

Unified Sampling Across European Food Processing Environments Reveals Complex Genetic and Contextual Factors Underlying *Listeria monocytogenes* Persistence

Felix Spiegel

Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety & Innovation <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0691-7656>

Monika Dzieciol

University of Veterinary Medicine, Centre for Food Science, Clinical Department for Farm Animals and Food System Transformation, Unit for Food Microbiology, Veterinärplatz 1, Vienna, Austria.

Oktay Haykir

Institute of Food Chain Science, University of Veterinary Medicine Budapest, H-1078, Budapest, István utca 2., Hungary

Sylviane Métairon

Nestlé Institute of Food Safety and Analytical Sciences, Nestlé Research, Case Postale 44, Vers-chez-les-Blanc, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

Balamurugan Jagadeesan

Nestlé Institute of Food Safety and Analytical Sciences, Nestlé Research, Case Postale 44, Vers-chez-les-Blanc, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

Patrick Descombes

Nestlé Institute of Food Safety and Analytical Sciences, Nestlé Research, Case Postale 44, Vers-chez-les-Blanc, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

Maria Govari

Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece

Dimitra Tsoliakou

Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece

Konstantinos Papadimitriou

Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece

Panagiotis N. Skandamis

Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece

Nadine Yeramian

Microbiology Area, University of Burgos, Plaza Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001 Burgos, Spain

Daniel Perez-Alonso

Microbiology Area, University of Burgos, Plaza Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001 Burgos, Spain

Marta Hernández

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valladolid, Av. Ramón y Cajal, 7, 47005 Valladolid, Spain

David Rodriguez-Lazaro

Microbiology Area, University of Burgos, Plaza Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001 Burgos, Spain

Kathrin Rychli

University of Veterinary Medicine, Centre for Food Science, Clinical Department for Farm Animals and Food System Transformation, Unit for Food Microbiology, Veterinärplatz 1, Vienna, Austria.

Ariane Piezka

Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety, National Reference Laboratory for *Listeria monocytogenes*, Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Beethovenstrasse 6, 8010 Graz, Austria

Martin Wagner

Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety, and Innovation - FFoQSI GmbH, Technopark 1D, 3430 Tulln, Austria

Lauren Alteio

lauren.alteio@ffoqsi.at

Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety, and Innovation - FFoQSI GmbH, Technopark 1D, 3430 Tulln, Austria <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9108-5083>

Research Article

Keywords: *Listeria monocytogenes*, Persistence, Food Processing Environments, Environmental sampling, Whole genome sequencing, cgMLST, Pangenome Analysis, Genomic diversity

Posted Date: September 28th, 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-11161210/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: The authors declare no competing interests.

Unified Sampling Across European Food Processing Environments Reveals Complex Genetic and Contextual Factors Underlying *Listeria monocytogenes* Persistence

Felix Spiegel^{1,2}, Monika Dzieciol², Oktay Haykir^{1,9}, Sylviane Métairon³, Balamurugan Jagadeesan³, Patrick Descombes³, Maria Govari⁴, Dimitra Tsoliakou⁴, Konstantinos Papadimitriou⁴, Panagiotis N. Skandamis⁴, Nadine Yeramian^{5,6}, Daniel Perez-Alonso^{5,6}, Marta Hernández⁷, David Rodriguez-Lazaro^{5,6}, Kathrin Rychli², Ariane Piezka⁸, Martin Wagner^{1,2} and Lauren Alteio^{1#}.

1 Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety, and Innovation - FFoQSI GmbH, Technopark 1D, 3430 Tulln, Austria.

2 University of Veterinary Medicine, Centre for Food Science, Clinical Department for Farm Animals and Food System Transformation, Unit for Food Microbiology, Veterinärplatz 1, Vienna, Austria.

3 Nestlé Institute of Food Safety and Analytical Sciences, Nestlé Research, Case Postale 44, Vers-chez-les-Blanc, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

4 Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece

5 Microbiology Area, University of Burgos, Plaza Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001 Burgos, Spain

6 Centre for Emerging Pathogens and Global Health, University of Burgos, 09001 Burgos, Spain

7 Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valladolid, Av. Ramón y Cajal, 7, 47005 Valladolid, Spain

8 Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety, National Reference Laboratory for Listeria monocytogenes, Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Beethovenstrasse 6, 8010 Graz, Austria

9 Institute of Food Chain Science, University of Veterinary Medicine Budapest, H-1078, Budapest, István utca 2., Hungary

#Correspondance to lauren.alteio@ffoqsi.at

Abstract

Listeria (L.) monocytogenes is a major foodborne pathogen that can persist in food processing environments for months or even years, posing a risk for product contamination and listeriosis outbreaks. Despite routine environmental monitoring and studies investigating the factors underlying persistence, genetic and phenotypic traits do not fully explain why some strains are able to persist. In this study, 1,538 environmental swabs across Europe (Austria, Greece, and Spain) were collected to assess distribution and persistence. Overall, 22% of samples tested positive, particularly on non-food contact surfaces and in post-cleaning samples. We sequenced 316 isolates representing 18 sequence types (STs) and 69 cgMLST types. Based on repeated isolation (3x) and a SNP difference of ≤ 10 across the whole genome, 177 isolates were classified as persistent, supplemented by 37 historical persistent isolates. Persistent strains appeared in all facility types. Pangenome-wide analysis revealed a set of 2,232 accessory genes, of which 354 genes were significantly associated with persistent isolates. Persistent isolates were enriched in genes related to genome maintenance, regulatory functions, stress response, and mobile genetic elements, while genes involved in metabolism, nutrient processing, and intracellular trafficking were depleted. Virulence and environmental stress tolerance gene profiles were driven more by ST type rather than persistence classification. The high detection rate in this study demonstrates that genomic flexibility makes it challenging to control persistent strains under highly dynamic and heterogeneous conditions present across food production environments. In summary, our findings underscore the need to integrate genomic, ecological and environmental data to predict and control persistence.

48 Keywords

- 49 • *Listeria monocytogenes*
- 50 • Persistence
- 51 • Food Processing Environments
- 52 • Environmental sampling
- 53 • Whole genome sequencing
- 54 • cgMLST
- 55 • Pangenome Analysis
- 56 • Genomic diversity

57 Highlights

- 58 • Unified sampling across European food plants revealed high *L. monocytogenes* detection
59 (21.9%).
- 60 • Persistence was widespread across STs and food sectors using a SNP threshold of ≤ 10 SNPs
- 61 • Genes related to transcription and phage activity were strongly associated with
62 persistence.
- 63 • No trade-off was observed between persistence and virulence genes.
- 64 • Complex interplay between genes, facility dynamics, and ecology contribute to
65 persistence.

66

1. Introduction

Listeria monocytogenes is the causative agent of listeriosis, a foodborne illness currently considered the most serious under EU surveillance (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2025). In 2023, the EU/EEA countries reported 2,933 confirmed listeriosis cases with 340 deaths, which is the highest annual number recorded since listeriosis surveillance began in 2007. Because *L. monocytogenes* is abundant in various natural, agricultural, and even urban environments, it is highly adapted to diverse environmental conditions and exhibits strong resilience to environmental stressors (Chandler-Khayd et al., 2023; Liao et al., 2021; Raschle et al., 2021; Sauders et al., 2012; Stea et al., 2015; Vivant et al., 2013). These environments (e.g. soils and fresh waters) may serve as a source for transmission into the food chain via raw materials (animals, vegetables, or fruits), moving vehicles (transportation trucks or forklifts), or workers introducing the pathogen into food processing facilities.

Once introduced into the food processing environment, some *L. monocytogenes* strains can survive for months or even years despite hygiene measures applied by industry professionals, as they often harbor disinfection resistance genes (Palaodimou et al., 2021; Roedel et al., 2019). Such strains are referred to as persistent and can be repeatedly isolated from the same food processing facility over an extended period (Harrand et al., 2020; Palma et al., 2020). Persistent *L. monocytogenes* in food processing environments present a continual threat of product contamination. Under favorable conditions in the final product, the bacterium can grow to cell numbers sufficiently high to cause human infection and listeriosis outbreaks (Ferreira et al., 2014; Nüesch-Inderbinen et al., 2021; Olsen et al., 2005; Wenger et al., 1990).

Several studies have investigated the potential for genotype- and gene-level associations with persistence of *L. monocytogenes*, including links to specific lineages and clonal complexes (CCs). In the context of persistence, it is often observed that most of the isolates belong to Lineage II (Fagerlund et al., 2022; Orsi et al., 2011; Palaodimou et al., 2021; Wagner et al., 2021). Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) further divides these lineages into clonal complexes and sequence types (STs). Within Lineage II, CC9, CC8, and CC121 are frequently associated with persistence,

while in Lineage I, CC2, CC5, CC6 and CC87 have been reported to be frequently associated with persistence in the food processing environment (Alteio et al., 2025; Hingston et al., 2017; Maury et al., 2019; Stasiewicz et al., 2015).

Beyond CC and ST patterns related to persistence, several genes have been investigated for their potential role in persistence in previous studies but have yet to be robustly linked. These genes include biocide tolerance genes (e.g. *bcrABC*, *qacH*) (Harrand et al., 2020; Palaiodimou et al., 2021), stress survival genes (e.g. *SSI-1*, *SSI-2*) (Centorotola et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022), biofilm formation genes (e.g. *bapL*) (Castro et al., 2021), and mobile genetic elements such as plasmids (e.g. *pLM33* and *pLM5578*) (Gilmour et al., 2010; Kuenne et al., 2010) and prophages (Castro et al., 2021; Schmitz-Esser et al., 2021). Plasmids, which are more prevalent in Lineage II, often carry cadmium resistance genes (e.g. *cadA1* and *cadA2*), quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) resistance genes (e.g. *bcrABC*), as well as heat shock proteins (e.g. *clpL*) (Anast et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2020; Hingston et al., 2019, 2017; Schmitz-Esser et al., 2021). Prophages also appear more frequent in persistent than in non-persistent strains (Castro et al., 2021). Some researchers hypothesize a trade-off between survival traits and virulence potential, as persistent isolates are often associated with reduced virulence compared to clinical isolates (Alvarez-Molina et al., 2021; Maury et al., 2019; Mikonranta et al., 2012). However, the evolutionary dynamics by which these traits are gained or lost remains unclear, and some persistent *L. monocytogenes* strains caused listeriosis outbreaks in the past (Ferreira et al., 2014; Nüesch-Inderbinnen et al., 2021; Orsi et al., 2008).

While these findings point out genomic associations of mobile genetic elements and environmental resistance genes with persistence, a comprehensive understanding of the biological mechanisms that underly the persistence phenomenon is still lacking (Alteio et al., 2025; Belias et al., 2022). Methodological inconsistencies contribute to this gap. Studies use different isolation strategies (e.g., different selection media) to obtain isolates (Bruhn et al., 2005; Pitti et al., 2025; Tuytschaevers et al., 2026). Moreover, various typing methods are used, including Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE), Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), Ribotyping, Multivirulence-Locus Sequence Typing (MVLST), and more recently Whole Genome Sequencing

(WGS). Consequently, cross-study comparisons are very difficult and may lead to variability in findings. Among these methods, WGS offers the highest resolution and has become the most widely used “gold standard” approach in this field of research in recent years (Pennone et al., 2022). Furthermore, whole genome sequencing of isolates will become mandatory in the EU for epidemiological investigations of foodborne illnesses (Costa et al., 2022). Gaining higher resolution provides detailed information about gene presence or absence, gene structure (e.g. truncations), and enables a high-quality comparison of genomes based on the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP).

A major challenge is the lack of a universal definition for persistence in literature, which affects research and practice. The criteria for identifying the “same” isolates, such as frequency of isolation and SNP differences across the whole genome, vary between studies. Previous studies applying SNP cutoffs range between 10 to 25 SNPs to be considered identical (Koutsoumanis et al., 2024; Pightling et al., 2018; van de Merwe et al., 2024). Some authors even propose using different SNP cutoffs to describe levels of relatedness (Bolten et al., 2024). Using very stringent SNP cutoffs to define persistence can be problematic because the mutation rate of *L. monocytogenes* in food processing environments is estimated to ~0.35 nucleotides per year (Harrand et al., 2020). This estimate is based on one cluster belonging to ST321 and may differ in other STs or be highly dependent on the environmental context. Moreover, sequencing errors can affect SNP calling. Therefore, reliable classification of persistent isolates based on SNP differences depend on high sequencing coverage, >50x (Pham et al., 2025; Stoler and Nekrutenko, 2021).

Persistence is likely driven by a combination of factors rather than a single mechanism, including genetic traits, environmental conditions, species interactions, and microbial physiology. This lack of clarity makes it challenging for industry professionals to develop and apply targeted action plans to identify persistent *L. monocytogenes*, manage them accordingly, and eliminate them successfully. There is a need for a unified methodology and standardized criteria for investigating persistence. This standardization would improve the data comparability between studies and support the development of specialized databases which could be used for advanced modeling to identify the key drivers of persistence and guide future research efforts.

In this study, we applied a unified approach to investigate persistence of *L. monocytogenes* across European food-processing facilities. We conducted a coordinated sampling campaign in food processing facilities across three countries in the European Union using a standardized sampling protocol, and data analysis approach to ensure data comparability. Here, we report the detection of *L. monocytogenes* in the food processing environment, apply a stringent definition for persistence at the genome level, and identify genes associated with persistent *L. monocytogenes* isolates found in European food processing facilities.

2. Materials and Methods

Facility Sampling

A minimum of three repeated samplings were conducted in food processing facilities across three European countries (Austria, Greece, and Spain) over the period of three calendar years (2023-2025). A coordinated sampling protocol was used to isolate *L. monocytogenes* and describe the environmental parameters. Project partners (*Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety, and Innovation, Agricultural University of Athens and University of Burgos*) selected food processing facilities based on accessibility, with the aim of sampling facilities across food sectors.

In each sampling event, up to 50 environmental surface samples were collected using large polyurethane swabs pre-moistened with 10 ml of Hi-Cap™ Neutralizing Broth (Whirl-Pak® PolyProbe™). Approximately half of the samples were taken during production (at the dirty state, pre-cleaning and disinfection), and the other half after production (at the clean state, post-cleaning and disinfection) to also isolate *L. monocytogenes* that survive cleaning and disinfection. The swabbing covered up to 1 m² of surface area with suspected *L. monocytogenes* hotspots, including food contact and non-food contact areas (e.g., drains, conveyor belts, processing tables, processing machines). A processing control (swab only) was included. Metadata (surface material, humidity, and temperature) for each sample was recorded whenever possible. Samples were transported to the laboratory at 4°C and processed within 24-48 hours.

Sample Pre-Processing and *L. monocytogenes* Enrichment

In the laboratory, 10 ml of pre-cooled sterile 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to each swab bag. Samples were homogenized with a Stomacher lab blender (BagMixer, Interscience) for 1 min at medium speed. The homogenized material was subjected to a two-step enrichment of *L. monocytogenes* following a modified ISO 11290-1:2017 enrichment protocol (International Organization for Standardization, 2017). For the primary enrichment step, 70 mL of Half-Fraser broth (Biokar Diagnostics, Beauvais, France) was added and incubated for 48 h at 30 °C. After this incubation, 1 ml of the culture was transferred into a 1.5 ml sterile Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at $8,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 µl of 1× PBS and streaked on RAPID'L.mono agar (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France), which was incubated at 37 °C for 24h. For the secondary enrichment, 1 ml of the Half-Fraser culture was used to inoculate 10 ml of Full-Fraser broth (Biokar Diagnostics, Beauvais, France), which was incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. The secondary enrichments were plated as described above. For each step, negative controls were included to verify sterility throughout the process.

L. monocytogenes Isolation and PCR Confirmation

From the secondary enrichment cultures on RAPID'L.mono agar, one to four presumptive *L. monocytogenes* colonies were selected. Each colony was divided: half was streaked onto fresh Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with 0.6% yeast extract, and the other half was subjected to DNA extraction. The DNA extraction followed a protocol modified from Walsh et al. (1991), in which pure cultures were lysed in 100 µl of 0.01 M Tris/HCl pH=7 (Trizma®base, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, United States) and 400 µl of 2.5% Chelex®100 Resin solution (BioRad, Hercules, United States) at 95°C for 10 min, followed by centrifugation with $15,000 \times g$ for 30 s. A volume of 150 µL of the resulting supernatant was transferred into a fresh sterile tube and used as a template DNA (2 µl) for multiplex PCR (Border et al., 1990). The assay targeted the *hly* gene, encoding listeriolysin O for identification of *L. monocytogenes* (primer sequences: LM1-5'-CCT AAG ACG CCA ATC GAA-3', LM2-5'-AAG CAC TTG CAA CTG CTC-3'), and a

fragment of 16S rRNA gene for detection of *Listeria* spp. (primer sequences: U1-5'-CAG CAG CCG CGG TAA TAC-3', L11-5'-CTC CAT AAA GGT GAC CCT-3'). The 25 µl PCR reaction contained 1.25 U/µl Platinum™ Taq DNA-Polymerase (Invitrogen™, Vilnius, Lithuania), 1 × TaqMan PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1,08 µM of each of the primers (Microsynth, Austria), and 200 µM dNTP Mix (Thermo Scientific™, Vilnius, Lithuania). PCR cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 2 min (*Taq* activation) followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s (denaturation), 50 °C for 30s (annealing), and 72 °C for 1 min (elongation). Each PCR run also included a positive control (*L. monocytogenes* EDGe, DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) and a negative control (water for molecular biology, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The amplification products were visualized via agarose gel electrophoresis.

Isolates Handling

For PCR, confirmed isolates were obtained by preparing overnight cultures (Tryptic Soy Broth + 0.6% yeast extract; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) from the respective TSA agar plates and incubated at 37 °C. From these cultures, glycerol stocks (final concentration of 25 %) were prepared and stored at -80 °C at the respective partner institution. Individual isolates (one colony per swab sample) were streaked onto TSA agar slants and shipped to the respective sequencing facilities for whole genome sequencing. From Greece and Spain 243 isolates were sent to Nestlé Research in Switzerland. From Spain 38 isolates were sequenced at the University of Valladolid, Spain, and 35 isolates from Austria were sequenced at the National Reference Centre/Laboratory for *Listeria* Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES) in Graz, Austria.

At Nestlé Research, the isolates were streaked onto ALOA agar (Agar *Listeria* according to Ottaviani & Agosti, bioMérieux, France) to verify purity. A single colony was picked, transferred to TSA agar, and incubated overnight at 37 °C. These cultures were used next to inoculate Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI, Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 37 °C for 6 h. One ml of culture was harvested into sterile 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and pelleted at 10,000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was stored at -25 °C.

DNA Extraction, Library Preparation and Sequencing

At Nestlé Research, the genomic DNA was extracted using the Promega Maxwell® RSC Cultured Cells DNA Kit was used. Cell pellets were thawed, resuspended 160 µl of P1 buffer and 20 µl of lysozyme (0.2 mg/ µl), vortexed, briefly centrifuged, and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. After this treatment, DNA was extracted using a Promega Maxwell® RSC Instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentrations were determined using a Quant-iT™ PicoGreen™ dsDNA Assay Kit and normalized to 100 ng using a Myra Liquid Handling System (Bio Molecular Systems). The library preparation was performed using Illumina DNA Prep kits following the Illumina Reference Guide (Illumina, 2021). Before pooling, the libraries were quantified using Quant-iT™ PicoGreen™ dsDNA Assay Kit and assessed for fragment size distribution using a fragment analyzer (Advanced Analytical). After determining the correct fragment concentration based on peak integration from the fragment analyzer, the library was pooled using a Myra Liquid Handling System (Bio Molecular Systems) and sequenced with 300 bp paired-end reads on the Illumina NextSeq 2000.

At the hospital in Valladolid, the isolates were grown overnight in BHI broth (BHI, Oxoid, UK) overnight at 37 °C. A 300 µL aliquot of culture was transferred into sterile 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and pelleted at 10,000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was stored at -20 °C. Genomic DNA was extracted using the INDIMAG Pathogen Kit (Indical Bioscience; Ref. SP947457) on a KingFisher extraction platform. DNA libraries were prepared using the Nextera DNA Prep kit (Illumina, 2016) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Library concentrations were quantified using a Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), and libraries were normalized by equimolar pooling based on Qubit-measured concentrations. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq platform generating paired-end reads of 35 bp.

At the National Reference Laboratory for Listeria (AGES), genomic DNA was extracted from Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI, Oxoid, UK) overnight cultures at 37 °C, using the MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was subsequently used for sequence library preparations with the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation

Kit (Illumina, 2016). Libraries were prepared following the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument using 300 bp paired-end reads.

Data Processing and Bioinformatics

Analysis of Metadata from Food Processing Environments

Sampling metadata were analyzed and visualized in RStudio (Version 2024.12.1; R Core Team, 2025) using packages readxl (Version 1.4.5; Wickham and Bryan, 2015), writexl (Version 1.5.3, Ooms, 2017), and tidyverse (Version 2.0.0; Wickham et al., 2019) for data import and filtering. Tables were formatted using knitr (Version 1.50; Xie, 2025), and kableExtra (Version 1.4.0; Zhu, 2017). Finally, data were visualized with multi-panel plots arranged with packages gridExtra (Version 2.3; Auguie, 2010), grid (Version 4.3.2; R Core Team, 2025), ggrepel (Version 0.9.6; Slowikowski, 2016), gtable (Version 0.3.6; Wickham and Pedersen, 2012) and patchwork (Version 1.3.2; Pedersen, 2019) to ensure a clear layout and labelling. Color schemes were generated with viridis (Version 0.6.5; Garnier et al., 2023). All code used for these analyses is provided in Supplementary File S1 (R script).

Whole Genome Sequencing and Quality Control

All sequencing data were generated using sequencing-by-synthesis on Illumina platforms and processed through identical quality filtering and assembly pipelines to ensure comparability across datasets. Additional reads from historical isolates originating from facility D, provided by AGES, were isolated and sequenced as described above, and subsequently subjected to same quality-control and trimming procedures. Samples sequenced at the Hospital in Valladolid were assembled directly following quality assessment due to their shorter read lengths, whereas samples sequenced at Nestlé Research and the National Reference Laboratory for Listeria (AGES) underwent trimming prior to assembly, as described below.

Raw reads were quality-assessed using FastQC (v0.12.1, Andrews, 2010) and summary reports were generated using MultiQC (v1.19, Ewels, 2016). Adapter sequences and short reads shorter than 36 bp were removed using Trimmomatic (v0.39, Bolger et al., 2014) in paired-end mode.

Illumina adapters were removed using the ILLUMINACLIP function. Low-quality bases (Phred score < 10) were trimmed from the start and end of reads. Additionally, a sliding window approach (5 bases) was applied to trim regions where the average quality score fell below 20. Reads shorter than 36 bp after trimming were discarded. The resulting reads were re-assessed for quality as described above.

Genome Assembly, Multi-Locus Sequence Typing and Identification of Potential Persistent Isolates

De novo genome assembly was performed using SPAdes (v4.1.0; Prjibelski et al., 2020) with default k-mer size and the --careful option enabled to reduce mismatches. Assembly quality was assessed using QUAST (v5.0.2, Gurevich et al., 2013), and sequencing coverage was estimated using BBWrap (BBMap v39.06; Bushnell B, 2024). Whole genome multi-locus sequence typing (MLST typing) was conducted by the Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES) using the typing scheme described by Ruppitsch et al. (2015) in Ridom SeqSphere Software (Version 10.5.1; Jünemann et al., 2013). Based on MLST results, sequences were grouped by complex types and facility of origin. In each group, a reference genome was selected based on the assembly metrics (lowest contig number, highest N50 value, genome size, and coverage). The reference genome was then used to run the CFSAN SNP Pipeline (v2.2.1; Davis et al., 2015) with default parameters to calculate the pairwise SNP differences across the isolates in the same group. Isolates were classified as putatively persistent if they were isolated at least three times from the same facility (based on sampling metadata) and showed ≤ 10 SNP differences across the whole genome. Additionally, the CFSAN pipeline was run on the complete isolate dataset using a reference genome (selected by the same criteria as described above) to determine high quality SNP differences. The resulting SNP alignment was used to infer a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree using IQ-Tree (v 3.3.1; Wong et al., 2025) under GTR+ASC substitution model to account for ascertainment bias in SNP alignments. Branch support was assessed using 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. The tree was midpoint-rooted and visualized in R using the packages ggtree

(Version 4.0.4; Xu et al., 2022) and ggplot (Version 3.5.2; Valero-Mora, 2010). The color schemes were created with viridis (Version 0.6.5; Garnier et al., 2023).

Genome Annotation and Pangenome Analysis

All assembled genomes were annotated using PROKKA (v1.14.6; Seemann, 2014) with default parameters and database for initial gene prediction and eggnog-mapper (v2.1.13; database v5.0.2; Huerta-Cepas et al., 2017) for additional functional classification. Gene presence and absence analysis was performed using Panaroo (v1.5.2; Tonkin-Hill et al., 2020) with strict cleaning mode and core gene alignment. For each gene, its frequency across all genomes was calculated. Genes were categorized based on their prevalence in core genes (present in 100% of genomes), soft-core genes (present in $\geq 95\%$ of genomes), shell genes (present 16 – 94% of genomes), and cloud genes (present in $\leq 15\%$ of genomes). Additional gene annotations were supplemented to the PROKKA results with eggNOG Mapper (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2017) using DIAMOND (v2.1.12; Buchfink et al., 2015). The presence of virulence factors was investigated using Abricate (v1.0.1; Seemann T, 2020) with respective VFDB (Virulence Factor Database, nucleotide version, release 14.01.2025; Chen, 2005) and the presence of environmental stress tolerance genes were investigated with BacMET (Version 2.0; Pal et al., 2014) with default parameters. The binary heatmap was generated using pheatmap (Version 1.0.13; Kolde, 2010) and color schemes were created with viridis (Version 0.6.5; Garnier et al., 2023).

Genetic Trait Association Analysis

To identify the genes associated with persistence, association testing was performed using Scoary (Version 1.6.16; Brynildsrud et al., 2016) with default parameters. Results were filtered for genes with strong statistical support and effect size: Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value < 0.05 , and an odds ratio ≥ 2 (persistent associated genes) and ≤ 0.5 (for non-persistence-associated genes). Data visualizations were generated using ggplot2 (Version 3.5.2; Valero-Mora, 2010). All color schemes were designed with viridis (Version 0.6.5; Garnier et al., 2023).

3. Results

Surface Swab Survey Reveals High Positive Rate, Especially in Samples Post-Cleaning

A total of 1,538 swab samples were collected from food processing facilities in three European countries: Spain (n=835), Greece (n=400), and Austria (n=303). Sampling was conducted in three different facility types: meat (n=4), dairy (n=6), and fish (n=1), producing both ready-to-eat and ready-to-cook products. The highest proportion of samples originated from dairy facilities (n=775), followed by meat (n=598) and fish (n=165). Samples were taken from both food contact surfaces (FCS, n=828) and non-food contact surfaces (NFCs, n=710). Of the samples, 975 were collected during active production, 406 after cleaning and disinfection, and 157 from areas not clearly attributable to either state due to irregular cleaning practices or their distance from production lines (Table 1).

Overall, 21.9% of the swab samples tested PCR positive for *L. monocytogenes* PCR. Meat processing facilities had the highest positive rate at 39.5%, followed by the fish facility 19.4%, and the dairy at 8.9%. Among surface types, FCS showed a positive rate of 19.9%, while NFCs were higher at 24.2%. Pre-disinfection samples had a positive rate of 21.9%, whereas samples post-cleaning and disinfection were even higher at 26.1%. Samples where the sanitation status was not reported had a positive rate of 10.8%. In total, 338 swab samples were positive for the detection of *L. monocytogenes*, and *L. monocytogenes* could be detected across all facilities, surface types and cleaning and disinfection states (Table 1).

Diverse *L. monocytogenes* Sequence Types Identified Across Facility Types

Of the 338 samples testing PCR-positive for *L. monocytogenes*, 317 isolates were selected for whole genome sequencing. An additional 37 historical isolates, all originating from facility D (dairy), were included in the analysis. Following trimming and quality control of the raw data, one

genome failed at the assembly step due to contamination. In total, 353 genomes underwent subtyping using the Ridom SeqSphere+ software and were further analyzed.

Most isolates belonged to Lineage II (n=308), while a smaller proportion were from Lineage I (n=45) (Table S.1; Figure 1). Both meat and dairy processing facilities harbored isolates from Lineages I and II, with most isolates associated with Lineage II. In contrast, the fish facility only harbored isolates from Lineage II. The isolates could be classified into 18 different STs and 69 different cgMLSTs (Table S.1; Figure 1). Across all facility types, ST8 (n = 70), ST9 (n = 68), and ST121 (n = 46) were the most abundant STs, followed by ST204 (n = 31), ST37 (n = 19), ST7 (n = 17), ST155 (n = 17), ST451 (n = 11), ST6 (n = 10), and ST87 (n = 10). Less frequently detected STs included ST1113 (n = 6), ST4 (n = 3), ST5 (n = 2), and ST54 (n = 2). From ST1, ST21, ST217, and ST504, only a single isolate was detected. The historical isolates belonged to ST4 (n=37) (Table S2). Except for ST6 (found in meat and dairy facilities) and ST121 (found in meat and fish facilities), all other sequence types were associated with a single facility type (Figure 1A).

The genomes were further characterized into cgMLSTs to classify them into clusters (Table S1), revealing considerable diversity within some STs. ST9 for example showed the highest diversity with 24 distinct cgMLSTs, followed by ST121 with 9 cgMLSTs, ST204 with 7 cgMLSTs, ST8 with 5 cgMLSTs, ST7 with 4 cgMLSTs, and ST37 with 3 cgMLSTs. In contrast, ST1, ST4, ST5, ST6, ST21, ST54, ST87, ST155, ST217, ST451 and ST504 contained only one to three cgMLSTs. These findings demonstrate the diversity of *L. monocytogenes* isolates found in the European food processing facilities sampled.

Classification of Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates Across Facilities Reveals Persistence as a Widespread Phenomenon

Persistent isolates in this study were defined as isolates of the same ST and cgMLST that were isolated at least three times over the two-year sampling period, with a SNP difference of ≤ 10 across the whole genome. Using these criteria, 177 out of 316 genomes from isolates collected

during sampling were classified as persistent, indicating that 56.0% of all isolates were classified as persistent (Table 2).

Most persistent isolates were found in three meat facilities with 136 persistent genomes, followed by 10 in two dairy facilities and 31 in the single fish facility. Persistent isolates were detected in all facility types and countries. Facility A, which exhibited a high overall isolation rate, also showed a correspondingly high number of persistent genomes (Table 2). In addition, 38 isolates were isolated only once, and 18 isolates were isolated only twice over the sampling period. An additional 83 isolates were collected three or more times but during SNP analysis had >10 SNPs differences across the whole genome.

Persistent isolates were identified across 10 different STs and 15 distinct cgMLSTs (Table 2). The STs with the highest numbers of persistent isolates were ST8 (n=66), ST121 (n=34), and ST9 (n=33), respectively (Figure 1B). These were followed by ST451, ST204, ST37, ST87, ST155, ST1113, and ST4, which ranged from 10 to 3 persistent isolates (Figure 1B). Except for ST121, which was found to be persistent in both fish and meat facilities, persistent genomes in ST8, ST9, ST37, ST87, ST155, ST451, and ST1113 were isolated exclusively from meat facilities, while persistent genomes in ST4 and ST204, were found only in dairy facilities (Figure 1B). ST9 showed the greatest diversity of cgMLST types (n = 4), followed by ST8 and ST121 (n = 2 each). All other persistent STs (ST4, ST37, ST87, ST155, ST204, ST451, and ST1113) were represented by a single cgMLST type (n = 1) (Figure 1B; Table 2).

Several cgMLST types were composed exclusively of persistent isolates, including ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 16305, 22945, and 22953), ST87 (cgMLST 19487), ST121 (cgMLST 18630), and ST451 (cgMLST 21875). In contrast, other cgMLST types included a mixture of persistent and non-persistent isolates. The broad distribution of persistent isolates across multiple STs and facility types is further illustrated in the phylogenetic tree (Figure S1).

Pangenome-Wide Association Analysis Reveals Genes Associated with Persistence and Non-Persistence

Pangenome-wide association analysis across all 353 isolates revealed genes associated with persistence and non-persistence (Figure 2A, Table S3). In total, 4,569 genes were analyzed, of which 2,337 were categorized as core, 241 as soft-core, 635 as shell and 1,356 as cloud genes (Figure S2). After running a pangenome-wide association analysis on a reduced set of 979 accessory genes (core genes and genes with low prevalence removed by Scoary), 364 genes were significantly associated with persistence, 467 with non-persistence, and 148 genes showed no significant association (Figure 2A). Using a more stringent criterion, the significantly associated genes were filtered based on Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted $p < 0.05$ and odds-ratio ≥ 2 for persistence or ≤ 0.5 for non-persistence. This resulted in final set of 541 high-confidence genes.

These genes were further annotated with eggNOG to obtain the corresponding Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) categories and Protein Family (PFAM) domains, which added functional context. After filtering for statistically significant associations with most categories containing domains that were both enriched and depleted (Figure 2B). A large proportion of genes could not be assigned to any COG category. In the unassigned category, 189 genes were enriched and 112 were depleted. Among functionally annotated categories, category S (function unknown) contained 83 enriched and 41 depleted genes, and category L (replication, recombination, and repair) contained 24 enriched and 8 depleted genes, and category K (transcription) we observed 13 to be enriched and ten to be depleted. All other categories had 10 or fewer enriched or depleted genes. Notably, only one gene was enriched in COG category C, only one was depleted in E, and only one gene was depleted in U, GM, and IU. Conversely, only one gene was enriched in KL, MT, N, and O.

In COG category K (transcription, Figure 3A), domains enriched in genomes of persistent isolates included multiple helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding domains (e.g., HTH_3, HTH_3.1, HTH_19) and transcription-associated domains such as Alba_2 (DNA binding domain), HATPase_c_4 (ATPase domain for ATP binding), PriCT_11 (primase domain), RrF2 (iron-dependent transcription

433 regulator domain), GntR (transcriptional regulator domains), sigma70_r4_2 (transcription
434 indicator domain) and ComK (transcription factor domain). Depleted domains, which were
435 therefore more associated with non-persistent genomes, included other HTH domains, WYL (DNA
436 damage response), MarR (transcription regulator), and Rep_trans (replication initiation domain).

437 In COG category L (replication, recombination and repair, Figure 3B), genomes of persistent
438 isolates were enriched in DNA maintenance and genome plasticity domains, including helicases
439 (DnaB_2 and Helicase_C), primases and topoisomerases (Topoisom_bac, Toprim, and
440 Toprim_Crpt), recombination proteins (Recombinase, Resolvase, Resolvase.1 and
441 Zn_ribbon_recom), and DNA methyltransferases (N6_Mtase, N6_N4_Mtase, TypeIII_RM_meth,
442 and Methylase_S). Prominently, many of these enriched domains are often encoded by prophage
443 or mobile genetic elements.

444 In COG category S (function unknown, Figure 3C), genomes of persistent isolates were enriched
445 in diverse uncharacterized domains (DUFs) as well as PFAMs that are involved in DNA packaging,
446 replication, and regulation. Of these PFAMs, 19 were phage-associated. Depleted domains which
447 were more associated with non-persistence included other DNA-binding, repair, and
448 transcriptional domains.

449 Pangenome-Wide Association Analysis Reveals PFAMS Enriched 450 Exclusively in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Genomes

451 Our pangenome-wide association analysis revealed some PFAM domains to be exclusively
452 enriched in persistent *L. monocytogenes* genomes. These PFAMS belong to COG categories K
453 (transcription), L (replication/recombination/repair), M (cell wall/membrane/envelope
454 biogenesis), T (signal transduction mechanisms), N (cell motility), U (intracellular trafficking,
455 secretion, and vesicular transport), O (post-translational modification, protein turnover and
456 chaperones), and Q (secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism) (Figure 4A).
457 In categories K and L, the PFAM domains Helicase_C (helicase C-terminal domain; involved in DNA
458 unwinding), N6_Mtase (DNA methyltransferase), ResIII (restriction endonuclease) and SNF2_N

(ATPase domain involved in chromatin remodeling and transcriptional regulation), which were identified as enriched exclusively in persistent genomes (Figure 4A). In COG category O, HTH_3 (helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain) and categories M and T, NLPC_P60 (cell wall-associated hydrolase domain) and SH3_3 (protein interaction domains, binding protein rich ligands) (Figure 4A) were exclusively enriched. In COG category N, Big_2 (immunoglobulin-like domain, found in bacterial and phage surface proteins). In COG categories N and U, LRR_5 (leucine-rich repeat domains associated with protein-protein interaction), MucBP (mucin binding proteins), WxL (cell wall surface-binding domain) and DUF285 (domain of unknown function). In the same COG category T2SSE (type II and IV secretion system protein) (Figure 4A). Finally, in COG category Q, Cu-oxidase, Cu-oxidase_2 and Cu-oxidase_3 (multicopper oxidases) and Cupredoxin_1 (type I copper center proteins involved in inter-molecular electron transfer), while Gram_pos_anchor (associated with host cell adhesion, immune evasion, and nutrient acquisition) (Figure 4A).

Five PFAM domains were exclusively depleted in persistent isolates, which were assigned to COG categories F (nucleotide metabolism), G (carbohydrate metabolism), M (cell wall biogenesis), I (lipid metabolism), and U (intracellular trafficking) (Figure 4B). In COG category F, SIR2_2 (sulfite reductase domain) and DUF928 (domain of unknown function) were exclusively depleted, whereas group_629 could not be annotated with a PFAM. Furthermore, exclusively depleted in COG categories G and M was NAD_binding_10 (NAD binding protein domain), and in COG categories I and U Lipase_3 (lipase domain).

Virulence and Environmental Stress Tolerance Gene Profiles are Broadly Conserved Across Persistent and Non-Persistent Isolates

Analysis of virulence genes using the classification based on VFDB (Virulence Factor Database, nucleotide version, release 14.01.2025; Chen, 2005) (Figure 5A) revealed only minor differences between persistent and non-persistent isolates. With a few exceptions, persistent isolates had nearly the full repertoire of virulence genes that non-persistent genomes harbored. Several persistent isolates, belonging to ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST 22937), ST9 (cgMLST 16305, 22945 and 22953), ST121 (cgMLST 18630 and 8684) and ST1113 (cgMLST 22938) were missing

the *actA* gene, which is involved in actin-based motility during host cell invasion. The adhesion-associated gene *ami* was absent in persistent isolates belonging to ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST 22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 22945), ST37 (cgMLST 17448), ST87 (cgMLST 19487), ST121 (cgMLST 18630) and ST204 (cgMLST 22966). Virulence genes involved in host receptor interactions, such as *inlF*, were missing in persistent isolates belong to ST8 (cgMLST 22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 22953), ST87 (cgMLST 19487), ST121 (cgMLST 18630) and ST451 (cgMLST 21875). Similarly, *inlJ* was absent in persistent isolates belonging to ST8 (cgMLST 22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 22940, 22945 and 22953), ST121 (cgMLST 18630) and ST451 (cgMLST 21875). The invasion-associated gene *aut* was exclusively absent in persistent isolates of ST4 (cgMLST 4605). In ST8 (cgMLST22937 and ST22954), ST37 (cgMLST17448) and ST204 (cgMLST 22966) the *vip* gene, involved in interaction with host cell receptors, was absent in persistent isolates, but was also absent in non-persistent isolates. Most persistent isolates did not harbor Listeriolysin S-toxin associated genes (*llyA*, *llyB*, *llyD*, *llyG*, *llyH*, *llyP*, *llyX*, and *llyY*). The persistent strains that harbored all or at least one of these genes all belonged to ST4 (cgMLST 4605). Overall, most virulence genes were absent in ST8, ST9, and ST121, while ST4 isolates retained some toxin genes despite missing others.

Environmental resistance genes showed a similar pattern of presence and absence compared to the virulence genes (Figure 5B). Many environmental resistance genes were widely conserved across all isolates, regardless of phenotype. Among the arsenic resistance genes, persistent isolates of ST9 (cgMLST 16305, 22940, 22945, and 22953) carried *arsA*, *arsC* and *arsR*. For arsenic resistance gene *arsD* was only present in one persistent ST8 (cgMLST 22954) isolate. Persistent isolates from ST155 (cgMLST 1234) harbored *arsC*, ST204 (cgMLST 22966) isolates carried the *arsA*, *arsD*, and *arsR*, and ST1113 (cgMLST 22938) carried *arsA*, *arsC*, and *arsR*. For cadmium resistance genes, *cadC* was present in persistent isolates from ST8 (cgMLST 22937, and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 16305 and 22940), ST121 (cgMLST 8684 and 18630), ST155 (cgMLST1234) and ST1113 (cgMLST22938), whereas copper resistance gene *copB* was harbored by ST8 (cgMLST 22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 16305 and 22940) and ST1113 (cgMLST 22938). Withing the iron uptake operon *fetAB* (encoding *fetA/yybI* and *fetB/ybbM*), the gene *fetA/yybL* was harbored by

persistent belonging to ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST 22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 16305, 22945, and 22953), ST87 (cgMLST 19487), ST204 (cgMLST 22966) and ST1113 (cgMLST 22938). Additionally, *fetB/ybbM* was encoded in all ST8 (cgMLST 22954), ST1113 (cgMLST 22938), and some ST9 (cgMLST22940) isolates.

In multidrug efflux genes *evgA* was found in persistent isolates from ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST22937 and 22954), ST9 (cgMLST 22940, 22945 and 22953), ST37 (cgMLST 17448), ST87 (cgMLST 19487), ST121 (cgMLST 18630) and ST451(cgMLST 21875). The efflux pump gene *smrA* was present in a subset of one isolate of ST4 (cgMLST 4605), ST8 (cgMLST 22937), ST121 (cgMLST 18630) and ST451 (cgMLST 21875). The gene *amvA* was detected in only a single ST8 (cgMLST 22937) isolate. Looking at stress response and zinc resistance genes, *cueR/ybbI* was detected in persistent isolates from ST4 (cgMLST 4605), *zntR/yhdM* was present in ST121 (cgMLST 18630), and *ziaA* together with *ziaR* were found in persistent isolates from ST204 (cgMLST 22966). Overall, the distribution of environmental resistance genes seemed to be primarily ST-specific (notably ST4, ST8, ST9, ST37, ST87, ST121, ST204, ST451, and ST1113), rather than associated with persistence.

4. Discussion

In this study, we applied a unified approach to investigate the persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* across European food-processing facilities. A coordinated sampling campaign was carried out in facilities from three partner countries within the European Union over the course of three calendar years (2023 -2025) using a standardized sampling protocol and data-analysis pipeline to ensure full comparability of the resulting data.

Our study reveals a high number of *L. monocytogenes* positive environmental swab samples for *L. monocytogenes* (22.0%) across the surveyed European food processing facilities. This result is higher when compared with previous studies, including Muhterem-Uyar et al. (2015) which reported an overall occurrence of 12.6% across 12 European food processing facilities, and Bolten et al. (2024) which observed a positive rate of 13% in eight dairy facilities in the United States. In

our study, meat facilities exhibited a higher positive detection rate overall, largely due to one facility (facility A) with an exceptionally high number of positive samples, indicating potential localized hygiene issues or structural challenges specific to this facility. Meat facilities typically harbor *L. monocytogenes* more frequently than dairy facilities, as is consistent with Muhterem-Uyar et al. (2015) who reported a positive rate of 32% in European meat facilities and 8.8% in European dairy facilities. Reported positive rates in fish processing facilities range from 10% (Zakrzewski et al., 2024) to 22% (Hoffman et al., 2003), indicating that our results are within an expected range. Most of the studies above also note that there are differences in environmental swab detection rates between facilities, which is also what we observe in our dataset. This heterogeneity in *L. monocytogenes* detection is likely a reflection of differences in cleaning practices and efficiencies, facility design, and microbial ecology of specific *L. monocytogenes* subtypes.

The substantial number of positive post-sanitation samples highlights the importance of sampling both during production and after cleaning and disinfection, providing a more accurate assessment of *L. monocytogenes* presence and potential persistence. Since no contextual data on the initial hygienic status of the facilities are available, we can only hypothesize regarding the underlying reasons for the high detection rate in facilities following cleaning and disinfection. However, these findings might reflect insufficient cleaning and sanitation practices in the individual facilities. Alternatively, the high rate of detection, particularly of persistent strains, may result from disruption of the resident microbial community, including other *Listeria* species, potentially facilitating the colonization and persistence of *L. monocytogenes* (Ottesen et al., 2016; Zwirzitz et al., 2021).

Recent studies have highlighted the role of co-occurring microbiota in the promotion of *L. monocytogenes* persistence in food processing environments (Burnett et al., 2025). To further investigate this possibility, we assessed not only the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in food processing facilities, but also the co-occurrence of other *Listeria* species at the same sampling locations. Out of 316 *L. monocytogenes* isolates, 97 (30.07%) were co-isolated with at least one *Listeria* species, most commonly *Listeria innocua* and *Listeria welshimeri* (Table S1). Out of these

97 *L. monocytogenes* isolates, 57 were identified as persistent and 40 as non-persistent. These results suggest that interactions with other *Listeria* species and other co-occurring microbiota may contribute to the colonization and persistence of *L. monocytogenes* in processing environments. Moreover, the food processing resistome, which is shaped by repeated sanitation, disinfectant pressure, and microbial interactions, may represent an additional contributing factor, as antimicrobial resistance genes associated with mobile genetic elements might be transferred between community members (Quijada et al., 2025). This underscores the need to consider the broader microbial community when designing control strategies.

Analysis of the genetic lineages revealed that isolates from Lineage II were more abundant in food processing environments, consistent with prior observations (Orsi et al., 2011). Most persistent isolates also belonged to Lineage II, with only three STs belonging to Lineage I (Fagerlund et al., 2022; Palaiodimou et al., 2021). In addition to the frequently described persistent STs (STs 4, 8, 9, and 121), we also identified less frequently described STs (STs 37, 87, 155, 204, 451, and 1113) as persistent (Guidi et al., 2021; Knudsen et al., 2017; Møretrø et al., 2017; Muhterem-Uyar et al., 2018; Pasquali et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). Overall, persistent isolates were detected across all countries and facility types, highlighting the persistence of *L. monocytogenes* as a widespread and multifaceted issue in the food production environment. However, the accurate definition of persistence and the SNP cutoff used may vary depending on the environmental context. As persistence might differ across different contexts, the precise definition and SNP cutoff used potentially needs to be adjusted to individual facilities and hotspots. Defining persistence therefore remains challenging, as both overly strict or overly permissive SNP cutoffs may lead to isolate misclassification.

Our goal was to identify highly similar clusters of persistent isolates while still allowing for minor genetic changes, such as phage integration or the gain and loss of plasmids, which can influence overall SNP differences. Notably, applying a more stringent SNP threshold (≤ 10 SNP differences across the whole genome) did not alter the overall patterns observed compared to previous studies. This suggests that persistence cannot be fully captured by SNP-based definitions alone and must be interpreted in the context of each facility. Moreover, SNP-based thresholds consider

only the total number of nucleotide differences, without accounting for their genomic location or functional impact. While SNP counts serve as a practical measure of genomic relatedness in epidemiological analyses, the location of individual SNPs may be relevant in the investigation of genetic mechanisms potentially associated with persistence.

The hygienic state of the facility should also be incorporated into the assessments of persistence. Although the hygienic conditions of the facilities were beyond the scope of this study, they likely influence the overall picture, as limited sanitation may allow certain isolates to remain in the facility over extended periods without necessarily reflecting persistence. Our pangenome-wide association analysis across 353 *L. monocytogenes* isolates revealed genomic patterns associated with persistence in food processing environments. We observed a similar number of genes significantly associated with persistence and non-persistence (Figure 2A). This pattern may be the result of the underlying genetic diversity across the *L. monocytogenes* STs included in the analysis. This finding may also indicate a more complex interplay between genes rather than the influence of a single gene or biomarker on the persistence phenomenon.

Our genomic analyses provide insights into mechanisms that may support the persistence phenomenon in *L. monocytogenes*. Persistent isolates were enriched for PFAM domains associated with DNA replication, recombination, and repair (Helicase_C, DnaB_2, N6_Mtase, ResIII, Topoisom_bac, Toprim, Toprim_Crpt, Recombinase, Resolvase, Zn_ribbon_recom), transcription regulation (HTH_3, HTH_3.1, HTH_19, AlbA_2, PriCT_11, RrF2, GntR, sigma70_r4_2, ComK), and post-translational modification and protein turnover (HTH_3, SH3_3). This highlights genome maintenance and regulatory capacity as key contributors to long-term survival in food processing environments. Also enriched were PFAMs linked to prophages and mobile genetic elements (DUF2800, DUF285, Terminase_1, Phage_capsid, among others) (Bateman et al., 2021), many of which belong to COG category S. This is consistent with prior work showing that prophages can carry accessory genes that support stress tolerance, DNA packaging, secretion, and surface interactions (Gutiérrez et al., 2025) and might also contribute to environmental persistence (Cherifi et al., 2018; Palma et al., 2020). Additionally, PFAMs involved with cell wall remodeling, surface interaction, transport, motility, secondary metabolite biosynthesis, and

uncharacterized functions (NLPC_P60, Big_2, LRR_5, MucBP, WxL, Gram_pos_anchor, Cupredoxin_1-3, Cupredoxin_1) were enriched in persistent isolates. This suggests that persistent strains may require stress response and surface adaptation functions, which potentially support biofilm formation, adhesion to surfaces, and general environmental resilience (Janež et al., 2021; Omelchenko et al., 2026; Xayarath and Freitag, 2012).

Conversely, persistent isolates exhibited depletion of PFAM domains associated with nucleotide metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, cell envelope biogenesis, and intracellular trafficking/secretion (SIR2_2, DUF928, NAD_binding_10, Lipase_3). This selective depletion might reflect a trade-off between resources allocated to metabolic versatility and basic survival necessities, such as genome maintenance, stress resilience, and surface adaptation. Indicating a potential advantage for persistent strains of shifting to a reduced metabolic state or dormancy under stressful conditions in the food processing environment (Arvaniti et al., 2021; Arvaniti and Skandamis, 2022). Importantly, many genes in the *L. monocytogenes* genome remain poorly annotated and lack functional information, highlighting the need for additional studies to characterize these genes and their functions. In our analysis, mapping the gene families to corresponding PFAMs provided enhanced functional interpretability. Overall, the accessory genome of 2,232 genes, together with mobile genetic elements such as phage and plasmids (Castro et al., 2021; Palma et al., 2020; Schmitz-Esser et al., 2021), indicate a large genetic repertoire and genomic flexibility, which may be crucial in the support of persistence.

Finally, since the presence and absence of virulence genes only differ for very few STs, the trade-off between virulence and persistence in *L. monocytogenes* is not supported in the isolates analyzed (Maury et al., 2016; Nightingale et al., 2005; Palaiodimou et al., 2021). These findings highlight the importance of further investigating persistence mechanisms, since persistent *L. monocytogenes* still have the potential to cause listeriosis outbreaks. Overall, our findings support the hypothesis that *L. monocytogenes* are generalists that can become well adapted to food processing environments, due to their genetic repertoire and genomic flexibility. However, persistence is likely a result from a complex interplay between genetic factors, environmental

pressures and interactions with the co-occurring microbiomes (Carpentier & Cerf, 2011; Hingston *et al.*, 2017).

5. Conclusion

Persistence of *L. monocytogenes* remains a challenge in food production environments and a threat to public health via contamination of food products. The identification of key factors and mechanisms underlying persistence, however, is still lacking. This highlights the need for identifying an appropriate level of resolution for defining and investigating persistence, which accounts for both facility-specific contamination dynamics and the adaptive potential of persistent *L. monocytogenes*.

Our study illustrates the application of a unified sampling and analysis approach for studying of *L. monocytogenes* persistence. We revealed that persistence of *L. monocytogenes* is a widespread and multifactorial phenomenon. The combination of environmental prevalence, genetic diversity and the size of the accessory genome underscores the ability of *L. monocytogenes* to survive repeated disturbances or difficult environments in food processing environments. Persistent isolates were enriched in genes involved in genome maintenance, regulatory functions, stress response, and surface adaptation. They also carried genes associated with mobile genetic elements and prophages, highlighting the role of genomic flexibility in long term survival.

Future studies of *L. monocytogenes* persistence could benefit from integrating environmental metadata and whole genome sequencing of isolates with predictive modelling tools, which may provide a practical approach to identify key drivers of persistence. Such unified approaches with standardized sampling, data collection, and analysis in combination with predictive tools will support the development of targeted management practices to control persistent *L. monocytogenes* in food processing environments.

6. Acknowledgments

We thank the participating food processing facilities for providing access for environmental sampling, and the Quality Managers for their assistance in identifying sampling locations.

7. Funding

This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101060698) and carried out within the Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety and Innovation (FFoQSI). FFoQSI, a COMET-K1 competence centre, is funded by the Austrian federal ministries BMK and BMDW and the provinces of Lower Austria, Upper Austria, and Vienna under the COMET – Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies programme, which is administered by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG).

8. Authors' Contributions

Felix Spiegel: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. **Monika Dzieciol:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing. **Oktay Haykir:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing. **Sylviane Métairon:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Balamurugan Jagadeesan:** Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Patrick Descombes:** Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Maria Govari:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Dimitra Tsoliakou:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Konstantinos Papadimitriou:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Panagiotis N. Skandamis:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Nadine Yeramian:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Daniel Perez-Alonso:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Marta Hernández:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **David Rodriguez-Lazaro:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Kathrin Rychli:** Resources, Writing – review and editing. **Ariane Pietzka:** Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **Martin Wagner:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing, Project administration. **Lauren V. Alteio:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing, Project administration.

9. Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial or personal interests that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Balamurugan Jagadeesan, Patrick Descombes and Sylviane Métairon are employed by Société des Produits Nestlé S.A, Switzerland.

10. References

- Alteio, L. V., Spiegel, F., Rychli, K., Wagner, M., 2025. Nevertheless, they persist: addressing the stalemate of persistence in food-associated *Listeria monocytogenes* research. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2025.2555938>
- Alvarez-Molina, A., Cobo-Díaz, J.F., López, M., Prieto, M., de Toro, M., Alvarez-Ordóñez, A., 2021. Unraveling the emergence and population diversity of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a newly built meat facility through whole genome sequencing. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 340, 109043. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109043>
- Anast, J.M., Etter, A.J., Schmitz-Esser, S., 2022. Comparative analysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* plasmid transcriptomes reveals common and plasmid-specific gene expression patterns and high expression of noncoding RNAs. Microbiologyopen 11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1315>
- Andrews, S., 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- Arvaniti, M., Skandamis, P.N., 2022. Defining bacterial heterogeneity and dormancy with the parallel use of single-cell and population level approaches. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 44, 100808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2022.100808>
- Arvaniti, M., Tsakanikas, P., Papadopoulou, V., Giannakopoulou, A., Skandamis, P., 2021. *Listeria monocytogenes* Sublethal Injury and Viable-but-Nonculturable State Induced by Acidic Conditions and Disinfectants. Microbiol. Spectr. 9. <https://doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.01377-21>
- Auguie, B., 2010. gridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for “Grid” Graphics. CRAN: Contributed Packages. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.gridExtra>
- Bateman, A., Martin, M.-J., Orchard, S., Magrane, M., Agivetova, R., Ahmad, S., Alpi, E., Bowler-Barnett, E.H., Britto, R., Bursteinas, B., Bye-A-Jee, H., Coetzee, R., Cukura, A., Da Silva, A., Denny, P., Dogan, T., Ebenezer, T., Fan, J., Castro, L.G., Garmiri, P., Georghiou, G., Gonzales, L.,

735 Hatton-Ellis, E., Hussein, A., Ignatchenko, A., Insana, G., Ishtiaq, R., Jokinen, P., Joshi, V.,
 736 Jyothi, D., Lock, A., Lopez, R., Luciani, A., Luo, J., Lussi, Y., MacDougall, A., Madeira, F.,
 737 Mahmoudy, M., Menchi, M., Mishra, A., Moulang, K., Nightingale, A., Oliveira, C.S., Pundir,
 738 S., Qi, G., Raj, S., Rice, D., Lopez, M.R., Saidi, R., Sampson, J., Sawford, T., Speretta, E., Turner,
 739 E., Tyagi, N., Vasudev, P., Volynkin, V., Warner, K., Watkins, X., Zaru, R., Zellner, H., Bridge, A.,
 740 Poux, S., Redaschi, N., Aimo, L., Argoud-Puy, G., Auchincloss, A., Axelsen, K., Bansal, P.,
 741 Baratin, D., Blatter, M.-C., Bolleman, J., Boutet, E., Breuza, L., Casals-Casas, C., de Castro, E.,
 742 Echioukh, K.C., Coudert, E., Cuhe, B., Doche, M., Dornevil, D., Estreicher, A., Famiglietti,
 743 M.L., Feuermann, M., Gasteiger, E., Gehant, S., Gerritsen, V., Gos, A., Gruaz-Gumowski, N.,
 744 Hinz, U., Hulo, C., Hyka-Nouspikel, N., Jungo, F., Keller, G., Kerhornou, A., Lara, V., Le Mercier,
 745 P., Lieberherr, D., Lombardot, T., Martin, X., Masson, P., Morgat, A., Neto, T.B., Paesano, S.,
 746 Pedruzzi, I., Pilbout, S., Pourcel, L., Pozzato, M., Pruess, M., Rivoire, C., Sigrist, C., Sonesson,
 747 K., Stutz, A., Sundaram, S., Tognolli, M., Verbregue, L., Wu, C.H., Arighi, C.N., Arminski, L.,
 748 Chen, C., Chen, Y., Garavelli, J.S., Huang, H., Laiho, K., McGarvey, P., Natale, D.A., Ross, K.,
 749 Vinayaka, C.R., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Yeh, L.-S., Zhang, J., Ruch, P., Teodoro, D., 2021. UniProt:
 750 the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D480–D489.
 751 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1100>

752 Belias, A., Sullivan, G., Wiedmann, M., Ivanek, R., 2022. Factors that contribute to persistent
 753 *Listeria* in food processing facilities and relevant interventions: A rapid review. *Food Control*
 754 133, 108579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2021.108579>

755 Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
 756 data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>

757 Bolten, S., Lott, T.T., Ralyea, R.D., Gianforte, A., Trmcic, A., Orsi, R.H., Martin, N.H., Wiedmann, M.,
 758 2024. Intensive Environmental Sampling and Whole Genome Sequence-based
 759 Characterization of *Listeria* in Small- and Medium-sized Dairy Facilities Reveal Opportunities
 760 for Simplified and Size-appropriate Environmental Monitoring Strategies. *J. Food Prot.* 87.
 761 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2024.100254>

762 Border, P.M., Howard, J.J., Plastow, G.S., Siggers, K.W., 1990. Detection of *Listeria* species and
763 *Listeria monocytogenes* using polymerase chain reaction. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 11, 158–162.
764 <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1472-765X.1990.TB00149.X>

765 Bruhn, J.B., Vogel, B.F., Gram, L., 2005. Bias in the *Listeria monocytogenes* Enrichment Procedure:
766 Lineage 2 Strains Outcompete Lineage 1 Strains in University of Vermont Selective
767 Enrichments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 961–967.
768 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.2.961-967.2005>

769 Brynildsrud, O., Bohlin, J., Scheffer, L., Eldholm, V., 2016. Rapid scoring of genes in microbial pan-
770 genome-wide association studies with Scoary. *Genome Biol.* 17, 238.
771 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1108-8>

772 Buchfink, B., Xie, C., Huson, D.H., 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat.*
773 *Methods* 12, 59–60. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3176>

774 Burnett, J., Buckley, D., Grinstead, D.A., Oliver, H.F., 2025. Microbial Community Associations With
775 *Listeria monocytogenes* in Food Processing Environments: A Systematic Review and Meta-
776 Analysis. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 24, e70277. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.70277)
777 [4337.70277](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.70277)

778 Bushnell B, 2024. BBMap (BBWrap) — short read aligner & coverage estimation.
779 <https://doi.org/https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/>

780 Castro, H., Douillard, F.P., Korkeala, H., Lindström, M., 2021. Mobile Elements Harboring Heavy
781 Metal and Bacitracin Resistance Genes Are Common among *Listeria monocytogenes* Strains
782 Persisting on Dairy Farms. *mSphere* 6, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00383-21>

783 Centorotola, G., Guidi, F., D’Aurizio, G., Salini, R., Di Domenico, M., Ottaviani, D., Petruzzelli, A.,
784 Fisichella, S., Duranti, A., Tonucci, F., Acciari, V.A., Torresi, M., Pomilio, F., Blasi, G., 2021.
785 Intensive Environmental Surveillance Plan for *Listeria monocytogenes* in Food Producing
786 Plants and Retail Stores of Central Italy: Prevalence and Genetic Diversity. *Foods* 10, 1944.
787 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081944>

788 Chandler-Khayd, C., Di Francesco, J., Baron, J.N., Ramos, T.D.M., Aminabadi, P., Jay-Russell, M.T.,
789 Haghani, V., Millner, P.D., Pagliari, P.H., Hutchinson, M., Kenney, A., Hashem, F., Martínez-
790 López, B., Bihn, E.A., Clements, D.P., Shade, J.B., Sciligo, A.R., Pires, A.F.A., 2023. Risk factors
791 associated with the prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in manured soils on certified
792 organic farms in four regions of the United States. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 7, 1222192.
793 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FSUFS.2023.1222192>

794 Chen, L., 2005. VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33,
795 D325–D328. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki008>

796 Chen, Y., Simonetti, T., Peter, K., Jin, Q., Brown, E., LaBorde, L.F., Macarisin, D., 2022. Genetic
797 Diversity of *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolated From Three Commercial Tree Fruit
798 Packinghouses and Evidence of Persistent and Transient Contamination. *Front. Microbiol.* 12,
799 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.756688>

800 Chen, Y.Y., Chen, Y.Y., Pouillot, R., Dennis, S., Xian, Z., Luchansky, J.B., Porto-Fett, A.C.S., Lindsay,
801 J.A., Hammack, T.S., Allard, M., Van Doren, J.M., Brown, E.W., 2020. Genetic diversity and
802 profiles of genes associated with virulence and stress resistance among isolates from the
803 2010-2013 interagency *Listeria monocytogenes* market basket survey. *PLoS One* 15,
804 e0231393. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231393>

805 Cherifi, T., Carrillo, C., Lambert, D., Miniaï, I., Quessy, S., Larivière-Gauthier, G., Blais, B., Fravallo,
806 P., 2018. Genomic characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates reveals that their
807 persistence in a pig slaughterhouse is linked to the presence of benzalkonium chloride
808 resistance genes. *BMC Microbiol.* 18, 220. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1363-9>

809 Costa, G., Di Piazza, G., Koevoets, P., Iacono, G., Liebana, E., Pasinato, L., Rizzi, V., Rossi, M., 2022.
810 Guidelines for reporting Whole Genome Sequencing-based typing data through the EFSA
811 One Health WGS System. *EFSA Supporting Publications* 19.
812 <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2022.EN-7413>

813 Davis, S., Pettengill, J., Rand, H., 2015. CFSAN SNP Pipeline: automated method for constructing
814 SNP matrices. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* 1, e20.

815 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2025. Listeriosis - Annual Epidemiological
816 Report for 2023. Stockholm.

817 Ewels, P. et al., 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples.

818 Fagerlund, A., Wagner, E., Møretrø, T., Heir, E., Moen, B., Rychli, K., Langsrud, S., 2022. Pervasive
819 *Listeria monocytogenes* Is Common in the Norwegian Food System and Is Associated with
820 Increased Prevalence of Stress Survival and Resistance Determinants. *Appl. Environ.*
821 *Microbiol.* 88. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00861-22>

822 Ferreira, V., Wiedmann, M., Teixeira, P., Stasiewicz, M.J., 2014. *Listeria monocytogenes*
823 persistence in food-associated environments: epidemiology, strain characteristics, and
824 implications for public health. *J. Food Prot.* 77, 150–170. [https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-150)
825 [028X.JFP-13-150](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-150)

826 Garnier, S., Ross, N., Rudis, boB, Filipovic-Pierucci, A., Galili, T., timelyportfolio, O’Callaghan, A.,
827 Greenwell, B., Sievert, C., Harris, D.J., Sciaini, M., Chen, J., 2023. sjmgarnier/viridis: CRAN
828 release v0.6.3. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7890878>

829 Gilmour, M.W., Graham, M., Van Domselaar, G., Tyler, S., Kent, H., Trout-Yakel, K.M., Larios, O.,
830 Allen, V., Lee, B., Nadon, C., 2010. High-throughput genome sequencing of two *Listeria*
831 *monocytogenes* clinical isolates during a large foodborne outbreak. *BMC Genomics* 11, 120.
832 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-120>

833 Guidi, F., Orsini, M., Chiaverini, A., Torresi, M., Centorame, P., Acciari, V.A., Salini, R., Palombo, B.,
834 Brandi, G., Amagliani, G., Schiavano, G.F., Massacci, F.R., Fisichella, S., Domenico, M. Di,
835 Ancora, M., Pasquale, A. Di, Duranti, A., Cammà, C., Pomilio, F., Blasi, G., 2021. Hypo- and
836 Hyper-Virulent *Listeria monocytogenes* Clones Persisting in Two Different Food Processing
837 Plants of Central Italy. *Microorganisms* 9, 376.
838 <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9020376>

839 Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome
840 assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1072–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086>

841 Gutiérrez, A.V., Matthews, M., Diaz, M., Le Viet, T., de Oliveira Martins, L., Jørgensen, F., Aird, H.,
842 Painset, A., Som, N., Omelchenko, O., Adriaenssens, E.M., Kingsley, R.A., Gilmour, M.W.,
843 2025. Population structure and gene flux of *Listeria monocytogenes* ST121 reveal prophages
844 as a candidate driver of adaptation and persistence in food production environments.
845 *Microb. Genom.* 11, 001397. <https://doi.org/10.1099/MGEN.0.001397/CITE/REFWORKS>

846 Harrand, A.S., Jagadeesan, B., Baert, L., Wiedmann, M., Orsi, R.H., 2020. Evolution of *Listeria*
847 *monocytogenes* in a Food Processing Plant Involves Limited Single-Nucleotide Substitutions
848 but Considerable Diversification by Gain and Loss of Prophages. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86,
849 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02493-19>

850 Hingston, P., Brenner, T., Truelstrup Hansen, L., Wang, S., 2019. Comparative Analysis of *Listeria*
851 *monocytogenes* Plasmids and Expression Levels of Plasmid-Encoded Genes during Growth
852 under Salt and Acid Stress Conditions. *Toxins* (Basel). 11, 426.
853 <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11070426>

854 Hingston, P., Chen, J., Dhillon, B.K., Laing, C., Bertelli, C., Gannon, V., Tasara, T., Allen, K., Brinkman,
855 F.S.L., Truelstrup Hansen, L., Wang, S., 2017. Genotypes Associated with *Listeria*
856 *monocytogenes* Isolates Displaying Impaired or Enhanced Tolerances to Cold, Salt, Acid, or
857 Desiccation Stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00369>

858 Hoffman, A.D., Gall, K.L., Norton, D.M., Wiedmann, M., 2003. *Listeria monocytogenes*
859 Contamination Patterns for the Smoked Fish Processing Environment and for Raw Fish. *J.*
860 *Food Prot.* 66, 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-66.1.52>

861 Huerta-Cepas, J., Forslund, K., Coelho, L.P., Szklarczyk, D., Jensen, L.J., von Mering, C., Bork, P.,
862 2017. Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-
863 Mapper. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2115–2122. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx148>

864 Illumina, 2021. Illumina DNA Prep Reference Guide (1000000025416).

865 Illumina, 2016. Customize a short end-to-end workkow guide with the Custom Protocol Selector
 866 Nextera ® DNA Library Prep Reference Guide.

867 International Organization for Standardization, 2017. DIN EN ISO 11290-1:2017-09, Mikrobiologie
 868 der Lebensmittelkette_ - Horizontales Verfahren für den Nachweis und die Zählung von
 869 Listeria monocytogenes und von Listeria spp._- Teil_1: Nachweisverfahren (ISO_11290-
 870 1:2017); Deutsche Fassung EN_ISO_11290-1:2017. <https://doi.org/10.31030/2612744>

871 Janež, N., Škrlić, B., Sterniša, M., Klančnik, A., Sabotič, J., 2021. The role of the Listeria
 872 monocytogenes surfactome in biofilm formation. Microb. Biotechnol. 14, 1269–1281.
 873 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13847>

874 Jünemann, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., Prior, K., Albersmeier, A., John, U., Kalinowski, J., Mellmann, A.,
 875 Goesmann, A., von Haeseler, A., Stoye, J., Harmsen, D., 2013. Updating benchtop sequencing
 876 performance comparison. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 294–296. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2522>

877 Knudsen, G.M., Nielsen, J.B., Marvig, R.L., Ng, Y., Worning, P., Westh, H., Gram, L., 2017. Genome-
 878 wide-analyses of Listeria monocytogenes from food-processing plants reveal clonal diversity
 879 and date the emergence of persisting sequence types. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 9, 428–440.
 880 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12552>

881 Kolde, R., 2010. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. CRAN: Contributed Packages.
 882 <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.pheatmap>

883 Koutsoumanis, K., Allende, A., Bolton, D., Bover-Cid, S., Chemaly, M., De Cesare, A., Herman, L.,
 884 Hilbert, F., Lindqvist, R., Nauta, M., Nonno, R., Peixe, L., Ru, G., Simmons, M., Skandamis, P.,
 885 Suffredini, E., Fox, E., Gosling, R. (Becky), Gil, B.M., Møretrø, T., Stessl, B., da Silva Felício,
 886 M.T., Messens, W., Simon, A.C., Alvarez-Ordóñez, A., 2024. Persistence of microbiological
 887 hazards in food and feed production and processing environments. EFSA Journal 22, e8521.
 888 <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8521>

889 Kuenne, C., Voget, S., Pischmarov, J., Oehm, S., Goesmann, A., Daniel, R., Hain, T., Chakraborty,
890 T., 2010. Comparative Analysis of Plasmids in the Genus *Listeria*. *PLoS One* 5, e12511.
891 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012511>

892 Liao, J., Guo, X., Weller, D.L., Pollak, S., Buckley, D.H., Wiedmann, M., Cordero, O.X., 2021.
893 Nationwide genomic atlas of soil-dwelling *Listeria* reveals effects of selection and population
894 ecology on pangenome evolution. *Nat. Microbiol.* 6, 1021–1030.
895 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-00935-7>

896 Maury, M.M., Bracq-Dieye, H., Huang, L., Vales, G., Lavina, M., Thouvenot, P., Disson, O., Leclercq,
897 A., Brisse, S., Lecuit, M., 2019. Hypervirulent *Listeria monocytogenes* clones' adaption to
898 mammalian gut accounts for their association with dairy products. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2488.
899 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10380-0>

900 Maury, M.M., Tsai, Y.H., Charlier, C., Touchon, M., Chenal-Francisque, V., Leclercq, A., Criscuolo,
901 A., Gaultier, C., Roussel, S., Brisabois, A., Disson, O., Rocha, E.P.C., Brisse, S., Lecuit, M., 2016.
902 Uncovering *Listeria monocytogenes* hypervirulence by harnessing its biodiversity. *Nat.*
903 *Genet.* 48, 308. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.3501>

904 Mikonranta, L., Friman, V.-P., Laakso, J., 2012. Life History Trade-Offs and Relaxed Selection Can
905 Decrease Bacterial Virulence in Environmental Reservoirs. *PLoS One* 7, e43801.
906 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043801>

907 Møretrø, T., Schirmer, B.C.T., Heir, E., Fagerlund, A., Hjemli, P., Langsrud, S., 2017. Tolerance to
908 quaternary ammonium compound disinfectants may enhance growth of *Listeria*
909 *monocytogenes* in the food industry. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 241, 215–224.
910 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.10.025>

911 Muhterem-Uyar, M., Ciolacu, L., Wagner, K.H., Wagner, M., Schmitz-Esser, S., Stessl, B., 2018. New
912 aspects on *Listeria monocytogenes* ST5-ECVI predominance in a heavily contaminated
913 cheese processing environment. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 316568.
914 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2018.00064/BIBTEX>

915 Muhterem-Uyar, M., Dalmasso, M., Bolocan, A.S., Hernandez, M., Kapetanakou, A.E., Kuchta, T.,
 916 Manios, S.G., Melero, B., Minarovičová, J., Nicolau, A.I., Rovira, J., Skandamis, P.N., Jordan,
 917 K., Rodríguez-Lázaro, D., Stessl, B., Wagner, M., 2015. Environmental sampling for *Listeria*
 918 *monocytogenes* control in food processing facilities reveals three contamination scenarios.
 919 Food Control 51, 94–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2014.10.042>

920 Nightingale, K.K., Windham, K., Martin, K.E., Yeung, M., Wiedmann, M., 2005. Select *Listeria*
 921 *monocytogenes* Subtypes Commonly Found in Foods Carry Distinct Nonsense Mutations in
 922 *inlA* , Leading to Expression of Truncated and Secreted Internalin A, and Are Associated with
 923 a Reduced Invasion Phenotype for Human Intestinal Epithelial Cells. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
 924 71, 8764–8772. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8764-8772.2005>

925 Nüesch-Inderbinnen, M., Bloemberg, G. V., Müller, A., Stevens, M.J.A., Cernela, N., Kollöffel, B.,
 926 Stephan, R., 2021. Listeriosis Caused by Persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* Serotype 4b
 927 Sequence Type 6 in Cheese Production Environment. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 27, 284.
 928 <https://doi.org/10.3201/EID2701.203266>

929 Olsen, S.J., Patrick, M., Hunter, S.B., Reddy, V., Kornstein, L., MacKenzie, W.R., Lane, K., Bidol, S.,
 930 Stoltman, G.A., Frye, D.M., Lee, I., Hurd, S., Jones, T.F., LaPorte, T.N., Dewitt, W., Graves, L.,
 931 Wiedmann, M., Schoonmaker-Bopp, D.J., Huang, A.J., Vincent, C., Bugenhagen, A., Corby, J.,
 932 Carloni, E.R., Holcomb, M.E., Woron, R.F., Zansky, S.M., Dowdle, G., Smith, F., Ahrabi-Fard,
 933 S., Ong, A.R., Tucker, N., Hynes, N.A., Mead, P., 2005. Multistate outbreak of *Listeria*
 934 *monocytogenes* infection linked to delicatessen turkey meat. Clinical Infectious Diseases 40,
 935 962–967. https://doi.org/10.1086/428575/2/M_40-7-962-TBL001.GIF

936 Omelchenko, O., Gutiérrez, A.V., Diaz, M., Lewis, E., Solsona Gaya, M., Webber, M.A., Gilmour, M.,
 937 2026. Evolved populations of *Listeria monocytogenes* related to biofilm formation and
 938 biocide stress in the context of food production environment niches. Microb. Genom. 12.
 939 <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.001611>

- 940 Ooms, J., 2017. writexl: Export Data Frames to Excel “xlsx” Format. CRAN: Contributed Packages.
941 <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.writexl>
- 942 Orsi, R.H., Bakker, H.C. den, Wiedmann, M., 2011. *Listeria monocytogenes* lineages: Genomics,
943 evolution, ecology, and phenotypic characteristics. *International Journal of Medical*
944 *Microbiology* 301, 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJMM.2010.05.002>
- 945 Orsi, R.H., Borowsky, M.L., Lauer, P., Young, S.K., Nusbaum, C., Galagan, J.E., Birren, B.W., Ivy, R.A.,
946 Sun, Q., Graves, L.M., Swaminathan, B., Wiedmann, M., 2008. Short-term genome evolution
947 of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a non-controlled environment. *BMC Genomics* 9, 539.
948 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-539>
- 949 Ottesen, A., Ramachandran, P., Reed, E., White, J.R., Hasan, N., Subramanian, P., Ryan, G., Jarvis,
950 K., Grim, C., Daquigan, N., Hanes, D., Allard, M., Colwell, R., Brown, E., Chen, Y., 2016.
951 Enrichment dynamics of *Listeria monocytogenes* and the associated microbiome from
952 naturally contaminated ice cream linked to a listeriosis outbreak. *BMC Microbiol.* 16.
953 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0894-1>
- 954 Pal, C., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Rensing, C., Kristiansson, E., Larsson, D.G.J., 2014. BacMet:
955 antibacterial biocide and metal resistance genes database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D737–
956 D743. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1252>
- 957 Palaodimou, L., Fanning, S., Fox, E.M., 2021. Genomic insights into persistence of *Listeria* species
958 in the food processing environment. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 131, 2082–2094.
959 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15089>
- 960 Palma, F., Brauge, T., Radomski, N., Mallet, L., Felten, A., Mistou, M.Y., Brisabois, A., Guillier, L.,
961 Midelet-Bourdin, G., 2020. Dynamics of mobile genetic elements of *Listeria monocytogenes*
962 persisting in ready-to-eat seafood processing plants in France. *BMC Genomics* 21, 1–20.
963 <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12864-020-6544-X/FIGURES/4>
- 964 Pasquali, F., Palma, F., Guillier, L., Lucchi, A., De Cesare, A., Manfreda, G., 2018. *Listeria*
965 *monocytogenes* Sequence Types 121 and 14 Repeatedly Isolated Within One Year of

966 Sampling in a Rabbit Meat Processing Plant: Persistence and Ecophysiology. *Front. Microbiol.*
967 9, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00596>

968 Pedersen, T.L., 2019. patchwork: The Composer of Plots. CRAN: Contributed Packages.
969 <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.patchwork>

970 Pennone, V., Cobo-Díaz, J.F., Prieto-Maradona, M., Álvarez-Ordóñez, A., 2022. Integration of
971 genomics in surveillance and risk assessment for outbreak investigation. *EFSA Journal* 20.
972 <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.e200417>

973 Pham, V.D., Simpson, D.J., Gänzle, M.G., 2025. Strain-level identification of bacteria persisting in
974 food and in food processing facilities: when do two isolates represent the same strain and
975 which tools identify a strain? *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.*
976 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2024.101245>

977 Pightling, A.W., Pettengill, J.B., Luo, Y., Baugher, J.D., Rand, H., Strain, E., 2018. Interpreting Whole-
978 Genome Sequence Analyses of Foodborne Bacteria for Regulatory Applications and
979 Outbreak Investigations. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 367953.
980 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01482>

981 Pitti, M., Tavecchia, M., Romano, A., Carrella, S., Previto, G., Bianchi, D.M., 2025. Investigation of
982 *Listeria monocytogenes* in Food in Northwestern Italy (2020–2024). *Foods* 14, 3788.
983 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods14213788>

984 Prjibelski, A., Antipov, D., Meleshko, D., Lapidus, A., Korobeynikov, A., 2020. Using SPAdes De Novo
985 Assembler. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics* 70, 455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.102>

986 Quijada, N.M., Cobo-Díaz, J.F., Valentino, V., Barcenilla, C., De Filippis, F., Cabrera-Rubio, R.,
987 Carlino, N., Pinto, F., Dzieciol, M., Calvete-Torre, I., Sabater, C., Rubino, F., Knobloch, S.,
988 Skirnisdottir, S., Ruiz, L., López, M., Prieto, M., Marteinson, V.T., Margolles, A., Segata, N.,
989 Cotter, P.D., Wagner, M., Ercolini, D., Alvarez-Ordóñez, A., 2025. The food-associated
990 resistome is shaped by processing and production environments. *Nat. Microbiol.* 10, 1854–
991 1867. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-025-02059-8>

992 R Core Team, 2025. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

993 Raschle, S., Stephan, R., Stevens, M.J.A., Cernela, N., Zurfluh, K., Muchaamba, F., Nüesch-
 994 Inderbinnen, M., 2021. Environmental dissemination of pathogenic *Listeria monocytogenes*
 995 in flowing surface waters in Switzerland. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 9066. [https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-021-88514-Y)
 996 021-88514-Y

997 Roedel, A., Dieckmann, R., Brendebach, H., Hammerl, J.A., Kleta, S., Noll, M., Al Dahouk, S., Vincze,
 998 S., 2019. Biocide-Tolerant *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolates from German Food Production
 999 Plants Do Not Show Cross-Resistance to Clinically Relevant Antibiotics. *Appl. Environ.*
 1000 *Microbiol.* 85. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01253-19>

1001 Ruppitsch, W., Pietzka, A., Prior, K., Bletz, S., Fernandez, H.L., Allerberger, F., Harmsen, D.,
 1002 Mellmann, A., 2015. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing
 1003 Scheme for Whole-Genome Sequence-Based Typing of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Clin.*
 1004 *Microbiol.* 53, 2869. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01193-15>

1005 Sauders, B.D., Overdevest, J., Fortes, E., Windham, K., Schukken, Y., Lembo, A., Wiedmann, M.,
 1006 2012. Diversity of *Listeria* species in urban and natural environments. *Appl. Environ.*
 1007 *Microbiol.* 78, 4420–4433. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00282-12/SUPPL_FILE/AEM00282-](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00282-12/SUPPL_FILE/AEM00282-12_TABLES2.XLS)
 1008 12_TABLES2.XLS

1009 Schmitz-Esser, S., Anast, J.M., Cortes, B.W., 2021. A Large-Scale Sequencing-Based Survey of
 1010 Plasmids in *Listeria monocytogenes* Reveals Global Dissemination of Plasmids. *Front.*
 1011 *Microbiol.* 12, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.653155>

1012 Seemann T, 2020. ABRICATE: screening contigs for antibiotic resistance and virulence genes.

1013 Seemann, T., 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069.
 1014 <https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTU153>

1015 Slowikowski, K., 2016. ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with
 1016 “ggplot2.” CRAN: Contributed Packages. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.ggrepel>

1017 Stasiewicz, M.J., Oliver, H.F., Wiedmann, M., den Bakker, H.C., 2015. Whole-Genome Sequencing
 1018 Allows for Improved Identification of Persistent *Listeria monocytogenes* in Food-Associated
 1019 Environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 6024. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01049-15>
 1020 Stea, E.C., Purdue, L.M., Jamieson, R.C., Yost, C.K., Hansen, L.T., 2015. Comparison of the
 1021 prevalences and diversities of *Listeria* species and *Listeria monocytogenes* in an urban and a
 1022 rural agricultural watershed. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 3812–3822.
 1023 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00416-15/SUPPL_FILE/ZAM999116293SO1.PDF
 1024 Stoler, N., Nekrutenko, A., 2021. Sequencing error profiles of Illumina sequencing instruments.
 1025 *NAR Genom. Bioinform.* 3, lqab019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/NARGAB/LQAB019>
 1026 Tonkin-Hill, G., MacAlasdair, N., Ruis, C., Weimann, A., Horesh, G., Lees, J.A., Gladstone, R.A., Lo,
 1027 S., Beaudoin, C., Floto, R.A., Frost, S.D.W., Corander, J., Bentley, S.D., Parkhill, J., 2020.
 1028 Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo pipeline. *Genome Biol.* 21,
 1029 180. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02090-4>
 1030 Tuytschaever, T., Mispelaere, M., Raes, K., Hulpiau, P., Samplers, I., 2026. Optimization of a
 1031 validated labor-efficient culture-based protocol to decrease false positives in *Listeria*
 1032 *monocytogenes* detection. *Food Control* 181, 111736.
 1033 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2025.111736>
 1034 Valero-Mora, P.M., 2010. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. *J. Stat. Softw.*
 1035 <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v035.b01>
 1036 van de Merwe, C., Simpson, D.J., Qiao, N., Otto, S.J.G., Kovacevic, J., Gänzle, M.G., McMullen,
 1037 L.M., 2024. Is the persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food processing facilities and its
 1038 resistance to pathogen intervention linked to its phylogeny? *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 90.
 1039 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00861-24>
 1040 Vivant, A.L., Garmyn, D., Piveteau, P., 2013. *Listeria monocytogenes*, a down-to-earth pathogen.
 1041 *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3, 87. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FCIMB.2013.00087>

1042 Wagner, E., Fagerlund, A., Langsrud, S., Møretrø, T., Jensen, M.R., Moen, B., 2021. Surveillance of
 1043 *Listeria monocytogenes*: Early detection, population dynamics, and quasimetagenomic
 1044 sequencing during selective enrichment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87.
 1045 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01774-21/SUPPL_FILE/AEM.01774-21-S0001.PDF

1046 Walsh, P.S., Metzger, D.A., Higuchi, R., 1991. Chelex 100 as a Medium for Simple Extraction of DNA
 1047 for PCR-Based Typing from Forensic Material. *Biotechniques* 54, 134–139.
 1048 <https://doi.org/10.2144/000114018>

1049 Wang, Y., Ji, Q., Li, S., Liu, M., 2021. Prevalence and Genetic Diversity of *Listeria monocytogenes*
 1050 Isolated From Retail Pork in Wuhan, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 620482.
 1051 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2021.620482/BIBTEX>

1052 Wenger, J.D., Swaminathan, B., Hayes, P.S., Green, S.S., Pratt, M., Pinner, R.W., Schuchat, A.,
 1053 Broome, C. V., 1990. *Listeria monocytogenes* Contamination of Turkey Franks: Evaluation of
 1054 a Production Facility. *J. Food Prot.* 53, 1015–1019. [https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-53.12.1015)
 1055 [53.12.1015](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-53.12.1015)

1056 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes,
 1057 A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S., Müller, K., Ooms, J.,
 1058 Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan, D., Wilke, C., Woo, K., Yutani, H.,
 1059 2019. Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>

1060 Wickham, H., Bryan, J., 2015. readxl: Read Excel Files. CRAN: Contributed Packages.
 1061 <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.readxl>

1062 Wickham, H., Pedersen, T.L., 2012. gtable: Arrange “Grobs” in Tables. CRAN: Contributed
 1063 Packages. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.gtable>

1064 Wong, T., Ly-Trong, N., Ren, H., Baños, H., Roger, A., Susko, E., Bielow, C., De Maio, N., Goldman,
 1065 N., Hahn, M., Huttley, G., Lanfear, R., Minh, B.Q., 2025. IQ-TREE 3: Phylogenomic Inference
 1066 Software using Complex Evolutionary Models. <https://doi.org/10.32942/X2P62N>

1067 Xayarath, B., Freitag, N.E., 2012. Optimizing the balance between host and environmental survival
 1068 skills: Lessons learned from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Future Microbiol.*
 1069 <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.12.57>

1070 Xie, Y.H. (2021), 2025. knitr: A General-Purpose Package for Dynamic Report Generation in R. R
 1071 package version 1.51.

1072 Xu, S., Li, L., Luo, X., Chen, M., Tang, W., Zhan, L., Dai, Z., Lam, T.T., Guan, Y., Yu, G., 2022. ggtree:
 1073 A serialized data object for visualization of a phylogenetic tree and annotation data. *iMeta*
 1074 1. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.56>

1075 Zakrzewski, A.J., Gajewska, J., Chajęcka-Wierzchowska, W., Załuski, D., Zadernowska, A., 2024.
 1076 Prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* species in fish, fish products and
 1077 fish processing environment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Science of The Total*
 1078 *Environment* 907, 167912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2023.167912>

1079 Zhu, H., 2017. kableExtra: Construct Complex Table with “kable” and Pipe Syntax. CRAN:
 1080 Contributed Packages. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.kableExtra>

1081 Zwirzitz, B., Wetzels, S.U., Dixon, E.D., Fleischmann, S., Selberherr, E., Thalguter, S., Quijada, N.M.,
 1082 Dzieciol, M., Wagner, M., Stessl, B., 2021. Co-Occurrence of *Listeria* spp. and Spoilage
 1083 Associated Microbiota During Meat Processing Due to Cross-Contamination Events. *Front.*
 1084 *Microbiol.* 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.632935>

1085
 1086

11. Figures and Tables

Table 1: Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in 1,538 environmental swabs from European food facilities, summarized by country, facility type, surface type, and disinfection state.

	Group	Total Samples	% of Total Samples	Positive	Negative	% Positive	% Negative
Overall							
	All samples	1538	100	338	1200	22	78
Facility Country							
	Austria	303	19.7	37	266	12.2	87.8
	Greece	400	26	197	203	49.3	50.7
	Spain	835	54.3	104	731	12.5	87.5
Facility Type							
	Dairy	775	50.4	69	706	8.9	91.1
	Fish	165	10.7	32	133	19.4	80.6
	Meat	598	38.9	237	361	39.6	60.4
Surface Type							
	FC	828	53.8	166	662	20	80
	NFC	710	46.2	172	538	24.2	75.8
Disinfection State							
	pre	975	63.4	215	760	22.1	77.9
	post	406	26.4	106	300	26.1	73.9
	NA	157	10.2	17	140	10.8	89.2

Table 2: Summary of *L. monocytogenes* isolates, showing Facility Code, Facility Type, Lineage, Sequence Type (ST), Clonal Complex, cgMLST, total isolates, persistent isolates, and the percentage of persistent isolates.

Facility Code	Facility Type	Lineage	ST	Clonal Complex	cgMLST	Total Isolates	Persistent Isolates	Percent Persistent (%)
All	-	-	-	-	-	316	177	56.0
A	Meat	1	155	155	1234	12	6	50.0
A	Meat	2	1113	9	22938	6	5	83.3
A	Meat	2	451	11	21875	10	10	100.0
A	Meat	2	8	8	22937	61	60	98.4
A	Meat	2	8	8	22954	6	6	100.0
A	Meat	2	9	9	16305	3	3	100.0
A	Meat	2	9	9	22940	10	8	80.0
A	Meat	2	9	9	22945	12	12	100.0
A	Meat	2	9	9	22953	10	10	100.0
C	Fish	2	121	121	18630	31	31	100.0
D	Dairy	1	4	4	4605	3	3	100.0
E	Dairy	2	204	204	22966	15	7	46.7
G	Meat	2	37	37	17448	8	7	87.5
H	Meat	1	87	87	19487	6	6	100.0
H	Meat	2	121	121	8684	5	3	60.0
D (Historical)	Dairy	1	4	4	4605	37	37	100.0

1095

1096 **Table S.1:** Typing information of *L. monocytogenes* isolates, showing Facility Type, Lineage, ST,
1097 and cgMLST.

1098 **Table S.2:** Typing information of *L. monocytogenes* historical isolates (facility D), showing Facility
1099 Type, Lineage, ST and cgMLST.

1100 **Table S.3:** Gene-trait associations identified by SCOARY, showing gene annotations,
1101 presence/absence across positive and negative groups, statistical measures (odds ratio and p-
1102 values), and functional annotations from eggNOG.

1103

1104 **Figure 1:** Distribution of *L. monocytogenes* isolates and persistent strains by sequence type. A.
1105 Number of isolates per sequence type (ST) by Lineage and facility type. B. Number of persistent
1106 isolates per ST by Lineage and facility type.

1107 **Figure 2:** Gene Enrichment and Depletion in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates. A. Volcano plot
1108 of genes ($\log_2$ odds ratio vs $-\log_{10}$ BH-adjusted p value). B. Mean $\log_2$ odds ratio of genes by COG
1109 category (blue = enriched, green = depleted; point size = gene count).

1110 **Figure 3:** PFAM Enrichment and Depletion in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates by COG
1111 Categories K, L, and S. (A–C) PFAMs significantly enriched or depleted in persistent isolates for
1112 COG categories: K (Transcription), L (Replication, Recombination, and Repair), and S (Function
1113 Unknown). Plots show the mean $\log_2$ odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for genes assigned
1114 to each PFAMs. Positive values indicate enrichment, while negative values indicate depletion in
1115 persistent isolates.

1116 **Figure 4:** PFAMs Exclusively Enriched and Depleted in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates. A.
1117 PFAMs exclusively enriched in persistent isolates. B. PFAMs exclusively depleted in persistent
1118 isolates. Plots show the mean $\log_2$ odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for genes assigned to
1119 each PFAMs. Positive values indicate enrichment, while negative values indicate depletion in
1120 persistent isolates.

1121

Figure 5: Heatmap of virulence and environmental stress tolerance genes in *L. monocytogenes* isolates. A. Presence and absence of virulence genes determined using the VFDB (Virulence Factor Database, nucleotide version, release 14.01.2025), ordered by isolate phenotype (persistent vs. non-persistent) and sequence type (ST). Virulence genes are grouped by functional categories. B. Presence and absence of environmental stress tolerance genes, determined using the BacMet database (version 2.0), ordered by phenotype and ST, with genes grouped by functional categories.

Figure S1: Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of *L. monocytogenes* isolates. Isolates sequenced at the Hospital in Valladolid were excluded due to insufficient read length. The tree is midpoint-rooted and annotated by sequence type (ST), persistence status, and facility type.

Figure S2: Number of genes in each pangenome category. Core (2,337), soft-core (241), shell (635), and cloud (1,356) genes among the 4,569 analyzed.

Supplementary File S1: R script used to perform all analyses and generate figures presented in this study. The script includes data import, cleaning, filtering, statistical analysis, and figure generation.

12. Data availability

The data for this study have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB110404 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB110404>).

Figures




Figure 1

Figure 1

Distribution of *L. monocytogenes* isolates and persistent strains by sequence type. A. Number of isolates per sequence type (ST) by Lineage and facility type. B. Number of persistent isolates per ST by Lineage and facility type.




Figure 2

Figure 2

Gene Enrichment and Depletion in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates. A. Volcano plot of genes ($\log_2$ odds ratio vs $-\log_{10}$ BH-adjusted p value). B. Mean $\log_2$ odds ratio of genes by COG category (blue = enriched, green = depleted; point size = gene count).




Figure 3

Figure 3

PFAM Enrichment and Depletion in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates by COG Categories K, L, and S. (A–C) PFAMs significantly enriched or depleted in persistent isolates for COG categories: K (Transcription), L (Replication, Recombination, and Repair), and S (Function Unknown). Plots show the mean $\log_2$ odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for genes assigned to each PFAMs. Positive values indicate enrichment, while negative values indicate depletion in persistent isolates.




Figure 4

Figure 4

PFAMs Exclusively Enriched and Depleted in Persistent *L. monocytogenes* Isolates. A. PFAMs exclusively enriched in persistent isolates. B. PFAMs exclusively depleted in persistent isolates. Plots show the mean log₂ odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for genes assigned to each PFAMs. Positive values indicate enrichment, while negative values indicate depletion in persistent isolates.





Figure 5

Figure 5

Heatmap of virulence and environmental stress tolerance genes in *L. monocytogenes* isolates. A. Presence and absence of virulence genes determined using the VFDB (Virulence Factor Database, nucleotide version, release 14.01.2025), ordered by isolate phenotype (persistent vs. non-persistent) and sequence type (ST). Virulence genes are grouped by functional categories. B. Presence and absence of

environmental stress tolerance genes, determined using the BacMet database (version 2.0), ordered by phenotype and ST, with genes grouped by functional categories.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigureS1.jpg](#)
- [FigureS2.jpg](#)
- [SupplementaryFileS1RCode.txt](#)
- [TableS1.csv](#)
- [TableS2.csv](#)
- [TableS3.csv](#)