**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Full Sample of Nonresident Fathers and Stratified by Presence of Current Coresident Child**

| | Full Sample | By Presence of Current Coresident Child | | Sig Diff |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No Resident Child | Coresident Child | |
| **Nonresident Fathers' Material Contributions** | | | | |
| Formal support ($, top coded) | 478.07 (1172.45) | 410.08 (1082.78) | 561.59 (1269.48) | ** |
| Any formal support | 27.44% | 23.95% | 31.72% | *** |
| Formal support among those with any ($, top coded) | 1742.19 (1676.02) | 1711.99 (1633.90) | 1770.20 (1715.73) | |
| Informal support ($, top coded) | 419.54 (939.49) | 429.01 (942.29) | 407.96 (936.30) | |
| Any informal support | 41.56% | 42.55% | 40.35% | |
| Informal support among those with any ($, top coded) | 1009.51 (1236.45) | 1008.23 (1226.25) | 1011.17 (1250.74) | |
| In-kind support (freq. 1-4) | 1.92 (0.91) | 1.86 (0.90) | 1.98 (0.91) | ** |
| **Maximum EITC benefit ($100s)** | 21.70 (4.29) | 21.40 (4.31) | 22.07 (4.24) | *** |
| **Fathers' Characteristics at birth or as noted** | | | | |
| Age at baseline | | | | |
| <=21 | 30.16% | 28.70% | 31.94% | |
| 22-29 | 45.61% | 45.64% | 45.58% | |
| 30+ | 24.23% | 25.65% | 22.48% | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | * |
| White - Non-hispanic | 8.60% | 7.78% | 9.60% | |
| Black - Non-hispanic | 69.33% | 71.92% | 66.16% | |
| Hispanic | 18.78% | 16.81% | 21.19% | |
| Other | 3.29% | 3.49% | 3.05% | |
| Education | | | | |
| Less than HS | 38.73% | 40.35% | 36.74% | |
| HS or GED | 43.56% | 42.47% | 44.89% | |
| At Least Some College | 17.72% | 17.19% | 18.37% | |
| Lived w/ both bio parents at 15 | | | | * |
| No | 57.30% | 55.98% | 58.92% | |
| Yes | 29.40% | 29.14% | 29.73% | |
| Missing | 13.30% | 14.88% | 11.36% | |
| Nativity | | | | * |
| Foreign-born | 5.59% | 5.17% | 6.10% | |
| US-born | 81.25% | 80.14% | 82.62% | |
| Missing | 13.16% | 14.69% | 11.28% | |
| Relationship w/mother at birth | | | | |
| Other relationship | 56.17% | 57.60% | 54.42% | |
| Cohabitating | 37.66% | 36.36% | 39.25% | |
| Married | 6.17% | 6.04% | 6.33% | |
| Father worked (baseline) | | | | * |
| Not working | 22.69% | 23.35% | 21.88% | |
| Working | 64.05% | 61.71% | 66.92% | |
| Missing | 13.26% | 14.94% | 11.20% | |
| Feelings about fatherhood (range 1-4) | 3.67 (0.44) | 3.66 (0.42) | 3.69 (0.45) | |
| Ever incarcerated (yr 1, mother/father report) | | | | *** |
| No | 50.86% | 47.14% | 55.41% | |
| Yes | 45.89% | 49.50% | 41.46% | |
| Missing | 3.26% | 3.36% | 3.12% | |
| Waves resident w/ focal child (time-varying) | | | | |
| Never resident | 44.28% | 45.89% | 42.30% | |
| Resident 1 wave | 30.43% | 30.45% | 30.41% | |
| Resident 2 waves | 16.04% | 14.57% | 17.84% | |
| Resident 3 waves | 6.96% | 6.85% | 7.09% | |
| Resident 4 waves | 2.30% | 2.24% | 2.36% | |
| Father's Repartnering Status (time-varying) | | | | *** |
| Single | 79.20% | 88.29% | 68.06% | |
| Cohabitating | 15.76% | 9.53% | 23.40% | |
| Married | 5.04% | 2.18% | 8.54% | |
| Relationship to coresident child (time-varying) | | | | |
| Biological child | 28.27% | 0.00% | 62.88% | |
| Step-child | 3.02% | 0.00% | 6.71% | |
| Youngest sibling | 4.08% | 0.00% | 9.07% | |
| Other relative's child | 5.38% | 0.00% | 11.97% | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Child with no relationship to father | 4.22% | 0.00% | 9.38% | |
| No coresident child (time-varying) | 55.04% | 100.00% | 0.00% | |
| Father owes CS arrears (time-varying, mother report) | | | | |
| No arrears | 66.76% | 67.75% | 65.55% | |
| Any arrears | 26.90% | 25.28% | 28.89% | |
| Missing | 6.34% | 6.97% | 5.56% | |
| Has child support order (time-varying, mother report) | 47.45% | 45.38% | 50.00% | * |
| **Mothers' Characteristics at Birth or as Noted** | | | | |
| Education | | | | |
| Less than HS | 40.82% | 40.04% | 41.77% | |
| HS or GED | 35.33% | 34.37% | 36.51% | |
| At Least Some College | 23.85% | 25.59% | 21.72% | |
| TANF/SNAP Receipt | 49.66% | 49.32% | 50.08% | |
| Medicaid paid for birth | 75.74% | 75.28% | 76.30% | |
| Repartnered (time-varying) | 23.58% | 21.30% | 26.37% | ** |
| **Child Characteristics** | | | | |
| Child male sex (binary, assigned at birth) | 51.06% | 50.50% | 51.75% | |
| Low birthweight | 12.03% | 12.52% | 11.43% | |
| **Contextual & State-Level Characteristics** | | | | |
| State poverty rate | 11.61 (2.89) | 11.56 (2.94) | 11.68 (2.82) | |
| State unemployment rate | 5.71 (1.77) | 5.63 (1.77) | 5.80 (1.77) | ** |
| AFDC/TANF max benefit, 3-person family | 422.46 (149.98) | 421.97 (151.58) | 423.07 (148.05) | |
| State minimum wage ($/hr) | 5.75 (0.94) | 5.69 (0.91) | 5.82 (0.97) | *** |
| CSE expenditure per capita | 22.14 (7.75) | 22.32 (7.70) | 21.92 (7.80) | |
| Refundable state EITC | 32.35% | 31.69% | 33.16% | |
| **Survey wave** | | | | *** |
| Year 1 | 19.71% | 23.54% | 15.02% | |
| Year 3 | 26.76% | 26.09% | 27.59% | |
| Year 5 | 28.92% | 28.70% | 29.19% | |
| Year 9 | 24.61% | 21.67% | 28.20% | |
| Observations (father-years) | 2,918 | 1,606 | 1,312 | |

Notes: N = 2,918 father-year observations from 1,628 unique fathers. Means with standard deviations in parentheses for continuous variables; percentages for binary or categorical variables. Dollar amounts in constant dollars, top coded at approximately the 99th percentile. Arrears and support measures from mothers' reports.

Significant differences were estimated using t-tests for binary and continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables, both adjusted for clustering at the father level : *p< .05; **p< .01; ***p< .001

**Table 2: Association of EITC Benefits with Fathers' Contributions to Nonresident Children, by Presence of Coresident Child**

| | Formal Support ($, top coded) | Informal Support ($, top coded) | In-Kind Support (Freq. 1-4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Panel A. Full Sample** | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 1.446 | 29.180 | 0.016 |
| | (0.06) | (1.43) | (0.90) |
| Observations | 2,817 | 2,707 | 2,297 |
| **Panel B. No Resident Child** | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | -43.268 | -2.842 | -0.003 |
| | (-1.31) | (-0.10) | (-0.11) |
| Observations | 1,553 | 1,490 | 1,204 |
| **Panel C. Coresident Child** | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 22.480 | 62.477* | 0.028 |
| | (0.54) | (2.10) | (1.05) |
| Observations | 1,264 | 1,217 | 1,093 |

Note. EITC benefit in $100s of constant dollars (maximum federal plus state credit for a one-child family, lagged one year).

All models include the full set of father, mother, child, and state controls, state fixed effects, and survey wave indicators. t-statistics in parentheses, based on standard errors clustered at the father level.
*** p<.001, ** p<.01, * p<.05, + p<.10.

**Table 3: Association of EITC Benefits with Fathers' Contributions to Nonresident Children, by Fathers' Relationship to Coresident Child (only for those w/any coresident child)**

| | Formal Support ($, top coded) | Informal Support ($, top coded) | In-Kind Support (Freq. 1-4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Panel A. Coresident Child is Father's Biological Child** | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 80.047 | 73.157+ | 0.062+ |
| | (1.51) | (1.70) | (1.74) |
| Observations | 794 | 766 | 722 |
| **Panel B. Coresident Child is not Father's Biological Child** | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | -86.941 | 43.216 | 0.016 |
| | (-1.33) | (1.03) | (0.37) |
| Observations | 470 | 451 | 371 |

Note. EITC benefit in $100s of constant dollars (maximum federal plus state credit for a one-child family, lagged one year).
All models include the full set of father, mother, child, and state controls, state fixed effects, and survey wave indicators. t-statistics in parentheses, based on standard errors clustered at the father level.
*** p<.001, ** p<.01, * p<.05, + p<.10.

**Table 4: Association of EITC Benefits with Fathers' Contributions, by Presence of Coresident Child and Child Support Arrears Status, OLS**

| | Formal Support ($, top coded) | | Informal Support ($, top coded) | | In-Kind Support (1-4) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Any Arrears | No Arrears | Any Arrears | No Arrears | Any Arrears | No Arrears |
| **Panel A. Full Sample** | | | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | -32.927 | -2.323 | -27.668* | 50.841 | -0.004 | 0.017 |
| | (-0.63) | (-0.07) | (-2.00) | (1.54) | (-0.14) | (0.66) |
| Observations | 762 | 1,919 | 749 | 1,800 | 711 | 1,425 |
| **Panel B. No Resident Child** | | | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | -54.597 | -55.730 | -1.736 | -22.815 | 0.017 | -0.016 |
| | (-0.99) | (-1.20) | (-0.12) | (-0.48) | (0.41) | (-0.44) |
| Observations | 397 | 1,073 | 384 | 1,008 | 359 | 750 |
| **Panel C. Coresident Child** | | | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 2.303 | 8.639 | -58.504* | 121.014** | -0.021 | 0.042 |
| | (0.02) | (0.18) | (-2.29) | (2.64) | (-0.55) | (1.12) |
| Observations | 365 | 846 | 365 | 792 | 352 | 675 |

Note. EITC benefit in $100s of constant dollars (maximum federal plus state credit for a one-child family, lagged one year). All models include the full set of father, mother, child, and state controls, state fixed effects, and survey wave indicators. t-statistics in parentheses, based on standard errors clustered at the father level.

*** p<.001, ** p<.01, * p<.05, + p<.10.

**Table 5: Sensitivity Analyses, OLS Regression**

| | IHS Formal | Formal, among those w/ Order | IHS Informal | Informal, among those w/Any |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Panel A. Full Sample** | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 0.018 | 7.131 | 0.090 | 35.426 |
| | (0.24) | (0.15) | (1.29) | (0.82) |
| Observations | 2,817 | 1,302 | 2,707 | 1,125 |
| | | | | |
| **Panel B. No Resident Child** | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | -0.113 | -22.846 | 0.029 | -51.573 |
| | (-1.12) | (-0.39) | (0.31) | (-0.91) |
| Observations | 1,553 | 684 | 1,490 | 634 |
| | | | | |
| **Panel C. Coresident Child** | | | | |
| EITC benefit ($100) | 0.098 | -3.946 | 0.127 | 161.813* |
| | (0.88) | (-0.06) | (1.18) | (2.54) |
| Observations | 1,264 | 618 | 1,217 | 491 |

Notes. Coefficients in IHS transformed models can be interpreted as approximately proportional changes per $100 of EITC. t-statistics in parentheses, based on standard errors clustered at the father level.
*** p<.001, ** p<.01, * p<.05, + p<.10.