Research Square

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review.
They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice,
or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Trade Dependence and Demographic Dynamics as Determinants of Long Run Economic Growth in Somalia from 1993 to 2024

**Sadaq Elmi Gedi**

saadikelmi@gmail.com

SIMAD University

**Nor Hisham Bin Haron**

Northern University of Malaysia

**Research Article**

**Keywords:** Somalia, economic growth, exports, imports, population, cointegration

**Posted Date:** October 30th, 2026

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-11025699/v1

**License:** This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Read Full License

**Additional Declarations:** No competing interests reported.

# Abstract

This article examines the long-run relationship between real gross domestic product (GDP), exports, imports, and total population in Somalia over 1993–2024. Annual data from the World Bank's World Development Indicators and the Statistical, Economic and Social Research and Training Centre for Islamic Countries are analysed using a log-linear specification. Augmented Dickey–Fuller and Phillips–Perron tests, an Engle–Granger residual-based cointegration test, ordinary least squares, pairwise Granger tests, and residual diagnostics are applied. All variables are integrated of order one. The residual test provides evidence of a lo ng-run association, although inference at the 5% level is sensitive to the critical-value convention and is therefore interpreted cautiously. In the estimated levels equation, the export elasticity is − 0.692, the import elasticity is 1.043, and the population elasticity is 0.401. Exports and imports are extremely highly correlated (r = 0.997), so the separate trade coefficients should not be treated as precisely identified causal effects; the more defensible result is that Somalia's trade structure is strongly associated with output. Pairwise tests indicate predictive precedence from exports and imports to GDP, while population shows no such relationship. The findings point to export diversification and value addition, productive use of imports, stronger trade institutions, and investment in human capital and employment as priorities for more resilient growth.


# 1 Introduction

Economic growth is central to development policy because sustained expansion in real output supports employment, poverty reduction, and improvements in living standards (Solow 1956; Lucas 1988). In low-income and fragile economies, however, growth is often constrained by weak productive capacity, limited fiscal space, and exposure to external shocks. Understanding which macroeconomic forces are most closely associated with output is therefore especially important where policy resources are scarce and the cost of ineffective intervention is high.

International trade affects growth through several channels. Exports can enlarge effective demand, generate foreign exchange, improve resource allocation, and support productivity through economies of scale and learning effects (Balassa 1978; Feder 1983; Grossman and Helpman 1991). These gains are not automatic. Economies that export a narrow range of low-value primary commodities may remain vulnerable to price shocks, climatic disruptions, and weak domestic linkages, so export expansion may fail to generate broad structural transformation (Bunje et al. 2022; Adelakun et al. 2025). Imports are similarly ambiguous. Imported machinery, intermediate inputs, fuel, and embodied technology can raise productive capacity (Coe and Helpman 1995), while excessive reliance on consumption imports can widen external imbalances and tighten the balance-of-payments constraint (Thirlwall 1979).

Demographic change adds a third channel. A larger population can expand the labour force and the domestic market, but the growth payoff depends on education, health, capital accumulation, and employment creation. When those complementary conditions are absent, rapid demographic expansion can dilute capital per worker and increase dependency pressures rather than generate a demographic

dividend (Bloom et al. 2003; Woldegiorgis 2023). The interaction among trade, production, and population is therefore likely to be particularly consequential in a post-conflict economy.

Somalia provides an important case. Following the collapse of the central state in 1991, public institutions, infrastructure, formal production systems, and statistical capacity deteriorated severely. Recovery since the early 2000s has been driven largely by private activity, remittances, telecommunications, livestock, and trade, but real growth has remained modest and vulnerable to drought, insecurity, and changes in external support (Ali et al. 2018; Abdi and Qutieshat 2024; World Bank 2024). The external sector is structurally imbalanced: Somalia relies heavily on imported food, fuel, construction materials, machinery, and manufactured products, whereas exports remain concentrated in livestock and other primary commodities. This dependence exposes the economy to foreign-exchange pressure and supply disruptions (UNCTAD 2024; WTO 2024).

Existing evidence for Somalia is limited and mixed. Ali et al. (2018) report export-led effects using historical data that end before the post-1991 economic structure became established. More recent studies show that trade openness, domestic investment, exchange-rate conditions, and institutional quality interact in complex and sometimes asymmetric ways (Abdi et al. 2024; Abdi and Mohamed 2025; Abdulle et al. 2025). Many studies examine trade or demographic variables separately, use different sample windows, or focus on short-run dynamics. Consequently, policymakers lack an integrated comparison of exports, imports, and population within one long-run framework covering the post-collapse period.

This article addresses that gap using annual observations from 1993 to 2024. It asks three related questions: whether exports, imports, and total population are associated with real GDP in the long run; which relationships are statistically strongest within the specified model; and whether the trade and population variables contain predictive information for GDP. The contribution is not a new growth theory, but an integrated, Somalia-specific assessment with explicit attention to time-series properties, data limitations, and the very high correlation between exports and imports. That caution is essential: the model can reveal the joint importance of trade for Somali output, but it is less able to cleanly separate the independent contribution of each trade flow.

## 2 Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

# 2.1 Exports and economic growth

The export-led growth hypothesis proposes that export expansion raises output through demand growth, foreign-exchange earnings, specialization, competition, and productivity spillovers (Balassa 1978; Feder 1983). Access to larger markets may permit firms to exploit economies of scale and to adopt more efficient technologies and management practices (Grossman and Helpman 1991). Cross-country studies often find a positive export–growth association, but the size and stability of that association vary with

diversification, technological content, institutions, and exposure to external shocks (Kalaitzi and Chamberlain 2021; Odhiambo 2022).

African evidence illustrates this conditionality. Bunje et al. (2022) find that the growth effect of trade openness differs across countries and depends on complementary policies. Adelakun et al. (2025) report positive export effects across EAC and SADC economies while also showing that broader openness can increase vulnerability. For Somalia, the narrow primary-commodity base and exposure to drought, livestock bans, insecurity, and exchange-rate instability can weaken the domestic value added generated by exports (Abdi and Mohamed 2025). The conventional expectation is therefore positive, but a weak or negative coefficient is economically plausible where export expansion is volatile, low value, and poorly linked to the rest of the economy.

## 2.2 Imports and economic growth

Imports can support growth by supplying capital equipment, intermediate goods, energy, and technologies that are not produced domestically. Knowledge embodied in imported inputs may raise productivity and facilitate capital deepening (Coe and Helpman 1995). This channel is especially relevant in economies with limited industrial capacity, because domestic production and investment may depend directly on imported materials and equipment.

The opposite effect arises when imports are dominated by final consumption goods, displace domestic activity, or create a persistent foreign-exchange constraint (Thirlwall 1979). Empirical results consequently differ across settings. Millia et al. (2021) identify a negative long-run import effect in Indonesia, while Bashir and Ibrahim (2022) show that imported inputs are essential to export performance in Sudan. Panta et al. (2022) and Saqfalhait (2023) provide evidence consistent with import-led growth in small developing economies. In Somalia, where imported food, fuel, construction materials, and equipment sustain both consumption and productive activity, a positive coefficient may represent necessary input supply, but it may simultaneously signal structural dependence.

## 2.3 Population and economic growth

Neoclassical growth theory treats population as a source of labour-force expansion but also as a potential cause of capital dilution when capital accumulation does not keep pace (Solow 1956). Endogenous growth approaches emphasize that education, skills, health, and knowledge determine whether additional workers raise productivity (Lucas 1988). The demographic-dividend literature similarly argues that a growing working-age population generates growth only when institutions and labour markets can productively absorb it (Bloom et al. 2003).

Sub-Saharan African evidence stresses these preconditions. Woldegiorgis (2023) identifies human capital, employment creation, and institutional capacity as key drivers of the demographic dividend, while ACET (2025) and UNECA (2024) document the difficulty of translating a large youth cohort into productive employment. In Somalia, total population may be positively associated with aggregate GDP

simply because a larger population increases labour and demand, but the elasticity may remain weak where unemployment, informality, and limited human-capital investment constrain productivity.

## 2.4 Synthesis and expectations

The literature does not justify imposing the same directional expectation on all three variables. Export-led growth predicts a positive association but allows weak outcomes in undiversified primary exporters. Imports can either enhance capacity or intensify external dependence. Population can expand output or dilute capital per worker. The study therefore tests non-directional hypotheses that exports, imports, and total population each have a statistically significant long-run association with real GDP. The relative signs and magnitudes are determined empirically.

A central identification concern is that exports and imports commonly move together as economies expand. In the Somali data their correlation is almost perfect. This does not invalidate the question of whether trade is associated with GDP, but it makes the separate export and import elasticities sensitive to small changes in specification and data. The discussion therefore distinguishes between the model's strong joint explanatory relationship and the less certain decomposition of that relationship into opposing export and import coefficients.

# 3 Methods

## 3.1 Research design, data, and variables

The study uses a quantitative longitudinal time-series design and annual data for Somalia from 1993 through 2024, producing 32 observations. The starting year reflects data availability after the collapse of national statistical systems in the early 1990s. Real GDP and total population are drawn from the World Bank's World Development Indicators, while exports and imports of goods and services are obtained from SESRIC. Monetary series are expressed at constant 2015 prices. All variables are transformed into natural logarithms, allowing coefficients in the levels equation to be read as elasticities, conditional on a valid cointegrating relationship.

The dependent variable is the natural logarithm of real GDP (LNGDP). The explanatory variables are the natural logarithms of exports (LNEXP), imports (LNIMP), and total population (LNPOP). The population variable measures population size, not the annual population growth rate; throughout the empirical analysis, references to demographic expansion should therefore be understood in terms of changes in total population.

**Table 1 Variables, measurement, and data sources**

| Variable | Symbol | Measurement | Source |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Real GDP** | LNGDP | Natural log of real GDP (constant 2015 US$) | World Bank (WDI) |
| **Exports** | LNEXP | Natural log of exports of goods and services | SESRIC |
| **Imports** | LNIMP | Natural log of imports of goods and services | SESRIC |
| **Population** | LNPOP | Natural log of total population | World Bank (WDI) |

*Note: WDI = World Development Indicators; SESRIC = Statistical, Economic and Social Research and Training Centre for Islamic Countries.*

# 3.2 Model specification

The long-run relationship is represented by the following log-linear equation:

$$lnGDP_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lnEXP_t + \beta_2 lnIMP_t + \beta_3 lnPOP_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Here, $\beta_0$ is the intercept, $\beta_1$–$\beta_3$ are the long-run elasticities, and $\varepsilon_t$ is the error term. Because regression among non-stationary level series may be spurious, the equation is interpreted only after testing the order of integration and the stationarity of the estimated residuals.

# 3.3 Estimation strategy

**Descriptive analysis.** Means, medians, dispersion, distributional statistics, and Pearson correlations summarize the data and identify unusually strong associations among regressors.

**Unit-root testing.** Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) and Phillips–Perron (PP) tests are applied in levels and first differences under the null hypothesis of a unit root (Dickey & Fuller 1979; Phillips and Perron 1988).

**Cointegration testing.** The Engle–Granger two-step procedure estimates the levels equation and applies an ADF test to its residuals (Engle and Granger 1987). Stationary residuals indicate a stable long-run linear combination. Because residual-based critical values differ from ordinary ADF critical values, the reported statistic, approximate p-value, and MacKinnon critical values are considered jointly (MacKinnon 2010).

**Long-run estimation.** Ordinary least squares (OLS) estimates the cointegrating vector. OLS slope estimates are super-consistent under cointegration (Stock 1987), but a 32-observation sample and near-collinearity among trade variables can still produce imprecise or unstable individual coefficients. The results are therefore presented as conditional long-run associations rather than structural causal effects.

**Predictive-direction testing.** Pairwise Granger tests with two lags assess whether past values of one variable improve prediction of another (Granger 1969). Since simple pairwise tests do not incorporate an explicit error-correction term, they are treated as supplementary evidence of predictive precedence rather than definitive causality.

**Diagnostic testing.** The Jarque–Bera test assesses residual normality; the Breusch–Godfrey test assesses serial correlation; and the Breusch–Pagan–Godfrey test assesses heteroscedasticity (Jarque and Bera 1980; Breusch 1978; Godfrey 1978; Breusch and Pagan 1979).

# 4 Results

# 4.1 Descriptive patterns and correlations

The logged series all trend upward over the sample, with exports more volatile than imports and imports persistently above exports. Real GDP and population rise more smoothly. These common trends explain the strong level correlations and make stationarity and cointegration testing essential before interpreting a levels regression.

**Table 2 Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix**

| Statistic / variable | LNGDP | LNEXP | LNIMP | LNPOP |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Panel A: Descriptive statistics** | | | | |
| **Mean** | 32.4573 | 19.3111 | 20.7416 | 16.2575 |
| **Median** | 32.4410 | 19.7413 | 21.0200 | 16.2846 |
| **Maximum** | 33.3245 | 21.8742 | 23.0526 | 16.7604 |
| **Minimum** | 31.5316 | 15.9872 | 17.9478 | 15.7148 |
| **Std. deviation** | 0.6030 | 1.7538 | 1.6141 | 0.3028 |
| **Observations** | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| **Panel B: Pearson correlations** | | | | |
| **LNGDP** | 1.000 | | | |
| **LNEXP** | 0.970 | 1.000 | | |
| **LNIMP** | 0.983 | 0.997 | 1.000 | |
| **LNPOP** | 0.984 | 0.977 | 0.984 | 1.000 |

*Note: All variables are natural logarithms. The export–import correlation of 0.997 indicates severe overlap in the information carried by the two trade variables.*

Exports and imports have the greatest dispersion, consistent with Somalia's exposure to trade and external shocks. GDP is strongly correlated with exports (0.970), imports (0.983), and population (0.984). The independent variables are also strongly correlated, particularly exports and imports (0.997). The regression can therefore estimate the joint relationship between trade and GDP more reliably than it can isolate two independent trade effects.

# 4.2 Unit roots and cointegration

**Table 3 Unit-root and residual-based cointegration tests**

| Variable | ADF level | PP level | ADF first difference | PP first difference | Order |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **LNGDP** | −1.239 (0.656) | 0.249 (0.975) | −3.445 (0.010)** | −7.782 (0.000)*** | I(1) |
| **LNEXP** | −2.375 (0.149) | −2.255 (0.187) | −3.052 (0.030)** | −3.191 (0.021)** | I(1) |
| **LNIMP** | −1.847 (0.357) | −1.681 (0.441) | −3.768 (0.003)*** | −4.065 (0.001)*** | I(1) |
| **LNPOP** | −0.008 (0.958) | −1.173 (0.685) | −2.826 (0.055)* | −2.748 (0.066)* | I(1) |
| **Residual ADF** | −4.296 (0.029) | | 5% critical: −4.470 | 10% critical: −4.085 | Evidence of cointegration |

*Note: p-values in parentheses. ***, **, and * denote 1%, 5%, and 10% significance. The population series rejects a unit root only at 10% after differencing. For the residual test, the statistic lies between the reported 5% and 10% critical values, while the approximate MacKinnon p-value is 0.029; the long-run evidence is therefore treated as supportive but not unequivocal under every critical-value convention.*

None of the variables is stationary in levels. After first differencing, GDP, exports, and imports reject the unit-root null at 5% or better, while population rejects at 10%. The series are accordingly treated as I(1). The residual ADF statistic is −4.296. It is more negative than the reported 10% critical value but less negative than the stricter 5% value; the approximate p-value is 0.029. Taken together, these statistics support a long-run linear relationship, but the evidence should be described as borderline rather than mechanically definitive. This qualification is carried into the interpretation of the level's equation.

# 4.3 Long-run regression estimates

**Table 4 OLS estimates for the logged GDP equation**

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. error | t-statistic | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Constant** | 17.6584 | 2.3665 | 7.462 | <0.001 |
| **LNEXP** | −0.6919 | 0.0849 | −8.146 | <0.001 |
| **LNIMP** | 1.0432 | 0.1117 | 9.342 | <0.001 |
| **LNPOP** | 0.4012 | 0.1976 | 2.031 | 0.0519 |

*Model summary: n = 32; $R^2$ = 0.9927; adjusted $R^2$ = 0.9919; F = 1270.84, p < 0.001. Dependent variable: LNGDP. Coefficients are conditional long-run elasticities if the cointegrating relationship is accepted.*

The model is jointly significant and explains 99.3% of the variation in logged GDP. Such a high $R^2$ is expected when strongly trending, potentially cointegrated variables share a common long-run path; it should not be interpreted by itself as evidence of causal fit.

The export coefficient is negative and statistically significant (−0.6919, p < 0.001), while the import coefficient is positive and statistically significant (1.0432, p < 0.001). Taken literally, a 1% increase in exports is associated with a 0.69% reduction in GDP and a 1% increase in imports with a 1.04% increase in GDP, holding the other variables constant. However, the condition “holding the other trade variable constant” is empirically demanding when exports and imports correlate at 0.997. The signs and exact magnitudes are therefore highly conditional. The safer interpretation is that the trade block is strongly associated with GDP, with the sample allocating opposite coefficients to two nearly collinear series.

Population has a positive coefficient of 0.4012 and a p-value of 0.0519. It is not significant at 5% but is marginally significant at 10%. The result is consistent with a positive aggregate-size effect that has not become a strong productivity-based demographic dividend.

# 4.4 Predictive direction and diagnostics

**Table 5 Pairwise Granger tests and residual diagnostics**

| Test / null hypothesis | Statistic | p-value | Decision at 5% |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Panel A: Pairwise Granger tests (2 lags)** | | | |
| **LNEXP does not predict LNGDP** | F = 6.023 | 0.0073 | Reject |
| **LNGDP does not predict LNEXP** | F = 0.156 | 0.8561 | Do not reject |
| **LNIMP does not predict LNGDP** | F = 5.578 | 0.0099 | Reject |
| **LNGDP does not predict LNIMP** | F = 0.057 | 0.9445 | Do not reject |
| **LNPOP does not predict LNGDP** | F = 0.680 | 0.5158 | Do not reject |
| **LNGDP does not predict LNPOP** | F = 1.074 | 0.3570 | Do not reject |
| **Panel B: Residual diagnostics** | | | |
| **Jarque–Bera normality** | JB = 0.854 | 0.6524 | No rejection |
| **Breusch–Godfrey serial correlation** | F = 1.431 | 0.2572 | No rejection |
| **Breusch–Pagan–Godfrey heteroscedasticity** | F = 2.472 | 0.0824 | No rejection |

*Note: "Predict" is used instead of structural "cause" because pairwise Granger tests establish temporal predictive content, not causal identification. The heteroscedasticity p-value is above 0.05 but close enough to justify robust-standard-error checks in future work.*

Past exports and imports improve prediction of GDP at the 5% level, whereas GDP does not improve prediction of either trade variable. No predictive relationship is detected between population and GDP. These results reinforce the importance of trade fluctuations for short-horizon output prediction, but they do not resolve endogeneity or replace a vector error-correction framework. Residual tests do not reject normality, no serial correlation, or homoscedasticity at 5%. The heteroscedasticity statistic is nevertheless relatively close to the threshold, so the absence of rejection should not be interpreted as proof of perfectly constant variance.

# 5 Discussion

The empirical results demonstrate that Somalia's economic output is strongly associated with developments in the external sector. The estimated coefficients reveal a negative relationship between exports and economic growth and a positive relationship between imports and economic growth. Overall, the findings indicate that the structure and composition of Somalia's external trade play an important role in shaping the country's economic performance.

Turning first to exports, the negative export coefficient differs from the conventional export-led growth prediction proposed by Balassa (1978) and Feder (1983). However, this result does not necessarily imply that exporting harms Somalia's economy. Instead, it may reflect the structural characteristics of the country's export sector. Somalia's exports are concentrated in low-value, climate-sensitive primary

products with limited processing and weak domestic linkages. Under these conditions, higher gross exports may coexist with low value addition, dependence on imported inputs, vulnerability to trade bans and price shocks, and limited productivity spillovers. Recent African and Somali evidence similarly emphasizes that the growth benefits of exports depend on diversification, institutional quality, technology, and stability (Bunje et al. 2022; Abdi et al. 2024; Abdi and Mohamed 2025; Adelakun et al. 2025). Therefore, the negative coefficient may indicate that Somalia's current export structure has not yet developed into a reliable engine of broad-based growth.

In contrast to the export result, the positive import coefficient is consistent with import-led growth evidence from small developing economies (Panta et al. 2022; Saqfalhait 2023). Somalia's limited domestic productive base makes imported food, fuel, machinery, construction materials, and intermediate inputs essential to consumption, investment, and service-sector activity. Imports may therefore provide access to productive inputs, technology, and external financing. Nevertheless, this positive association also reveals an important vulnerability, because growth that depends heavily on imported essentials may be disrupted by exchange-rate pressures, rising shipping costs, geopolitical shocks, or reductions in remittances and aid. Accordingly, the policy objective should not be the indiscriminate restriction of imports, but rather a gradual shift in import composition toward capital goods, intermediate inputs, and technologies that expand domestic productive capacity.

Beyond the effects of external trade, population is positively associated with aggregate GDP, although the relationship is only marginally significant. This finding is consistent with neoclassical growth theory and demographic-dividend theory, which suggest that a larger labour force can raise total output when it is supported by adequate capital, skills, healthcare, institutions, and employment opportunities (Solow 1956; Lucas 1988; Bloom et al. 2003). However, the absence of predictive precedence from population to GDP suggests that demographic expansion alone has not been a major short-run driver of economic growth. This result is consistent with wider African evidence showing that a young and growing population becomes an economic advantage only when economies can productively absorb new labour-market entrants (Woldegiorgis 2023; ACET 2025; UNECA 2024).

Taken together, these findings clarify the contribution of the present study to the existing literature on Somalia. Earlier export-led growth studies based on pre-collapse data may not fully describe the post-1991 economy, while more recent ARDL and nonlinear studies focus on different issues, including dynamics, asymmetry, investment, and trade openness (Ali et al. 2018; Abdi et al. 2024; Abdulle et al. 2025). By examining exports, imports, and population jointly over the period 1993–2024, this study shows that trade remains central to Somalia's economic performance, while population has not yet emerged as an independently strong driver of growth. This integrated conclusion provides a more useful basis for policy than simply ranking the estimated coefficients.

# 6 Conclusion and Policy Implications

This article examined the association of exports, imports, and total population with real GDP in Somalia from 1993 to 2024. The variables are treated as I(1), and the residual-based test provides supportive, though critical-value-sensitive, evidence of a long-run relationship. The levels model assigns a negative coefficient to exports, a larger positive coefficient to imports, and a positive but only marginally significant coefficient to population. Pairwise predictive tests run from both trade variables to GDP but not from population. The central conclusion is that Somalia's growth path is strongly connected to its trade structure, while its demographic potential remains only partially realized.

Four policy implications follow. First, export policy should emphasize diversification, processing, standards, storage, logistics, and value addition in livestock, agriculture, and fisheries rather than simply increasing gross export volumes. Second, import policy should protect access to essential inputs while using tariffs, finance, and investment incentives to favour machinery, intermediate goods, and technologies that expand domestic production. Third, trade facilitation, exchange-rate stability, port and customs efficiency, and reliable national statistics should be strengthened to reduce transaction costs and policy uncertainty. Fourth, education, technical and vocational training, health, and employment-intensive investment are required to convert population growth into a genuine demographic dividend.

Because the trade coefficients are highly collinear, these recommendations should not be based on the numerical claim that imports are precisely 1.043 times growth-enhancing while exports are precisely −0.692 growth-reducing. The evidence supports a broader conclusion: Somalia needs a less vulnerable and more productive external sector, with stronger domestic linkages on both the export and import sides.

# 7 Limitations and Future Research

The analysis has five main limitations. The sample contains only 32 annual observations, limiting the power of unit-root, cointegration, and diagnostic tests. Early Somali macroeconomic observations are partly estimated because of weak statistical capacity. Exports and imports are nearly perfectly correlated, making individual trade coefficients unstable even though no separate variance-inflation calculation is reported. The static OLS equation omits short-run adjustment, potential structural breaks, and other determinants such as investment, remittances, inflation, foreign direct investment, institutions, insecurity, and climatic shocks. Finally, pairwise Granger tests do not provide structural causality and are not a substitute for an error-correction or Toda–Yamamoto framework.

Future research should replicate the model with updated or higher-frequency data and test structural breaks associated with droughts, political transitions, debt relief, and major trade disruptions. FMOLS, DOLS, ARDL, VECM, or Bayesian time-series methods could assess the robustness of the long-run coefficients and explicitly model short-run adjustment. Disaggregating exports and imports into capital, intermediate, food, fuel, livestock, agricultural, and service components would be especially valuable because composition, rather than gross trade alone, is likely to determine the growth effect.

# Declarations

**Funding:** No funding was received for this study

**Competing interests:** The author declares no competing interests

**Author contributions:** Sadaq Elmi Gedi conceived the study, assembled the data, conducted the analysis, interpreted the findings, and drafted the manuscript. Nor Hisham Bin Haron supervised the research, provided methodological guidance, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Data availability:** The underlying series are publicly available from the World Bank World Development Indicators and SESRIC. The compiled dataset and analysis files should be deposited as supplementary material or made available by the corresponding author.

**Ethics approval:** Not applicable. The study uses publicly available aggregate secondary data and involves no human participants, animals, or identifiable personal information.

**Consent to participate:** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgements:** Not applicable.

# References

1. Abdi AH, Mohamed AM (2025) Determinants of agricultural exports in Somalia: The impacts of exchange rates, foreign direct investment, and institutional quality. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 13(1):2445755. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2024.2445755
2. Abdi AH, Zaidi MAS, Halane DR, Warsame AA (2024) Asymmetric effects of foreign direct investment and trade openness on economic growth in Somalia: Evidence from a non-linear ARDL approach. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 12(1):2305010. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2024.2305010
3. Abdi AM, Qutieshat A (2024) The role of international trade on economic growth in Somalia. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development* 7(3):471–477. https://doi.org/10.29138/ijebd.v7i3.2554
4. Abdulle AS, Jama MA, Omar MM (2025) Exploring the impact of domestic investment on economic growth in Somalia: An empirical analysis from ARDL bound testing approach. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 13(1):2499017. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2025.2499017
5. Adelakun OJ, Ojo OO, Mpungose S (2025) Empirical re-investigation into the export-led growth hypothesis (ELGH): Evidence from EAC and SADC economies. *Economies* 13(6):175. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies13060175

6. African Center for Economic Transformation (ACET) (2025) *Harnessing Africa's demographic dividend: Opportunities and emerging challenges for economic transformation*. ACET. https://acetforafrica.org/
7. African Development Bank (AfDB) (2025) *Somalia economic outlook*. African Development Bank Group. https://www.afdb.org/en/countries-east-africa-somalia/somalia-economic-outlook
8. Ali AA, Ali AYS, Dalmar MS (2018) The impact of imports and exports performance on the economic growth of Somalia. *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 10(1):110–119. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v10n1p110
9. Balassa B (1978) Exports and economic growth: Further evidence. *Journal of Development Economics* 5(2):181–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(78)90006-8
10. Bashir MS, Ibrahim AAA (2022) Examining the export-led growth hypothesis: Empirical evidence from Sudan. *Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy Ventura* 25(1):77–92. https://doi.org/10.14414/jebav.v25i1.2978
11. Bloom D, Canning D, Sevilla J (2003) *The demographic dividend: A new perspective on the economic consequences of population change*. RAND Corporation. https://doi.org/10.7249/MR1274
12. Breusch TS (1978) Testing for autocorrelation in dynamic linear models. *Australian Economic Papers* 17(31):334–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8454.1978.tb00635.x
13. Breusch TS, Pagan AR (1979) A simple test for heteroscedasticity and random coefficient variation. *Econometrica* 47(5):1287–1294. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911963
14. Bunje MY, Abendin S, Wang Y (2022) The effects of trade openness on economic growth in Africa. *Open Journal of Business and Management* 10(2):614–642. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2022.102035
15. Coe DT, Helpman E (1995) International R&D spillovers. *European Economic Review* 39(5):859–887. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921(94)00100-E
16. Dickey DA, Fuller WA (1979) Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74(366):427–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1979.10482531
17. Engle RF, Granger CWJ (1987) Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica* 55(2):251–276. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913236
18. Feder G (1983) On exports and economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics* 12(1–2):59–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(83)90031-7
19. Godfrey LG (1978) Testing against general autoregressive and moving average error models when the regressors include lagged dependent variables. *Econometrica* 46(6):1293–1301. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913829
20. Granger CWJ (1969) Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica* 37(3):424–438. https://doi.org/10.2307/1912791

21. Grossman GM, Helpman E (1991) *Innovation and growth in the global economy*. MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262570978/innovation-and-growth-in-the-global-economy/
22. Jarque CM, Bera AK (1980) Efficient tests for normality, homoscedasticity and serial independence of regression residuals. *Economics Letters* 6(3):255–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1765(80)90024-5
23. Kalaitzi AS, Chamberlain TW (2021) The validity of the export-led growth hypothesis: Some evidence from the GCC. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development* 30(2):224–245. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638199.2020.1813191
24. Krugman PR, Obstfeld M, Melitz MJ (2018) *International economics: Theory and policy*, 11th edn. Pearson. https://www.pearson.com/en-us/subject-catalog/p/international-economics-theory-and-policy/P200000006077
25. Lucas RE Jr (1988) On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 22(1):3–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(88)90168-7
26. MacKinnon JG (2010) *Critical values for cointegration tests*. Queen's Economics Department Working Paper No. 1227, Queen's University. https://www.econ.queensu.ca/research/working-papers/1227
27. Millia H, Syarif M, Adam P, Rahim M, Gamsir G, Rostin R (2021) The effect of export and import on economic growth in Indonesia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 11(6). https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.11870
28. Odhiambo NM (2022) Is export-led growth hypothesis still valid for sub-Saharan African countries? New evidence from panel data analysis. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics* 31(1):77–93. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-06-2020-0156
29. Panta H, Devkota ML, Banjade D (2022) Exports and imports-led growth: Evidence from a small developing economy. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15(1):11. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15010011
30. Phillips PCB, Perron P (1988) Testing for a unit root in time series regression. *Biometrika* 75(2):335–346. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/75.2.335
31. Saqfalhait N (2023) Exports- and imports-led growth: Evidence from a time series analysis, case of Jordan. *Economies* 11(5):135. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11050135
32. Solow RM (1956) A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 70(1):65–94. https://doi.org/10.2307/1884513
33. Statistical, Economic and Social Research and Training Centre for Islamic Countries (SESRIC) (2025) *OICStat database* [Data set]. https://www.sesric.org/oicstat.php
34. Stock JH (1987) Asymptotic properties of least squares estimators of cointegrating vectors. *Econometrica* 55(5):1035–1056. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911260
35. Thirlwall AP (1979) The balance of payments constraint as an explanation of international growth rate differences. *Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Quarterly Review* 32(128):45–53. https://rosa.uniroma1.it/rosa04/psl_quarterly_review/article/view/12804

36. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) (2024) *Handbook of statistics 2024*. United Nations. https://unctad.org/publication/handbook-statistics-2024
37. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) (2024) *As Africa's population crosses 1.5 billion, the demographic window is opening*. UNECA. https://www.uneca.org/
38. Wan X, Ajaz Kazmi SA, Yeewong C (2022) Manufacturing, exports, and sustainable growth: Evidence from developing countries. *Sustainability* 14(3):1646. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031646
39. Woldegiorgis MM (2023) Drivers of demographic dividend in sub-Saharan Africa. *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy* 4:387–413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43253-023-00094-x
40. World Bank (2024) *Somalia economic update 2024*. World Bank Group. https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/somalia/publication/somalia-economic-update
41. World Bank (2025) *World Development Indicators* [Data set]. https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators
42. World Trade Organization (WTO) (2024) *Global trade outlook and statistics, October 2024*. WTO. https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/booksp_e/stat_10oct24_e.pdf

# Figures





**Figure 1**

**Trends in logged GDP, exports, imports, and population in Somalia, 1993–2024**

*Source: Author's plot based on World Bank WDI and SESRIC data*