrocarbons

Higher effective porosity and higher permeability, generally increases the volume of pore space available for oil and gas storage, which provides better pathways for hydrocarbon migration and reservoir flow. The low-permeability rocks can act as seals and restrict hydrocarbon escape. Compaction and cementation also commonly reduce porosity and permeability with burial but dissolution and fracturing may create or improve secondary pore space and flow pathways. The more connected pore volume can increase the amount of hydrocarbon a reservoir can contain, for comparable geometry and saturation. Compaction and Cementation are commonly reduces porosity as grains rearrange and pore spaces collapse and minerals precipitated in pore spaces can reduce available pore volume. Migration is the permeable pathways that help hydrocarbons move from source rocks toward reservoir rocks.

## 4.6 Effects on Hydrocarbon Formation and Accumulation

## 4.6.1 Hydrocarbon generation and expulsion

Hydrocarbons are generated mainly in organic-rich source rocks during burial and thermal maturation. The Porosity and permeability of the source and surrounding rocks influence whether generated fluids can occupy pore spaces and escape from the source interval. Low permeability can delay expulsion, while fractures or overpressure can provide additional migration pathways.

## 4.6.2 Primary migration

Primary migration is movement of hydrocarbons from source-rock pore systems into adjacent carrier beds or reservoir pathways. The very small pores and low permeability typical of many source rocks mean that pressure, buoyancy, capillary forces, compaction flow, and fractures can be important.

## 4.6.3 Secondary migration

Secondary migration occurs after hydrocarbons enter more permeable carrier rocks. Higher permeability and connected pore networks generally facilitate movement over geological distances. Buoyancy tends to drive hydrocarbons upward relative to formation water, while capillary forces and bedding

architecture influence the actual pathway.

### 4.6.4 Hydrocarbon accumulation

A reservoir must provide sufficient connected pore volume to store hydrocarbons and sufficient permeability to allow migration into and movement within the accumulation. A trap commonly combines a reservoir rock with a seal that has extremely low permeability, preventing further upward escape.

### 4.6.5 Reservoir pressure and production

Permeability strongly affects well productivity. High permeability usually allows fluids to reach a well more easily, whereas low permeability may require stimulation, horizontal wells, or other engineering approaches. Porosity influences the volume of fluids in place, but production depends on connectivity, pressure, saturation, fluid properties, and relative permeability as well.

Figure 1.8. Hydrocarbon accumulation in the reservoir trap

## 4.4 Types of reservoir rocks

### 4.4.1 Carbonate Reservoirs

Carbonate reservoirs can be especially heterogeneous. Porosity may be inter particle, intra particle, and fracture-related. Dissolution can increase pore volume, while cementation and compaction can destroy it. Because pore systems may be poorly connected, permeability can vary dramatically over short distances. This makes carbonate reservoir characterization dependent on both matrix properties and fractures.

### 4.4.2 Sandstone Reservoirs

Sandstone reservoir quality is strongly influenced by grain size, sorting, packing, clay content, compaction, and cementation. Clean, well-sorted sandstones commonly have relatively good intergranular porosity and permeability. Fine-grained or clay-rich sandstones may retain pore volume but have very small pore throats, producing low permeability.

### 4.4.3 Unconventional Reservoirs

Some shale, tight sandstone, and other unconventional reservoirs contain hydrocarbons despite very low matrix permeability. Their storage and flow behavior can involve nanometer-scale pores, organic-matter pores, natural fractures, and induced hydraulic fractures. In these systems, conventional porosity–permeability interpretations are insufficient by themselves; pore-size distribution, organic-matter content, saturation, stress sensitivity, and fracture networks are also important.

## 4.5 Field Structural Mapping of the Study Area

The faulting and fracturing patterns are affecting the migration of hydrocarbons that can be produced by the NW-SE and NE-SW faults. The fault system may also offer helpful information for locating possible locations for the exploration of resources, such as minerals and hydrocarbons. The resulting structural map is an essential tool for study the region's geological formation.

## 4.6 Hydrocarbon Potential of the Abay (Blue Nile) Basin

The reservoir rocks in the Abay Basin appear to have ideal reservoir qualities for the accumulation of hydrocarbons. The basaltic flows intercalated with late Cretaceous strata may also serve as an excellent reservoir.

Regarding to the indicators of oil seeps in the Abay Basin, the areas of Were Ilu, Mechale, Legehida, and Dengle Ber all show signs of oil seeps. Were Ilu has been specifically selected as the area of focus for this project work.

The physical properties of the upper sandstone reservoir rocks found in the basin has similar characteristic within the other basin.

There is strong evidence of a petroleum system in the Abay Basin at Were Ilu area. The presence of a petroleum system in the Abay Basin of the Ethiopian Plateau is demonstrated by the reservoir rocks described above at the Were Ilu Oil Seep Site. The ratio of sandstone's porosity and permeability indicates, there is the presence of hydrocarbon formation in the basin as well as Were Ilu area.

## 5. Limitations

The structural layout of the basin, the political issue, the absence of drilled wells, the existence of documented resources relating to the basin, and other challenges I faced on the field made it challenging for me to finish my work in the basin. Generally speaking, while I prepared this project work, the following factors limited my responsibilities:

- The lack of a laboratory measurement of the reservoir rocks' permeability and total organic carbon content based on correlation which may be limit the results' accuracy levels.
- The absence of seismic and other geophysical data makes it impossible to accurately describe the basin's structural features.
- There is no wells have been dug in the basin and no well data, which is difficult to get core samples.

## 6. Conclusions

The sedimentary basins have unique geological characteristics and holds different types of sedimentary rocks, such as sandstones, shale's, carbonates, and volcanic rocks. The analysis is used to determine how the physical properties of reservoir rocks such as porosity, permeability, grain size, rock density, specific gravity, water absorption, influence hydrocarbon storage, migration, and accumulation.

The representative samples are send to the laboratory, and determine the quantity of reservoir rocks properties that includes; porosity, permeability, and the chemical composition.

Higher effective porosity and higher permeability, generally increases the volume of pore space available for oil and gas storage, which provides better pathways for hydrocarbon migration and reservoir flow.

My project work focused on the effects of physical properties of reservoir rocks, such as their porosity and permeability values. The reservoir rocks, which have average values of 33.13% porosity and 1993mD permeability that are crucial for the accumulation of hydrocarbons in the basin.

The faulting and fracturing patterns are affecting the migration of hydrocarbons that can be produced by the NW-SE and NE-SW faults.

The presence of a petroleum system in the Abay Basin of the Ethiopian Plateau is demonstrated by the reservoir rocks described above at the Were Ilu Oil Seep Site.

.

## Declarations

# Author Contribution

Seid Endrie is first author who prepared this reasearch. Dr. Ketsela Tadesse is my advisor who helps to give important data's until my research is finished. Yimam Ali is also helps me by providing other additional information to my findings.

# Acknowledgement

Acknowledgements First of all I would like to thank Dr. Ketsela Tadesse, my project advisor and initiator of the work presented here. Dr. Ketsela has established a work group with a very enjoyable working atmosphere that made everybody works with appreciable high interest for the expected results. Dr. Bisrat Kebede, my project co-advisor who has given important suggestions and valuable comments, which greatly helped in improving the quality of this project. I would like to thank and give grateful appreciation for Ministry of Mines for creating the wonderful opportunity and sponsoring all postgraduate students and sharing available documents related with the Abay Basin. I am grateful to the Addis Ababa University ( AAU), Addis Ababa Institute of Technology, the Chemical Engineering Department, Center of Ethio Mine development head and the department Secretary for their continued assistance in areas where I needed their corporation and help.

## References

***Ahmed, T. (2010)***. *Reservoir engineering handbook.* Burlington: Gulf Professional.

***Barnes, S. U. (1976)***. Geology and oil prospects of Somalia, East Africa. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 66,389-413.

***Beicip. (1985).*** Geological report of the Ogaden malmasion: 1000000 scale. Paris, France.

***Getaneh Assefa. (1981)***. Gohatsion Formation: A New Litho stratigraphic Unit evolution and sequence stratigraphy. Mem. Sci. Geol, 41, 373–458.

***Getaneh Assefa. (1988)***. Potential hydrocarbon-generating rock units within the from the Blue Nile River Basin, Ethiopia. Geosciences Journal, 1, 63-88.

***Kazmin, V. (1980)***. Stratigraphy and Correlation of Volcanic rock in Ethiopia. Institute of Geological survey, unpublished report, Addis Abeba Ethiopia. Using the lopatin model. Journal of Petroleum Geology, 11, 461-472.

**Miah, M. (2014)**. *Formation Evaluation Using Wireline Log Data.* Bangladesh: University Of Engineering and Technology Dhaka, Bangladesh.

**Ministry of Mines**. Accessed from http://www.mom.gov.et/Petroleum.

***Rabben, V. P. (1979).*** *P*reliminary report on the evaluation of petroleum prospects of the Ogaden basin, Ethiopia, Ethiopian institute of Geological survey, Note, 112.

***Wolela Ahmed. (2004), and, (2008)***. Fossil fuel energy resources of Ethiopia. Bull. Chem. Soc.

Ethiopia, 22, 67-84 Sciences, 1, 135- 1150.

## Figures




**Figure 1**

**Figure 1.2**: Porosity vs Permeability graph (Correlation).

**Figure 2**

**Figure 1.3**. The carbonate reservoir rocks, light grey, fine to medium grained taken from the study area

**Figure 3**

**Figure 1.4**. The Sandstone reservoir rocks, pink color, fine to medium grained taken from the study area.

**Figure 4**

**Figure 1.5**: The coarse sandstone reservoir rock of the Abay basin.

Figure 5

**Figure 1.6**. The carbonate reservoir rocks, brown color, fine to medium grained taken from the study area




Figure 6

**Figure 1.7**: Porosity vs Permeability graph (Correlation).




Figure 7

**Figure 1.8.** Hydrocarbon accumulation in the reservoir trap




Figure 8

**Figure 1.8:** Structural Map of the study Area




Figure 9

**Figure1.9**: Geological map of the study area.

**Figure 10**

**Figure 1.10:** oil seep site of the Abay Basin taken from the area.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- Tables.pdf
- Coverletter1.pdf