

Section S1: Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables in Study 1	3
Table S1	3
Section S2: Scatter Plots of Trait Rating and Attitudes Measures in Study 1	4
Figure S1	4
Figure S2	5
Section S3: Mean Difference between Communal and Agency Ratings in Studies 1 and 2	6
Section S4: By Country Spline Models in Study 1	6
Table S2	8
Table S3	8
Table S4	10
Section S5: By Individual Traits Models in Study 1	10
Figure S3	13
Section S6: Study 1 Interaction Plots	23
Figure S4	23
Section S7: Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables in Study 2	24
Table S5	24
Section S8: Scatter Plots of Trait Ratings and Sexism Measures in Study 2	28
Figure S5	28
Figure S6	29
Section S9: Ambivalent Sexism Composite and Benevolent Sexism Item Analysis	30
Table S6	30
Figure S7	32
Figure S8	33
Table S7	34
Section S10: By Country Spline Models in Study 2	35
Table S8	37
Table S9	41
Table S10	44
Section S11: By Individual Traits Models in Study 2	50

Figure S9	51
-----------------	----

Section S1: Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables in Study 1

Table S1

Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables in Study 1


	Female %	Age	SES	Trait Ratings		Sexist Attitudes				SJ
				Communal	Agentic	Men's Suprem.	Sexism Denial	Reverse Sexism	Trad. Roles	
Brazil	53	42.20 (15.81)	6.35 (2.10)	-0.32 (0.30)	0.13 (0.26)	2.24 (1.58)	2.44 (1.77)	2.31 (1.65)	2.52 (1.33)	2.88 (1.16)
China	52	39.24 (12.70)	6.33 (1.75)	-0.40 (0.34)	0.38 (0.34)	3.48 (1.46)	4.20 (1.47)	3.59 (1.54)	3.20 (1.14)	5.17 (0.82)
Egypt	52	38.11 (12.28)	6.16 (1.96)	-0.40 (0.32)	0.29 (0.29)	4.49 (1.38)	4.00 (1.45)	4.03 (1.43)	3.91 (1.09)	3.60 (1.32)
Hungary	48	46.24 (14.67)	5.55 (1.81)	-0.37 (0.31)	0.20 (0.25)	2.39 (1.37)	3.23 (1.53)	2.76 (1.58)	2.81 (1.17)	3.14 (1.30)
India	51	37.61 (13.84)	7.30 (1.96)	-0.24 (0.36)	0.13 (0.33)	3.97 (1.67)	4.16 (1.85)	4.40 (1.52)	3.82 (1.25)	4.53 (0.89)
Indonesia	51	39.24 (11.99)	6.96 (1.79)	-0.15 (0.29)	0.31 (0.31)	4.06 (1.58)	3.95 (1.65)	3.53 (1.75)	3.39 (1.07)	4.91 (0.85)
Japan	50	49.94 (15.27)	5.34 (2.09)	-0.31 (0.39)	0.27 (0.38)	3.10 (1.27)	3.33 (1.32)	3.64 (1.26)	3.31 (1.10)	3.64 (0.89)
Russia	47	43.72 (13.19)	5.52 (2.11)	-0.42 (0.31)	0.33 (0.32)	3.53 (1.50)	4.15 (1.57)	3.54 (1.52)	3.50 (1.21)	3.52 (1.28)
Saudi	60	36.71 (10.74)	6.52 (2.24)	-0.32 (0.31)	0.28 (0.31)	4.77 (1.42)	4.68 (1.49)	4.21 (1.56)	3.97 (1.08)	4.73 (1.10)
S. Korea	51	45.17 (13.85)	5.57 (1.76)	-0.23 (0.29)	0.26 (0.31)	3.22 (1.36)	3.63 (1.45)	4.05 (1.30)	3.35 (1.15)	3.83 (0.80)
Sweden	50	47.60 (16.85)	5.72 (1.99)	-0.37 (0.30)	0.18 (0.25)	1.82 (1.22)	2.68 (1.62)	2.35 (1.54)	2.06 (1.17)	3.68 (1.09)
Turkey	51	40.42 (12.64)	5.96 (2.06)	-0.36 (0.33)	0.13 (0.26)	3.03 (1.52)	3.27 (1.60)	3.26 (1.68)	2.46 (1.41)	2.68 (1.34)
UK	47	49.62 (16.13)	5.65 (1.78)	-0.39 (0.30)	0.18 (0.26)	2.10 (1.31)	3.00 (1.47)	3.00 (1.52)	2.66 (1.21)	3.58 (1.03)
US	51	48.35 (17.18)	6.21 (2.01)	-0.39 (0.35)	0.21 (0.27)	2.63 (1.65)	3.00 (1.74)	3.11 (1.78)	2.99 (1.33)	3.86 (1.07)

Note. Standard deviations are in parentheses. Suprem. = Supremacy, Trad. Roles = Traditional Domestic Roles, SJ = System Justification.

Section S2: Scatter Plots of Trait Rating and Attitudes Measures in Study 1

Figure S1


Scatter Plots of Sexism and SJ against Communalities by Respondents' Gender



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Lines are fitted with LOWESS.

Figure S2

Scatter Plots of Sexism Measures against Agency by Respondents' Gender



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Lines are fitted with LOWESS.

Section S3: Mean Difference between Communal and Agency Ratings in Studies 1 and 2

The concentration of observations on the negative side of the communal scale and the positive side of the agentic scale in Studies 1 and 2 (Figs. 1–3, S1 and S2, S5 and S6) suggests that communality is more frequently associated with women, while agency is more frequently associated with men. To formally test whether there was a mean difference in agency and communal ratings, in both studies, we reshaped the data to long format and ran a three-level mixed regression (with observations nested within individuals nested within countries) predicting mean response from communal (coded 0) vs. agency (coded as 1) dummy, adjusting for socioeconomic status, age, and gender, similar to the main models. Overall, communality was ascribed more to women whereas and agency was ascribed more to men (Study 1: $M_{communal} = -0.33$, $SD = 0.33$; $M_{agentic} = 0.24$, $SD = 0.31$; $b = 0.57$, $SE = 0.01$, $p < .001$; Study 2: $M_{communal} = 2.82$, $SD = 1.15$, $M_{agentic} = 4.71$, $SD = 1.17$, $b = 1.90$, $SE = 0.01$, $p < .001$).

Section S4: By Country Spline Models in Study 1

In all of the countries, above and beyond agency ratings, ascribing communal traits equally to men and women (vs. more to women) was either positively related or unrelated to sexist measures and system justification, except for a slight negative association between stereotypes and system justification in China (Tables S2–S4). In turn, ascribing communal traits to men (vs. equally to men and women) was

positively related to sexism overall, with this effect being either zero or positive in all of the countries (Tables S2–S4).

Table S2*By Country Spline Models for Men's Supremacy and Modern Sexism*

	Men's Supremacy				Modern Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Brazil	0.47 (0.28)	4.23 (0.84)***	-1.53 (0.67)*	0.15 (0.39)	0.94 (0.3)**	4.39 (0.92)***	-2.70 (0.73)***	0.81 (0.43)
China	1.30 (0.28)***	0.37 (0.53)	-1.36 (0.52)**	0.78 (0.28)**	0.58 (0.29)*	0.22 (0.55)	-0.57 (0.55)	0.05 (0.29)
Egypt	0.75 (0.27)**	-1.19 (0.78)	-0.21 (0.7)	0.85 (0.3)**	0.56 (0.29)	0.16 (0.84)	-0.43 (0.75)	-0.27 (0.33)
Hungary	0.39 (0.24)	2.27 (0.82)**	-1.24 (0.81)	0.99 (0.31)**	0.41 (0.27)	0.42 (0.95)	-1.34 (0.94)	0.99 (0.37)**
India	1.11 (0.3)***	0.28 (0.61)	-1.58 (0.53)**	-0.12 (0.36)	1.32 (0.33)***	-0.38 (0.67)	-1.55 (0.58)**	-0.21 (0.40)
Indonesia	0.50 (0.37)	0.76 (0.54)	-0.89 (0.92)	0.40 (0.29)	0.22 (0.39)	1.56 (0.58)**	-2.25 (0.99)*	0.03 (0.32)
Japan	-0.21 (0.24)	0.61 (0.42)	-0.32 (0.47)	0.19 (0.25)	0.39 (0.24)	-0.26 (0.43)	-0.82 (0.48)	0.43 (0.25)
Russia	0.26 (0.27)	-0.81 (0.85)	-0.75 (0.82)	0.73 (0.26)**	-0.35 (0.3)	-0.67 (0.96)	1.18 (0.90)	0.16 (0.29)
Saudi Arabia	0.10 (0.29)	0.01 (0.76)	-0.20 (0.66)	0.75 (0.3)*	0.35 (0.31)	0.70 (0.81)	-1.24 (0.71)	0.24 (0.32)
S. Korea	0.91 (0.27)***	1.56 (0.67)*	-2.33 (0.84)**	1.12 (0.25)***	1.12 (0.28)***	2.08 (0.7)**	-2.27 (0.83)**	0.99 (0.26)***
Sweden	0.52 (0.21)*	3.62 (0.99)***	-3.09 (0.69)***	1.06 (0.29)***	0.72 (0.29)*	3.59 (1.37)**	-2.09 (0.99)*	1.57 (0.4)***
Turkey	0.69 (0.25)**	0.21 (0.81)	-1.44 (0.59)*	0.71 (0.36)*	0.32 (0.27)	1.87 (0.88)*	-1.14 (0.64)	-0.59 (0.38)
UK	0.49 (0.26)	3.80 (1.2)**	-2.68 (1.03)**	0.71 (0.33)*	0.48 (0.29)	1.38 (1.33)	-2.59 (1.14)*	1.03 (0.37)**
USA	1.18 (0.26)***	3.94 (0.95)***	-4.95 (0.95)***	1.55 (0.34)***	1.09 (0.28)***	3.04 (1.02)**	-4.13 (1.02)***	1.48 (0.37)***

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table S3*By Country Spline Models for Reverse Sexism and Traditional Domestic Roles*

	Reverse Sexism				Traditional Domestic Roles			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Brazil	0.74 (0.28)**	4.21 (0.86)***	-2.65 (0.68)***	0.55 (0.4)	0.23 (0.24)	2.71 (0.73)***	-1.62 (0.58)**	0.10 (0.34)
China	1.31 (0.29)***	1.01 (0.55)	-1.21 (0.54)*	0.29 (0.29)	0.88 (0.22)***	0.24 (0.42)	-0.78 (0.42)	0.44 (0.22)*
Egypt	1.10 (0.28)***	-1.38 (0.8)	-1.61 (0.72)*	0.34 (0.31)	0.45 (0.21)*	0.01 (0.62)	-0.83 (0.55)	0.39 (0.24)
Hungary	0.48 (0.26)	3.13 (0.89)***	-0.83 (0.89)	0.54 (0.34)	0.12 (0.21)	1.23 (0.74)	-0.83 (0.73)	1.05 (0.28)***
India	1.12 (0.27)***	0.02 (0.55)	-1.13 (0.48)*	-0.33 (0.33)	0.42 (0.23)	0.70 (0.47)	-1.50 (0.4)***	0.11 (0.28)
Indonesia	0.13 (0.41)	1.58 (0.60)**	-1.78 (1.03)	0.13 (0.33)	-0.28 (0.25)	0.73 (0.37)	-0.41 (0.64)	0.01 (0.20)
Japan	-0.03 (0.23)	0.37 (0.40)	0.16 (0.45)	-0.09 (0.24)	-0.01 (0.20)	0.15 (0.35)	-0.19 (0.40)	0.35 (0.21)
Russia	0.23 (0.28)	-0.01 (0.92)	-0.53 (0.86)	0.16 (0.27)	-0.31 (0.23)	0.19 (0.74)	-0.92 (0.70)	0.36 (0.22)
Saudi Arabia	0.49 (0.32)	1.63 (0.85)	-1.16 (0.74)	0.09 (0.34)	0.38 (0.22)	0.29 (0.57)	-0.56 (0.49)	0.37 (0.22)
S. Korea	0.97 (0.26)***	0.14 (0.63)	-0.94 (0.75)	1.03 (0.23)***	0.50 (0.23)*	1.13 (0.56)*	-1.56 (0.71)*	0.69 (0.21)***
Sweden	0.66 (0.27)*	3.12 (1.26)*	-3.37 (0.88)***	1.54 (0.37)***	0.10 (0.21)	3.97 (0.98)***	-1.87 (0.69)**	0.99 (0.28)***
Turkey	0.73 (0.27)**	-0.16 (0.89)	-1.59 (0.65)*	0.21 (0.39)	0.63 (0.23)**	0.58 (0.76)	-1.66 (0.55)**	0.74 (0.33)*
UK	0.30 (0.29)	2.34 (1.33)	-2.90 (1.14)*	0.98 (0.37)**	-0.12 (0.25)	2.70 (1.12)*	-2.87 (0.96)**	0.41 (0.31)
USA	1.09 (0.29)***	3.32 (1.05)**	-3.53 (1.05)***	1.62 (0.38)***	0.67 (0.22)**	3.16 (0.81)***	-2.88 (0.81)***	1.32 (0.29)***

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table S4*By Country Spline Models for System Justification*

	System Justification			
	Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2
Brazil	0.34 (0.2)	2.15 (0.61)***	-0.44 (0.49)	-0.32 (0.29)
China	-0.33 (0.17)*	-0.41 (0.32)	0.33 (0.32)	-0.01 (0.17)
Egypt	1.04 (0.25)***	-0.92 (0.72)	-0.68 (0.65)	-0.58 (0.28)*
Hungary	0.34 (0.23)	1.06 (0.79)	-0.74 (0.78)	0.40 (0.3)
India	0.27 (0.15)	0.27 (0.31)	-0.10(0.27)	-0.21 (0.18)
Indonesia	0.16 (0.2)	0.16 (0.29)	0.22 (0.5)	-0.09 (0.16)
Japan	0.2 (0.16)	0.13 (0.27)	-0.16 (0.31)	0.12 (0.16)
Russia	0.26 (0.23)	-0.51 (0.72)	-0.54 (0.7)	-0.09 (0.22)
Saudi Arabia	0.08 (0.21)	-0.19 (0.56)	0.27 (0.49)	-0.21 (0.22)
S. Korea	0.38 (0.16)*	0.25 (0.4)	-0.39 (0.47)	0.14 (0.15)
Sweden	0.01 (0.19)	0.95 (0.92)	-0.65 (0.64)	-0.36 (0.27)
Turkey	0.40 (0.22)	0.14 (0.71)	-1.46 (0.51)**	0.25 (0.31)
UK	-0.02 (0.2)	1.19 (0.92)	-0.25 (0.79)	0.15 (0.25)
USA	0.48 (0.17)**	1.47 (0.63)*	-1.39 (0.63)*	0.61 (0.23)**

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Section S5: By Individual Traits Models in Study 1

As described in the main manuscript, for each trait, participants could choose between “More true for women,” “Equally true for both men and women,” and “More true for men.” We ran mixed models predicting each outcome from each communal trait (dummy coded with “Equally true for both men and women” as the base category), adjusting for the agency

composite (both linear and quadratic terms), gender, social status, and age, and specifying a random intercept for countries. Fig. S3 shows predicted margin plots from these models as a function of communal traits. For most of the outcomes and most communal traits, those who described the trait as “more true of women” scored either less or no different than those who described the trait as “equally true of men and women” on sexist attitudes (with effects ranging from $b = 0.205$, $SE = 0.039$, $p < .001$, nurturing on men’s supremacy to $b = -0.002$, $SE = 0.031$, $p = .952$, handle people on men’s supremacy).

There were a few exceptions, with those who viewed the traits impressionable, emotional, handle people, and sensitive as more true of women (vs. equally true of both men and women) scored higher on some of the sexism outcomes: impressionable (male supremacy, $b = -0.197$, $SE = .040$, $p < .001$; denial of sexism, $b = -0.165$, $SE = 0.035$, $p < .001$; reverse sexism, $b = -0.151$, $SE = 0.042$, $p < .001$; traditional domestic roles, $b = -0.178$, $SE = 0.033$, $p < .001$), emotional (reverse sexism, $b = -0.142$, $SE = 0.035$, $p < .001$; modern sexism, $b = -0.110$, $SE = 0.042$, $p = .008$; traditional domestic roles, $b = -0.178$, $SE = 0.033$, $p < .001$), and handle people (traditional domestic roles, $b = -0.082$, $SE = 0.035$, $p = .020$) and sensitive (gender roles, $b = -0.094$, $SE = 0.033$, $p = .004$). For all outcomes and traits, those who rated the trait as more true of men vs. equally true of men and women, scored lower or no different on all of the sexism measures (with effects ranging from $b = 0.728$, $SE = 0.066$, $p < .001$, unselfish on reverse sexism to $b = 0.016$, $SE = 0.0483$, $p = .742$, impressionable on system justification).


We repeated a similar analysis for each agency trait, this time adding communal linear and quadratic terms as covariates. For all outcomes and all traits, those who rated the

trait as “more true of women” scored higher or no different than those who rated it as “equally true of men and women.” Moreover, those who rated the trait as “more true of men” scored either the same or higher than those who rated the trait as “equally true of men and women” on all the outcomes, except for SJ (regressed on make decision) and traditional domestic roles (regressed on stubborn), for which this was reversed.






Figure S3

Men's Supremacy, Denial of Sexism, Reverse Sexism, Traditional Domestic Roles, and System Justification as a Function of Communal Traits






Able to handle people well




Affectionate








Compassionate








Nurturing








Patient








Sensitive








Unselfish




Polite



Emotional



Impressionable




Note. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Section S6: Study 1 Interaction Plots

Figure S4

Interaction of Communality and Agency Ratings on Men's Supremacy (A), Denial of Sexism (B), Reverse Sexism (C), Traditional Domestic Roles (D), and System Justification (E)



Note. Error bands indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Section S7: Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables in Study 2

Table S5
Sample Characteristics and Descriptives of Focal Variables Study 2

	Trait Ratings						Sexist Attitudes		
	Woman %	NB %	Age	SES	Commun.	Agentic	BS	HS	Sexism Denial
Albania	38.4	2.1	23.03 (4.91)	6.20 (2.06)	2.83 (0.96)	4.84 (1.19)	3.42 (1.14)	2.57 (1.35)	2.74 (1.94)
Argentina	47.3	2.6	32.28 (12.28)	4.80 (1.85)	2.85 (0.89)	4.97 (1.09)	2.02 (1.30)	1.46 (1.39)	3.03 (2.17)
Armenia	56.1	3.1	20.02 (1.92)	5.89 (1.87)	3.56 (0.89)	4.98 (0.95)	3.73 (1.13)	2.65 (1.22)	4.21 (1.99)
Australia	34.4	1.8	29.94 (11.26)	5.63 (1.81)	2.64 (1.41)	4.83 (1.17)	1.98 (1.00)	1.38 (1.08)	3.61 (2.00)
Belgium	47.2	1.7	21.60 (6.08)	6.27 (1.53)	3.05 (1.15)	4.50 (0.97)	2.38 (1.18)	1.34 (1.04)	2.90 (1.62)
Bosnia Herz.	48.3	2.0	23.01 (5.88)	5.54 (1.64)	3.02 (1.12)	4.73 (1.11)	3.63 (1.02)	2.77 (1.31)	3.33 (1.83)
Brazil	31.7	1.8	23.99 (7.65)	5.37 (2.02)	2.46 (1.31)	5.20 (1.41)	2.23 (1.27)	1.17 (1.16)	2.33 (1.79)
Canada	30.9	0.5	19.87 (3.25)	6.31 (1.54)	2.48 (1.27)	3.47 (1.88)	2.07 (1.07)	1.28 (1.02)	2.92 (1.56)
Chile	34.2	2.6	21.70 (5.09)	5.09 (1.60)	2.62 (0.96)	4.69 (1.04)	1.94 (1.29)	1.28 (1.19)	2.29 (1.74)
China	34.5	1.3	19.47 (1.96)	5.20 (1.48)	3.13 (0.95)	4.72 (0.78)	2.03 (0.73)	1.60 (0.74)	3.51 (1.60)
Colombia	38.5	2.7	21.48 (4.94)	5.03 (1.80)	2.72 (0.88)	4.91 (1.06)	2.27 (1.32)	1.50 (1.22)	3.17 (1.95)
Croatia	22.1	1.8	23.12 (5.84)	5.48 (1.43)	2.55 (1.00)	4.90 (1.05)	3.04 (1.12)	1.80 (1.32)	3.24 (1.66)
Czechia	73.4	0.7	28.06 (8.53)	6.49 (1.52)	3.06 (1.01)	4.77 (1.00)	2.98 (1.14)	1.98 (1.15)	4.23 (1.90)
Denmark	39.2	0.8	25.43 (4.74)	6.40 (1.78)	2.76 (0.88)	4.75 (0.84)	1.75 (1.07)	1.58 (1.18)	4.12 (1.67)
England	39.3	2.1	22.30 (7.53)	5.48 (1.87)	2.80 (1.29)	4.70 (1.09)	2.02 (1.18)	1.48 (1.15)	3.23 (1.69)
Finland	10.9	8.8	26.42 (7.32)	5.34 (1.79)	2.53 (0.91)	4.94 (1.02)	1.26 (0.98)	0.77 (0.92)	3.47 (1.69)
France	17.4	3.5	22.28 (6.69)	5.29 (1.69)	3.02 (1.32)	4.54 (1.09)	2.09 (1.29)	0.98 (1.01)	2.32 (1.47)

	Trait Ratings						Sexist Attitudes		
	Woman %	NB %	Age	SES	Commun.	Agentic	BS	HS	Sexism Denial
Georgia	49.5	3.0	21.66 (3.42)	5.18 (1.64)	3.17 (0.91)	4.54 (1.09)	2.72 (1.27)	2.11 (1.21)	3.66 (1.98)
Germany	35.8	1.8	29.80 (10.43)	6.19 (1.73)	2.52 (0.92)	4.92 (0.88)	1.87 (1.13)	1.41 (1.12)	3.04 (1.66)
Ghana	37.2	3.9	20.18 (2.57)	5.50 (1.99)	2.43 (1.26)	5.25 (1.28)	3.86 (1.00)	2.95 (1.41)	3.93 (2.26)
Greece	27.1	2.4	26.42 (9.08)	5.71 (1.58)	3.00 (0.89)	4.65 (0.92)	2.44 (1.18)	1.63 (1.11)	3.20 (1.65)
Hungary	18.9	0.9	22.34 (4.27)	5.73 (1.44)	2.56 (0.87)	2.83 (0.83)	2.72 (1.22)	1.66 (1.13)	3.18 (1.66)
India	38.2	1.6	22.06 (4.99)	6.16 (1.72)	2.98 (1.20)	4.62 (1.03)	3.07 (1.07)	1.97 (1.01)	2.69 (1.58)
Indonesia	44.5	5.2	21.02 (3.73)	6.44 (1.48)	3.14 (1.09)	5.36 (0.89)	2.90 (1.24)	2.90 (1.12)	3.77 (1.77)
Iran	40.9	1.1	28.95 (8.17)	5.92 (1.58)	2.97 (1.06)	5.01 (1.01)	3.28 (0.91)	2.15 (1.28)	2.62 (1.69)
Ireland	46.0	1.1	19.83 (3.68)	5.87 (1.65)	2.69 (1.19)	4.76 (1.07)	2.26 (1.14)	1.91 (1.27)	3.67 (1.89)
Italy	33.6	1.2	22.81 (5.31)	5.72 (1.60)	2.97 (1.16)	4.66 (1.11)	2.29 (1.31)	1.31 (1.15)	2.76 (1.70)
Japan	39.6	2.8	21.65 (3.66)	5.75 (1.61)	2.95 (0.80)	4.66 (0.78)	2.56 (1.03)	1.78 (1.00)	2.99 (1.66)
Kazakhstan	42.7	2.3	20.22 (3.82)	5.54 (1.62)	3.33 (0.97)	4.90 (1.03)	3.39 (1.09)	2.52 (1.26)	4.20 (1.97)
Kosovo	39.5	1.4	20.25 (3.84)	5.81 (1.86)	2.52 (1.08)	4.83 (1.17)	3.06 (1.31)	1.96 (1.40)	2.70 (1.74)
Lebanon	28.9	1.6	19.60 (0.85)	5.24 (1.66)	2.79 (1.18)	4.76 (1.07)	2.67 (1.21)	1.87 (1.17)	2.32 (1.66)
Lithuania	32.1	2.2	23.84 (6.73)	5.31 (1.75)	2.82 (0.96)	4.90 (1.01)	2.90 (1.15)	2.17 (1.31)	3.77 (1.87)
Luxembourg	34.3	2.2	24.61 (5.43)	6.47 (1.64)	2.53 (0.95)	4.96 (0.83)	1.86 (0.97)	1.11 (0.88)	3.21 (1.69)
Malta	34.7	1.5	26.94 (10.14)	5.86 (1.63)	2.52 (1.18)	4.87 (1.04)	2.30 (1.25)	1.69 (1.24)	3.10 (1.72)
Mexico	46.3	1.2	23.68 (8.92)	6.72 (1.65)	3.09 (1.14)	4.84 (1.30)	2.66 (1.28)	1.89 (1.33)	2.88 (1.73)
Morocco	45.2	2.3	29.05 (9.70)	6.19 (2.12)	2.86 (0.96)	4.34 (1.31)	2.85 (1.18)	2.27 (1.38)	3.31 (1.93)

	Trait Ratings						Sexist Attitudes		
	Woman %	NB %	Age	SES	Commun.	Agentic	BS	HS	Sexism Denial
Nepal	36.9	1.8	22.42 (5.93)	5.35 (1.63)	2.90 (1.01)	4.85 (1.07)	3.03 (1.05)	2.17 (1.11)	3.12 (1.80)
Netherlands	32.7	0.7	20.65 (3.40)	6.76 (1.44)	2.83 (0.94)	4.80 (0.89)	2.33 (1.02)	1.51 (0.99)	3.72 (1.56)
New Zealand	29.2	0.5	19.01 (2.33)	6.30 (1.60)	2.32 (0.89)	4.71 (0.90)	2.15 (1.02)	1.25 (1.01)	3.21 (1.53)
Nigeria	41.6	3.5	21.12 (3.14)	4.98 (2.17)	2.94 (1.45)	5.17 (1.30)	4.06 (0.97)	3.22 (1.31)	2.95 (2.24)
N. Ireland	38.1	1.0	22.11 (5.57)	5.43 (1.65)	2.66 (1.36)	4.88 (1.13)	1.90 (1.18)	1.36 (1.23)	3.25 (1.85)
Norway	44.1	1.0	23.08 (4.09)	5.23 (1.83)	2.68 (0.91)	4.74 (0.75)	1.43 (0.97)	1.34 (1.05)	4.13 (1.82)
Pakistan	45.7	1.7	22.05 (3.73)	6.01 (1.94)	3.19 (1.30)	5.09 (1.12)	3.36 (1.08)	2.69 (1.18)	3.22 (1.84)
Philippines	47.0	4.6	19.78 (2.00)	5.99 (1.46)	2.85 (1.34)	4.71 (1.14)	2.56 (1.17)	1.66 (1.22)	2.77 (1.71)
Poland	43.2	1.3	22.95 (4.67)	5.12 (1.69)	2.50 (0.81)	5.07 (0.80)	2.72 (1.13)	2.18 (1.25)	4.04 (1.98)
Portugal	18.5	1.2	22.13 (4.90)	5.62 (1.41)	2.94 (1.42)	4.80 (1.23)	2.48 (1.17)	1.09 (0.84)	2.70 (1.49)
Romania	40.9	0.8	22.82 (4.62)	5.78 (1.72)	3.16 (1.04)	4.54 (0.92)	3.12 (1.21)	2.56 (1.28)	3.45 (1.74)
Russia	31.4	4.4	21.82 (6.82)	4.97 (1.54)	3.25 (0.94)	4.76 (0.97)	2.29 (1.31)	1.94 (1.42)	4.20 (1.98)
Serbia	22.9	2.4	22.20 (5.31)	5.90 (1.63)	2.69 (1.07)	4.58 (0.99)	3.09 (1.10)	2.02 (1.36)	3.12 (1.83)
Slovak Rep.	47.6	2.2	21.92 (4.58)	5.44 (1.63)	2.80 (1.06)	4.67 (0.96)	2.95 (1.21)	2.36 (1.27)	4.11 (1.96)
S. Africa	40.1	2.8	20.54 (2.65)	6.36 (2.09)	2.55 (1.37)	4.78 (1.15)	3.30 (1.09)	2.34 (1.13)	2.97 (1.85)
Spain	35.9	2.7	25.68 (8.74)	5.34 (1.65)	3.02 (1.31)	4.74 (1.34)	1.56 (1.12)	0.83 (1.01)	2.60 (1.77)
Suriname	45.6	0.0	22.92 (5.72)	5.38 (1.67)	2.83 (1.23)	4.65 (1.18)	3.12 (1.20)	2.35 (1.20)	3.37 (1.76)
Sweden	47.9	1.8	26.19 (7.35)	6.00 (1.72)	2.57 (1.17)	4.79 (0.99)	1.44 (1.13)	1.08 (1.12)	2.99 (1.89)
Switzerland	35.3	0.9	23.54 (5.45)	6.03 (1.72)	3.06 (1.23)	4.60 (1.01)	2.09 (1.22)	1.28 (1.08)	3.20 (1.69)


	Trait Ratings						Sexist Attitudes		
	Woman %	NB %	Age	SES	Commun.	Agentic	BS	HS	Sexism Denial
Turkey	31.6	2.1	22.23 (4.02)	5.81 (1.66)	2.74 (1.08)	4.78 (1.06)	2.62 (1.38)	1.71 (1.31)	2.75 (1.92)
UEA	33.8	0.4	20.01 (1.47)	6.46 (1.57)	2.76 (1.09)	4.75 (1.06)	2.96 (1.12)	1.97 (1.19)	3.30 (1.95)
USA	30.4	1.7	20.37 (4.41)	5.47 (1.92)	2.73 (1.42)	4.79 (1.25)	2.33 (1.22)	1.62 (1.17)	2.80 (1.74)
Ukraine	34.4	2.5	19.16 (1.43)	5.58 (1.66)	2.95 (0.89)	4.86 (0.95)	3.08 (1.23)	2.23 (1.32)	4.59 (1.87)
Uruguay	38.2	1.0	22.63 (6.52)	6.78 (1.40)	2.63 (0.78)	5.05 (1.00)	2.20 (1.21)	1.22 (1.10)	2.97 (1.79)
Vietnam	25.0	5.1	22.42 (6.69)	4.74 (1.68)	3.20 (0.88)	4.77 (0.87)	3.39 (1.07)	2.39 (1.20)	3.62 (1.82)
Wales	34.7	3.3	30.61 (10.42)	5.04 (1.58)	2.11 (1.14)	5.11 (1.25)	1.67 (1.19)	1.22 (1.27)	NA

Note. Standard deviations are in parentheses. NB = Nonbinary, Commun. = Communal, BS = Benevolent Sexism, HS = Hostile Sexism.

Section S8: Scatter Plots of Trait Ratings and Sexism Measures in Study 2

Figure S5


Scatter Plots of Sexism against Communality by Respondents' Gender



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Lines are fitted with LOWESS.

Figure S6

Scatter Plots of Sexism against Agency by Respondents' Gender



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Lines are fitted with LOWESS.

Section S9: Ambivalent Sexism Composite and Benevolent Sexism Item Analysis

Table S6

Effect of Communal and Agentic Ratings on AS and HS composites, and BS items in Study 2

Term	Protect. Paternalism	Hetero. Intimacy	Gender Diff.	HS	AS
Fixed Effects					
Communal S1	0.032 (0.014)*	-0.044(0.015)**	-0.316(0.013) ***	0.183 (0.010)***	0.036(0.008)***
Communal S2	-0.034(0.025)	0.063(0.028)***	0.309(0.023)***	-0.079 (0.018)***	0.017(0.015)
Agentic S1	0.024(0.022)	0.101(0.024)***	0.086(0.021)***	0.001 (0.016)	0.036(0.014)**
Agentic S2	-0.055(0.012)***	-0.102(0.014)***	-0.079(0.012)***	0.069 (0.009)***	-0.005(0.01)
Woman	-0.384(0.020)***	-0.516 (0.022)***	-0.089(0.018)***	-0.512 (0.014)***	-0.391(0.012)***
Non Binary	-0.737(0.066)***	-0.798(0.073)***	-0.248(0.061)***	-0.559 (0.048)***	-0.559(0.040)***
SES	0.010(0.005)	0.019(0.006)**	0.004(0.005)	0.003 (0.004)	0.007(0.003)*
Age	0.002(0.001)	0.005(0.002)**	-0.010(0.001)***	0.004 (0.001)***	0.001(0.001)
Intercept	2.841(0.141)***	2.527(0.140)***	3.137(0.103)***	1.579(0.091)***	2.210(0.089)***
Random Effects					
Intercept (σ^2)	0.741(0.139)	0.652(0.119)	0.256(0.047)	0.269(0.049)	0.316(0.057)
Residual (σ^2)	2.386(0.019)	2.909(0.024)	2.066(0.017)	1.267(.010)	0.898(0.007)

Note. Protect.: Protective, Hetero. = Heterosexual, Diff. = Differentiation, HS = Hostile Sexism, AS = Ambivalent Sexism. S1 and S2 show splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.


* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Because BS has three distinct subfacets (Zawasza et al., 2025; Glick et al., 2001), each item should also be examined separately. Further, the item “Women, compared to men, tend to have superior moral sensibility,” had a different relation to the communality and agency composite than the other two items (Figs. S7 and S8 and Table S7). This item may more accurately reflect stereotypes of women as moral (a component of communality; Abele et al., 2016), the predictor, rather than benevolent sexism, the outcome, per se. This further suggests that the three items should be treated as separate outcomes in the models. Fig. S7 shows the predicted margins for each BS item as a function of communal rating, adjusting for agency ratings, gender, age, and social status. The results from these models are reported in Table S6 and discussed in more detail in the main manuscript. Spline models for each country with each BS item as a separate outcome are reported in Table S10.

Table S6 also shows the results with the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory (AS, composite of all of the items) as the outcome, which is the analysis we originally preregistered. As predicted, above and beyond agentic ratings, respondent gender, and other covariates, views of communality as equally associated with men and women versus more frequently associated with women predicted *higher* ambivalent sexism. Different from our prediction, views of communality as more frequently associated with men compared to equally associated with men and women were unrelated to the ambivalent sexism composite. Further, AS was positively related to agentic spline 1 and unrelated to agentic spline 2. Fig. S7 also shows the predicted margins for this composite as a function of communal and agency splines, adjusting for gender, age, and social status.

Figure S7


Protective Paternalism, Heterosexual Intimacy, and Gender Differentiation and Ambivalent Sexism Items as a Function of Communality



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Error bands indicate 95% confidence interval

Figure S8

Scatterplot of Benevolent Sexism Items against Communal Ratings (where 1=women are more communal, and 7=men are more communal) in Study 2



Note. Raw observations are jittered to avoid overplotting. Lines are fitted with LOWESS.

Table S7

Bivariate Correlations between Communal and Agentic Ratings, the Three Benevolent Sexism Items (ASI1, 3, and 6), Hostile Sexism, and Denial of Sexism

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Communal	1.00						
2. Agentic	-.38***	1.00					
3. ASI1	.05***	-.03***	1.00				
4. ASI3	.06***	-.01*	.47***	1.00			
5. ASI6	-.08***	.04***	.27***	.29***	1.00		
6. HS	.13***	.03***	.41***	.38***	.23***	1.00	
7. Denial of Sexism	.15***	-.06***	.15***	.10***	-.09***	.31***	1.00

Note. ASI1 = “Women should be cherished and protected by men,” (Protective Paternalism); ASI3 = “Men are incomplete without women,” (Heterosexual Intimacy); ASI6 = “Women, compared to men, tend to have superior moral sensibility,” (Gender Differentiation); HS = Hostile Sexism.

*** $p < .001$. * $p < .05$.

Section S10: By Country Spline Models in Study 2

Looking at individual countries (Table S8 and S9), the effect of spline 1 communal on HS and denial of sexism was either nonsignificant or positive in all countries. The effect of spline 2 communal on HS was either nonsignificant or negative, except in Russia and Turkey, which were positive. The effect of spline 2 communal on denial of sexism was either negative or nonsignificant in all countries, except in China, which was positive.

The effect of spline 1 communal on BS was not as consistent across the countries: It was negative in Albania, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, France, Ghana, Greece, Hungary, Iran, Italy, Japan, Nigeria, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Slovak Republic, Turkey, the US, Ukraine, and Uruguay, consistent with the overall negative trend. In all of the other countries, it was nonsignificant. The effect of communal spline 2 was positive in Belgium, Canada, and Portugal, nonsignificant in all of the other countries, and negative in Denmark.

The effect of spline 1 agentic on HS was positive in Brazil, Canada, Japan, and Spain, negative in Italy, the Netherlands, Pakistan, Romania, and Russia, and nonsignificant in the other countries. The spline 2 agentic effect was either positive or nonsignificant, except for Brazil, Colombia, Italy, and Lebanon, in which it was negative.

The spline 1 agentic effect on denial of sexism was positive in Italy and Lebanon, negative in Morocco and the Netherlands, and nonsignificant in the rest of the countries. The effect of spline 2 agentic on denial of sexism was either negative or nonsignificant, except in Armenia, Albania, Ireland, Norway, and Russia, which was positive.

The effect of spline 1 agentic on BS was either nonsignificant or positive, except in Colombia, Denmark, the Netherlands, Serbia, and Wales, in which it was negative. The effect of spline 2 agentic on BS was either nonsignificant or negative except in Armenia, Kazakhstan, Pakistan, Sweden, and Vietnam, in which it was positive.

Table S8*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent and Hostile Sexism*

	Benevolent Sexism				Hostile Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Albania	-0.21 (0.1)*	-0.29 (0.41)	-0.1 (0.18)	0.12 (0.09)	0.24 (0.11)*	-0.14 (0.45)	0.00 (0.20)	0.49 (0.10)***
Argentina	-0.08 (0.11)	0.46 (0.29)	0.4 (0.27)	-0.26 (0.08)**	0.3 (0.11)**	0.03 (0.3)	-0.06 (0.28)	-0.15 (0.09)
Armenia	0.08 (0.13)	0.1 (0.21)	-0.17 (0.42)	0.39 (0.1)***	-0.03 (0.15)	0.23 (0.23)	0.13 (0.45)	0.33 (0.11)**
Australia	-0.04 (0.06)	0.02 (0.1)	0.11 (0.11)	-0.09 (0.05)	0.25 (0.06)***	-0.32 (0.11)**	-0.16 (0.12)	0.09 (0.06)
Belgium	-0.23 (0.05)***	0.24 (0.08)**	0.21 (0.08)**	-0.26 (0.05)***	0.16 (0.04)***	-0.04 (0.07)	-0.04 (0.07)	0.16 (0.04)***
Bosnia Herz.	-0.33 (0.09)***	-0.31 (0.2)	0.05 (0.19)	0.09 (0.09)	0.09 (0.11)	-0.26 (0.25)	-0.12 (0.24)	0.31 (0.11)**
Brazil	-0.09 (0.06)	0.07 (0.1)	0.19 (0.1)	-0.26 (0.04)***	0.18 (0.05)***	-0.20 (0.09)*	0.21 (0.09)*	-0.17 (0.04)***
Canada	-0.09 (0.06)	0.2 (0.09)*	0.17 (0.04)***	-0.06 (0.06)	0.12 (0.06)*	0.03 (0.08)	0.14 (0.04)***	-0.05 (0.06)
Chile	-0.04 (0.15)	-0.34 (0.58)	-0.37 (0.29)	-0.06 (0.16)	0.03 (0.13)	-0.21 (0.53)	0.04 (0.26)	0.16 (0.14)
China	-0.09 (0.05)	0.2 (0.1)	-0.34 (0.18)	0.1 (0.05)*	0.03 (0.05)	0.05 (0.11)	-0.14 (0.19)	0.13 (0.05)*
Colombia	-0.11 (0.08)	0.33 (0.25)	-0.4 (0.2)*	-0.13 (0.06)*	0.15 (0.07)*	0.24 (0.22)	-0.06 (0.18)	-0.12 (0.06)*
Croatia	-0.12 (0.10)	-0.22 (0.24)	0.04 (0.22)	-0.12 (0.09)	0.13 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.27)	0.22 (0.24)	-0.01 (0.10)
Czechia	-0.16 (0.12)	-0.12 (0.19)	0.00 (0.20)	-0.14 (0.1)	0.32 (0.12)**	-0.23 (0.19)	-0.27 (0.2)	0.20 (0.10)
Denmark	-0.16 (0.13)	-0.7 (0.36)*	-0.67 (0.33)*	0.07 (0.12)	0.09 (0.14)	-0.02 (0.39)	-0.06 (0.37)	0.22 (0.13)
England	-0.06 (0.07)	0.02 (0.13)	-0.04 (0.14)	0.03 (0.07)	0.29 (0.07)***	-0.35 (0.12)**	-0.08 (0.13)	0.16 (0.06)*
Finland	0.05 (0.09)	-0.47 (0.28)	-0.36 (0.26)	0.08 (0.08)	0.22 (0.09)*	-0.22 (0.25)	0.14 (0.24)	0.10 (0.07)
France	-0.26 (0.10)*	0.18 (0.16)	-0.01 (0.18)	-0.11 (0.10)	0.16 (0.08)*	-0.21 (0.13)	-0.04 (0.14)	0.00 (0.08)

	Benevolent Sexism				Hostile Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Georgia	-0.15 (0.15)	0.08 (0.44)	-0.14 (0.24)	0.02 (0.13)	-0.01 (0.14)	0.05 (0.41)	-0.01 (0.22)	-0.03 (0.12)
Germany	-0.12 (0.06)*	0.07 (0.14)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.1 (0.05)	0.12 (0.05)*	-0.4 (0.14)**	-0.2 (0.14)	-0.02 (0.05)
Ghana	-0.14 (0.07)*	0.09 (0.16)	0.07 (0.15)	-0.02 (0.06)	0.19 (0.09)*	-0.08 (0.21)	0.11 (0.2)	0.11 (0.08)
Greece	-0.28 (0.12)*	0.33 (0.37)	0.28 (0.25)	-0.23 (0.12)	0.04 (0.11)	0.4 (0.33)	0.4 (0.22)	-0.13 (0.1)
Hungary	-0.18 (0.09)*	-0.03 (0.28)	0.17 (0.09)	0.32 (0.33)	-0.07 (0.08)	0.34 (0.25)	0.1 (0.08)	-0.23 (0.3)
India	0.05 (0.07)	-0.11 (0.14)	0.05 (0.16)	0.05 (0.08)	0.08 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.13)	0.03 (0.14)	-0.05 (0.07)
Indonesia	0.04 (0.09)	0.2 (0.13)	0.00 (0.63)	0.11 (0.08)	0.11 (0.09)	-0.11 (0.13)	-0.6 (0.66)	0.21 (0.08)*
Iran	-0.22 (0.11)*	0.32 (0.21)	-0.28 (0.21)	-0.06 (0.09)	0.30 (0.13)*	0.09 (0.26)	-0.2 (0.26)	0.25 (0.11)*
Ireland	-0.10 (0.07)	0.18 (0.13)	0.04 (0.13)	-0.11 (0.07)	0.33 (0.08)***	-0.12 (0.13)	-0.02 (0.14)	0.19 (0.07)*
Italy	-0.10 (0.04)*	0.03 (0.08)	0.06 (0.07)	-0.26 (0.04)***	0.08 (0.04)*	-0.17 (0.06)**	-0.13 (0.06)*	-0.12 (0.03)***
Japan	-0.30 (0.11)*	0.22 (0.82)	-0.08 (0.38)	-0.01 (0.13)	0.06 (0.11)	-0.22 (0.79)	0.91 (0.37)*	-0.07 (0.12)
Kazakhstan	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.19 (0.17)	-0.28 (0.18)	0.21 (0.07)**	0.15 (0.10)	0.34 (0.2)	-0.09 (0.21)	0.16 (0.09)
Kosovo	-0.08 (0.09)	0.08 (0.26)	-0.13 (0.19)	0.04 (0.08)	0.32 (0.08)***	-0.04 (0.25)	0.21 (0.18)	0.11 (0.08)
Lebanon	0.07 (0.16)	-0.47 (0.36)	-0.61 (0.38)	0.00 (0.14)	0.32 (0.14)*	-0.35 (0.32)	0.28 (0.34)	-0.27 (0.13)*
Lithuania	-0.15 (0.1)	0.18 (0.38)	0.20 (0.33)	0.04 (0.09)	0.28 (0.11)**	-0.15 (0.4)	-0.40 (0.34)	0.5 (0.10)***
Luxembourg	0.01 (0.11)	0.17 (0.3)	-0.74 (0.51)	0.02 (0.11)	0.26 (0.1)**	0.02 (0.27)	-0.40 (0.46)	0.2 (0.1)
Malta	-0.14 (0.12)	-0.43 (0.31)	-0.15 (0.35)	-0.22 (0.11)	0.36 (0.12)**	-0.15 (0.29)	-0.07 (0.33)	0.15 (0.1)
Mexico	0.1 (0.13)	0.03 (0.24)	0.05 (0.18)	-0.01 (0.09)	0.3 (0.13)*	-0.31 (0.24)	-0.19 (0.18)	0.06 (0.09)
Morocco	-0.07 (0.11)	0.16 (0.2)	0.6 (0.11)***	0.06 (0.09)	0.13 (0.13)	0 (0.25)	-0.18 (0.14)	0 (0.11)
Nepal	-0.11 (0.11)	-0.05 (0.26)	0.34 (0.21)	0.08 (0.11)	0.28 (0.12)*	-0.32 (0.27)	0.22 (0.21)	0.14 (0.11)

	Benevolent Sexism				Hostile Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Netherlands	-0.04 (0.07)	-0.22 (0.15)	-0.32 (0.14)*	0.08 (0.06)	0.36 (0.06)***	-0.65 (0.14)***	-0.67 (0.13)***	0.3 (0.06)***
New Zealand	-0.04 (0.1)	0.63 (1.11)	-0.55 (0.38)	-0.16 (0.1)	0.19 (0.1)	1.89 (1.08)	0.35 (0.37)	-0.08 (0.1)
Nigeria	-0.19 (0.05)***	0.16 (0.09)	-0.03 (0.11)	0.09 (0.05)	0.12 (0.07)	-0.03 (0.13)	-0.11 (0.14)	0.25 (0.07)***
N. Ireland	-0.1 (0.1)	0.25 (0.19)	-0.04 (0.24)	0.1 (0.09)	0.38 (0.1)***	-0.25 (0.2)	-0.21 (0.24)	0.18 (0.09)
Norway	-0.11 (0.11)	0.36 (0.25)	0.7 (0.33)*	0.1 (0.12)	0.3 (0.12)*	-0.1 (0.28)	0.29 (0.37)	0.18 (0.14)
Pakistan	0.02 (0.07)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.3 (0.17)	0.15 (0.07)*	0.15 (0.08)*	0.11 (0.13)	-0.39 (0.18)*	0.23 (0.07)**
Philippines	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.11 (0.14)	0.05 (0.16)	-0.14 (0.07)	0.33 (0.08)***	-0.48 (0.14)***	-0.27 (0.16)	0.04 (0.08)
Poland	-0.25 (0.06)***	-0.23 (0.23)	-0.44 (0.24)	-0.03 (0.06)	0.14 (0.07)	-0.27 (0.25)	-0.32 (0.26)	0.22 (0.07)**
Portugal	-0.36 (0.15)*	0.6 (0.24)*	0.29 (0.3)	-0.16 (0.13)	-0.04 (0.11)	0.35 (0.17)*	0.19 (0.21)	-0.13 (0.09)
Romania	0.01 (0.11)	0.13 (0.24)	-0.23 (0.26)	0.14 (0.12)	0.34 (0.11)**	-0.26 (0.24)	-0.76 (0.26)**	0.51 (0.11)***
Russia	-0.26 (0.07)***	0.22 (0.18)	-0.07 (0.17)	0.12 (0.07)	0.12 (0.07)	0.82 (0.18)***	-0.4 (0.18)*	0.33 (0.07)***
Serbia	-0.11 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.13)	-0.31 (0.12)*	-0.2 (0.06)**	0.34 (0.07)***	-0.29 (0.15)	0.11 (0.15)	0.01 (0.08)
Slovak Rep.	-0.31 (0.08)***	0.15 (0.17)	-0.01 (0.16)	-0.08 (0.08)	0.1 (0.08)	-0.02 (0.17)	-0.14 (0.16)	0.04 (0.08)
S. Africa	-0.05 (0.09)	-0.04 (0.14)	-0.13 (0.15)	0.03 (0.08)	0.23 (0.09)**	-0.33 (0.14)*	-0.11 (0.15)	-0.06 (0.08)
Spain	-0.06 (0.06)	0.12 (0.09)	0.19 (0.08)*	-0.16 (0.04)***	0.24 (0.05)***	-0.07 (0.08)	0.17 (0.07)*	-0.05 (0.04)
Suriname	0.18 (0.13)	-0.38 (0.24)	-0.14 (0.19)	0.01 (0.12)	0.21 (0.12)	-0.27 (0.23)	-0.36 (0.19)	0.01 (0.11)
Sweden	0.05 (0.07)	-0.07 (0.13)	-0.15 (0.14)	0.22 (0.07)**	0.46 (0.07)***	-0.52 (0.12)***	-0.23 (0.13)	0.32 (0.06)***
Switzerland	-0.02 (0.08)	0.23 (0.13)	0.37 (0.15)*	-0.07 (0.09)	0.05 (0.08)	0.04 (0.12)	0.26 (0.14)	-0.09 (0.08)
Turkey	-0.15 (0.05)**	0.21 (0.12)	-0.16 (0.12)	-0.09 (0.05)*	-0.01 (0.05)	0.31 (0.11)**	0.19 (0.11)	0.03 (0.04)
UEA	0.03 (0.08)	0.06 (0.16)	-0.06 (0.15)	0 (0.07)	0.3 (0.08)***	-0.06 (0.17)	0.08 (0.16)	0.17 (0.07)*

	Benevolent Sexism				Hostile Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
USA	-0.17 (0.06)**	0.30 (0.1)**	0.15 (0.1)	-0.16 (0.06)**	0.16 (0.06)**	0.02 (0.09)	0.12 (0.09)	-0.1 (0.06)
Ukraine	-0.25 (0.12)*	-0.06 (0.3)	-0.41 (0.24)	0.10 (0.11)	0.22 (0.12)	-0.56 (0.3)	-0.16 (0.24)	0.42 (0.11)***
Uruguay	-0.35 (0.16)*	0.07 (0.93)	-0.05 (0.63)	-0.14 (0.11)	0.2 (0.14)	-0.36 (0.82)	0.08 (0.56)	-0.03 (0.1)
Vietnam	-0.08 (0.09)	-0.14 (0.21)	-0.43 (0.22)	0.21 (0.09)*	0.12 (0.11)	0.1 (0.24)	0.07 (0.25)	0.24 (0.1)*
Wales	0.09 (0.11)	-0.85 (0.45)	-0.86 (0.25)***	0.17 (0.1)	0.22 (0.12)	-0.53 (0.5)	-0.09 (0.27)	0.17 (0.11)

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table S9*By Country Spline Models for Denial of Sexism*

	Denial of Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2
Albania	0.5 (0.15)**	-0.43 (0.63)	-0.49 (0.28)	0.30 (0.13)*
Argentina	0.65 (0.17)***	0.4 (0.45)	0.14 (0.42)	-0.24 (0.13)
Armenia	-0.25 (0.23)	-0.17 (0.36)	0.57 (0.72)	0.45 (0.18)*
Australia	0.29 (0.12)*	-0.35 (0.2)	0.1 (0.22)	-0.01 (0.11)
Belgium	0.33 (0.07)***	-0.26 (0.11)*	-0.02 (0.11)	0.05 (0.06)
Bosnia Herz.	0.30 (0.17)	0.03 (0.38)	-0.67 (0.36)	0.12 (0.17)
Brazil	0.27 (0.08)***	-0.49 (0.15)***	0.08 (0.15)	-0.22 (0.06)***
Canada	0.25 (0.09)**	-0.30 (0.12)*	0.01 (0.06)	-0.18 (0.09)*
Chile	0.29 (0.21)	-0.40 (0.83)	-0.1 (0.41)	-0.23 (0.23)
China	0.05 (0.11)	0.54 (0.21)*	-0.07 (0.37)	-0.21 (0.11)*
Colombia	0.17 (0.12)	0.37 (0.37)	0.12 (0.3)	-0.28 (0.1)**
Croatia	0.50 (0.14)***	-0.16 (0.35)	0.23 (0.32)	0.02 (0.13)
Czechia	0.69 (0.19)***	-0.44 (0.32)	0.13 (0.32)	0.30 (0.17)
Denmark	0.55 (0.21)*	-0.03 (0.61)	0.16 (0.57)	0.07 (0.2)
England	0.46 (0.1)***	-0.57 (0.18)**	0.16 (0.19)	0.02 (0.09)
Finland	0.22 (0.16)	-0.62 (0.47)	-0.26 (0.45)	-0.24 (0.14)
France	0.44 (0.11)***	-0.51 (0.18)**	-0.22 (0.2)	-0.04 (0.11)
Georgia	0.26 (0.22)	-0.40 (0.65)	-0.18 (0.35)	0 (0.19)
Germany	0.36 (0.08)***	-0.55 (0.20)**	-0.26 (0.21)	-0.13 (0.08)
Ghana	0.22 (0.15)	-0.22 (0.36)	0.33 (0.34)	-0.18 (0.14)
Greece	0.44 (0.16)**	-0.25 (0.49)	-0.58 (0.33)	-0.20 (0.16)
Hungary	0.27 (0.11)*	-0.51 (0.36)	0.16 (0.12)	0.19 (0.42)
India	0.30 (0.11)**	-0.28 (0.21)	0.19 (0.23)	-0.16 (0.12)
Indonesia	0.03 (0.17)	-0.11 (0.24)	1.21 (1.21)	-0.14 (0.15)

	Denial of Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2
Iran	0.72 (0.18)***	-0.53 (0.36)	0.31 (0.4)	0.22 (0.15)
Ireland	0.48 (0.11)***	-0.38 (0.2)	-0.08 (0.2)	0.26 (0.11)*
Italy	0.32 (0.05)***	-0.24 (0.1)*	0.23 (0.09)*	-0.28 (0.05)***
Japan	0.25 (0.18)	0.76 (1.3)	0.73 (0.6)	-0.24 (0.2)
Kazakhstan	0.14 (0.16)	0.04 (0.32)	0.38 (0.34)	-0.01 (0.14)
Kosovo	0.4 (0.11)***	0.25 (0.33)	-0.03 (0.24)	-0.08 (0.1)
Lebanon	0.64 (0.2)**	0.11 (0.45)	1.44 (0.47)**	-0.22 (0.18)
Lithuania	0.42 (0.15)**	-0.12 (0.56)	0.27 (0.47)	0.00 (0.14)
Luxembourg	0.57 (0.18)**	-0.20 (0.51)	-1.11 (0.86)	0.37 (0.19)
Malta	0.39 (0.16)*	-0.13 (0.4)	-0.03 (0.45)	-0.08 (0.14)
Mexico	0.53 (0.17)**	-0.05 (0.32)	0.42 (0.25)	-0.06 (0.12)
Morocco	0.14 (0.17)	-0.67 (0.32)*	-0.79 (0.18)***	-0.02 (0.15)
Nepal	0.35 (0.19)	-0.70 (0.44)	0.34 (0.34)	-0.25 (0.18)
Netherlands	0.55 (0.1)***	-0.79 (0.22)***	-0.52 (0.21)*	0.16 (0.09)
New Zealand	0.46 (0.14)***	-3.59 (1.53)*	-0.16 (0.53)	-0.05 (0.14)
Nigeria	0.11 (0.12)	-0.29 (0.21)	0.30 (0.24)	-0.31 (0.12)**
N. Ireland	0.85 (0.15)***	-0.9 (0.29)**	-0.50 (0.35)	0.00 (0.14)
Norway	0.63 (0.2)**	-0.02 (0.44)	-0.04 (0.58)	0.57 (0.22)**
Pakistan	0.36 (0.13)**	-0.15 (0.21)	0.01 (0.29)	-0.03 (0.12)
Philippines	0.54 (0.11)***	-0.47 (0.19)*	0.18 (0.22)	-0.13 (0.10)
Poland	0.55 (0.1)***	0.12 (0.37)	-0.11 (0.39)	0.14 (0.10)
Portugal	0.14 (0.18)	-0.37 (0.30)	-0.06 (0.37)	-0.47 (0.16)**
Romania	0.15 (0.16)	0.31 (0.33)	0.00 (0.36)	-0.05 (0.16)
Russia	0.56 (0.11)***	0.32 (0.26)	-0.29 (0.26)	0.38 (0.10)***
Serbia	0.51 (0.1)***	-0.47 (0.21)*	0.31 (0.20)	0.00 (0.10)
Slovak Rep.	0.5 (0.12)***	-0.39 (0.25)	0.00 (0.24)	-0.01 (0.12)

	Denial of Sexism			
	Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2
South Africa	0.54 (0.14)***	-0.49 (0.23)*	0.16 (0.25)	-0.23 (0.13)
Spain	0.47 (0.09)***	-0.51 (0.14)***	0.20 (0.12)	-0.24 (0.07)***
Suriname	0.41 (0.18)*	-0.50 (0.33)	0.29 (0.27)	-0.25 (0.16)
Sweden	0.76 (0.10)***	-0.84 (0.20)***	-0.40 (0.22)	0.26 (0.1)*
Switzerland	0.17 (0.12)	-0.31 (0.18)	0.34 (0.21)	-0.2 (0.13)
Turkey	0.21 (0.07)**	-0.17 (0.17)	0.05 (0.16)	-0.05 (0.06)
UEA	0.52 (0.13)***	-1.28 (0.27)***	-0.39 (0.25)	-0.21 (0.11)
USA	0.41 (0.09)***	-0.33 (0.13)*	0.17 (0.13)	-0.2 (0.08)*
Ukraine	0.26 (0.18)	-1.17 (0.45)*	-0.44 (0.36)	0.04 (0.17)
Uruguay	0.57 (0.24)*	-0.14 (1.38)	-0.53 (0.95)	-0.03 (0.17)
Vietnam	0.18 (0.16)	-0.23 (0.35)	-0.04 (0.37)	-0.31 (0.15)*
Wales	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Albania	0.17 (0.16)	-0.91 (0.66)	-0.5 (0.29)	0.37 (0.14)**	-0.38 (0.15)*	-0.6 (0.6)	0.18 (0.26)	-0.17 (0.13)	-0.41 (0.12)***	0.64 (0.49)	0.01 (0.22)	0.16 (0.1)
Argentina	0.11 (0.16)	0.47 (0.42)	0.75 (0.39)	-0.28 (0.12)*	0.02 (0.15)	0.44 (0.38)	0.22 (0.35)	-0.23 (0.11)*	-0.38 (0.15)*	0.49 (0.39)	0.23 (0.36)	-0.26 (0.11)*
Armenia	0.18 (0.16)	-0.27 (0.26)	-0.10 (0.51)	0.64 (0.12)***	-0.06 (0.19)	0.35 (0.33)	-0.52 (0.63)	0.19 (0.15)	0.10 (0.19)	0.23 (0.29)	-0.15 (0.57)	0.33 (0.14)*
Australia	0.11 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.15)	0.03 (0.16)	-0.03 (0.08)	0.08 (0.09)	-0.13 (0.15)	-0.06 (0.16)	-0.07 (0.08)	-0.28 (0.08)***	0.28 (0.13)*	0.38 (0.15)*	-0.17 (0.07)*
Belgium	-0.09 (0.07)	0.08 (0.11)	0.23 (0.11)*	-0.25 (0.06)***	-0.15 (0.07)*	0.14 (0.12)	0.21 (0.12)	-0.25 (0.07)***	-0.47 (0.06)***	0.50 (0.09)***	0.20 (0.09)*	-0.30 (0.05)***
Bosnia and. Herz.	-0.24 (0.12)*	-0.76 (0.26)**	-0.16 (0.25)	0.18 (0.12)	-0.33 (0.12)**	-0.66 (0.27)*	-0.03 (0.26)	0.21 (0.12)	-0.45 (0.12)***	0.72 (0.31)*	0.31 (0.26)	-0.12 (0.12)
Brazil	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.16 (0.15)	0.11 (0.15)	-0.37 (0.06)***	0.01 (0.08)	-0.05 (0.15)	0.25 (0.15)	-0.30 (0.06)***	-0.21 (0.07)**	0.41 (0.13)**	0.21 (0.13)	-0.10 (0.05)
Canada	-0.03 (0.09)	0.19 (0.12)	-0.01 (0.06)	-0.04 (0.09)	-0.08 (0.09)	0.12 (0.13)	0.28 (0.06)***	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.16 (0.08)	0.29 (0.11)*	0.23 (0.05)***	-0.06 (0.08)
Chile	0.18 (0.22)	0.47 (0.84)	-0.28 (0.42)	0.06 (0.23)	0.13 (0.2)	-0.79 (0.78)	-0.45 (0.39)	-0.21 (0.21)	-0.41 (0.19)*	-0.71 (0.74)	-0.40 (0.37)	-0.02 (0.21)
China	-0.14 (0.07)	0.17 (0.14)	-0.47 (0.25)	0.1 (0.07)	0.03 (0.09)	0.42 (0.18)*	-0.57 (0.3)	0.04 (0.08)	-0.17 (0.06)**	0.05 (0.12)	0.02 (0.21)	0.17 (0.06)**
Colombia	0.04 (0.11)	0.34 (0.34)	-0.27 (0.28)	-0.20 (0.09)*	-0.09 (0.11)	0.39 (0.35)	-0.37 (0.3)	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.29 (0.1)**	0.24 (0.32)	-0.52 (0.26)*	-0.09 (0.08)
Croatia	-0.09 (0.12)	-0.31 (0.29)	-0.19 (0.26)	-0.18 (0.11)	-0.01 (0.16)	0.25 (0.39)	0.36 (0.35)	-0.14 (0.14)	-0.24 (0.12)*	-0.59 (0.3)	-0.05 (0.27)	-0.06 (0.11)

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Czechia	-0.04 (0.15)	0.04 (0.24)	0.51 (0.25)*	-0.17 (0.13)	0.11 (0.17)	-0.34 (0.28)	-0.02 (0.29)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.53 (0.14)***	-0.21 (0.24)	-0.54 (0.24)*	-0.22 (0.13)
Denmark	0.15 (0.17)	-1.24 (0.48)*	-1.25 (0.45)**	0.24 (0.16)	-0.31 (0.2)	-0.52 (0.56)	-0.45 (0.53)	0.00 (0.19)	-0.27 (0.18)	-0.38 (0.51)	-0.31 (0.48)	-0.02 (0.17)
England	0.08 (0.09)	0.01 (0.17)	0.11 (0.18)	0.06 (0.09)	0.04 (0.1)	-0.04 (0.18)	-0.03 (0.2)	0.07 (0.09)	-0.3 (0.08)***	0.11 (0.15)	-0.16 (0.16)	-0.04 (0.08)
Finland	0.24 (0.1)*	-0.24 (0.31)	-0.13 (0.29)	0.13 (0.09)	0.03 (0.14)	-1.17 (0.43)**	-1.12 (0.4)**	0.03 (0.12)	-0.12 (0.14)	0.00 (0.42)	0.15 (0.40)	0.09 (0.12)
France	0.08 (0.14)	-0.06 (0.22)	-0.04 (0.25)	0.06 (0.14)	-0.4 (0.14)**	0.34 (0.22)	0.12 (0.25)	-0.19 (0.14)	-0.45 (0.12)***	0.26 (0.19)	-0.13 (0.21)	-0.21 (0.12)
Georgia	-0.01 (0.2)	-0.56 (0.58)	-0.15 (0.32)	0.08 (0.17)	-0.24 (0.22)	0.56 (0.65)	-0.08 (0.36)	-0.17 (0.19)	-0.19 (0.19)	0.25 (0.57)	-0.15 (0.31)	0.13 (0.17)
Germany	0.05 (0.07)	-0.12 (0.17)	0.11 (0.18)	0.01 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.08)	-0.21 (0.21)	-0.33 (0.22)	-0.21 (0.08)**	-0.35 (0.07)***	0.52 (0.19)**	0.17 (0.19)	-0.11 (0.07)
Ghana	-0.1 (0.08)	0.05 (0.19)	-0.2 (0.18)	0.06 (0.07)	-0.23 (0.1)*	0.31 (0.24)	0.14 (0.23)	-0.06 (0.09)	-0.09 (0.11)	-0.09 (0.26)	0.27 (0.25)	-0.08 (0.1)
Greece	-0.27 (0.17)	0.43 (0.51)	0.21 (0.35)	-0.35 (0.16)*	-0.01 (0.18)	0.39 (0.54)	0.40 (0.37)	-0.28 (0.17)	-0.54 (0.14)***	0.16 (0.44)	0.23 (0.30)	-0.05 (0.14)
Hungary	-0.22 (0.10)*	0.17 (0.32)	0.24 (0.10)*	0.24 (0.37)	-0.03 (0.13)	-0.53 (0.43)	0.14 (0.14)	0.76 (0.50)	-0.28 (0.11)**	0.28 (0.34)	0.14 (0.11)	-0.02 (0.41)
India	0.11 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.22)	0.47 (0.24)*	-0.04 (0.12)	0.09 (0.12)	-0.26 (0.23)	-0.29 (0.26)	0.16 (0.13)	-0.09 (0.08)	0.1 (0.16)	-0.03 (0.18)	0.02 (0.09)
Indonesia	0.21 (0.13)	0.10 (0.19)	0.37 (0.93)	0.17 (0.12)	0.18 (0.14)	0.23 (0.19)	0.00 (0.95)	0.14 (0.12)	-0.27 (0.10)**	0.28 (0.14)*	-0.37 (0.68)	0.01 (0.08)

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Iran	-0.3 (0.13)*	0.5 (0.27)	-0.08 (0.27)	-0.02 (0.12)	-0.07 (0.18)	0.67 (0.36)	-0.30 (0.36)	-0.16 (0.16)	-0.30 (0.16)	-0.38 (0.32)	0.02 (0.36)	-0.01 (0.14)
Ireland	-0.03 (0.1)	0.21 (0.18)	0.29 (0.18)	-0.04 (0.1)	-0.04 (0.11)	0.10 (0.19)	-0.07 (0.2)	-0.08 (0.11)	-0.25 (0.09)**	0.24 (0.16)	-0.07 (0.17)	-0.20 (0.09)*
Italy	0.16 (0.06)**	-0.19 (0.10)	0.07 (0.09)	-0.22 (0.05)***	-0.06 (0.06)	0.00 (0.11)	0.01 (0.10)	-0.27 (0.05)***	-0.41 (0.05)***	0.27 (0.09)**	0.10 (0.08)	-0.29 (0.04)***
Japan	-0.21 (0.16)	-0.49 (1.13)	0.54 (0.53)	-0.07 (0.18)	-0.22 (0.18)	0.51 (1.28)	-1.29 (0.59)*	0.34 (0.20)	-0.47 (0.13)***	0.62 (0.92)	0.50 (0.43)	-0.29 (0.14)*
Kazakhstan	0.16 (0.12)	-0.55 (0.25)*	-0.42 (0.25)	0.30 (0.10)**	0.14 (0.13)	-0.17 (0.25)	-0.57 (0.27)*	0.36 (0.11)**	-0.48 (0.12)***	0.09 (0.23)	0.14 (0.25)	-0.01 (0.10)
Kosovo	0.22 (0.12)	-0.09 (0.37)	-0.32 (0.27)	0.06 (0.11)	-0.10 (0.12)	0.42 (0.37)	0.08 (0.27)	-0.03 (0.11)	-0.34 (0.09)***	-0.10 (0.29)	-0.17 (0.21)	0.08 (0.09)
Lebanon	0.03 (0.22)	-0.41 (0.49)	-0.17 (0.52)	-0.16 (0.2)	0.35 (0.25)	-0.75 (0.55)	-0.60 (0.59)	0.05 (0.23)	-0.11 (0.18)	-0.32 (0.4)	-1.05 (0.42)*	0.10 (0.16)
Lithuania	-0.13 (0.13)	-0.11 (0.48)	0.25 (0.41)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.16)	0.55 (0.58)	0.25 (0.49)	0.00 (0.14)	-0.26 (0.14)	0.06 (0.49)	0.11 (0.42)	0.18 (0.12)
Luxembourg	0.22 (0.13)	0.20 (0.36)	-0.13 (0.61)	0.00 (0.14)	-0.05 (0.16)	0.2 (0.45)	-1.43 (0.78)	0.1 (0.17)	-0.16 (0.13)	0.13 (0.36)	-0.66 (0.61)	-0.06 (0.14)
Malta	0.17 (0.17)	-1.11 (0.43)*	-0.63 (0.48)	-0.07 (0.15)	-0.23 (0.16)	-0.17 (0.42)	-0.04 (0.47)	-0.39 (0.15)**	-0.38 (0.15)*	0 (0.37)	0.23 (0.41)	-0.19 (0.13)
Mexico	0.28 (0.18)	-0.13 (0.33)	0.28 (0.26)	-0.08 (0.12)	0.15 (0.19)	0.38 (0.35)	0.21 (0.27)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.15 (0.15)	-0.15 (0.28)	-0.32 (0.22)	0.11 (0.10)
Morocco	0.01 (0.15)	0.3 (0.28)	0.79 (0.16)***	-0.13 (0.13)	-0.08 (0.17)	-0.16 (0.31)	0.66 (0.17)***	0.16 (0.14)	-0.16 (0.15)	0.36 (0.28)	0.39 (0.16)*	0.12 (0.13)

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Nepal	0.17 (0.18)	-0.34 (0.42)	0.48 (0.33)	0.04 (0.17)	0.03 (0.19)	0.02 (0.45)	0.26 (0.35)	0.12 (0.18)	-0.52 (0.13)***	0.17 (0.32)	0.27 (0.25)	0.09 (0.13)
Netherlands	0.05 (0.09)	-0.29 (0.21)	-0.42 (0.19)*	0.21 (0.09)*	0.08 (0.11)	-0.58 (0.25)*	-0.41 (0.23)	-0.03 (0.1)	-0.22 (0.08)**	0.22 (0.18)	-0.09 (0.17)	0.06 (0.07)
New Zealand	-0.07 (0.13)	-0.12 (1.47)	-0.02 (0.51)	-0.32 (0.13)*	0.11 (0.15)	0.36 (1.68)	-0.76 (0.58)	0.07 (0.15)	-0.15 (0.13)	1.64 (1.5)	-0.88 (0.52)	-0.24 (0.14)
Nigeria	-0.14 (0.05)**	0.09 (0.09)	0.04 (0.10)	0.16 (0.05)**	-0.09 (0.08)	0.10 (0.14)	-0.03 (0.16)	0.15 (0.08)*	-0.33 (0.09)***	0.25 (0.16)	-0.10 (0.18)	-0.04 (0.09)
Northern Ireland	-0.06 (0.14)	0.17 (0.27)	-0.07 (0.33)	0.04 (0.13)	0.13 (0.14)	0.15 (0.28)	-0.04 (0.34)	0.17 (0.13)	-0.35 (0.13)**	0.44 (0.24)	-0.01 (0.29)	0.09 (0.11)
Norway	-0.15 (0.11)	0.63 (0.25)*	0.27 (0.33)	-0.03 (0.12)	0.01 (0.2)	0.22 (0.43)	0.88 (0.58)	0.38 (0.22)	-0.20 (0.16)	0.23 (0.35)	0.94 (0.46)*	-0.04 (0.17)
Pakistan	0.07 (0.10)	-0.06 (0.17)	-0.38 (0.23)	0.32 (0.10)***	0.10 (0.10)	-0.1 (0.17)	-0.39 (0.24)	0.08 (0.1)	-0.12 (0.1)	-0.08 (0.17)	-0.11 (0.23)	0.04 (0.09)
Philippines	0.18 (0.10)	-0.38 (0.18)*	-0.03 (0.21)	-0.22 (0.10)*	0.04 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.21)	0.36 (0.24)	-0.13 (0.11)	-0.29 (0.10)**	0.09 (0.17)	-0.14 (0.19)	-0.09 (0.09)
Poland	0.01 (0.08)	-0.8 (0.30)**	-0.51 (0.31)	0.03 (0.08)	-0.06 (0.10)	-0.03 (0.35)	-0.73 (0.37)*	0.02 (0.09)	-0.71 (0.08)***	0.14 (0.28)	-0.09 (0.3)	-0.15 (0.08)*
Portugal	-0.02 (0.21)	0.39 (0.34)	0.00 (0.43)	0.09 (0.19)	-0.44 (0.24)	0.70 (0.38)	0.63 (0.48)	-0.39 (0.21)	-0.60 (0.17)***	0.71 (0.27)**	0.25 (0.34)	-0.17 (0.15)
Romania	0.02 (0.15)	0.15 (0.33)	-0.49 (0.36)	0.14 (0.16)	0.09 (0.17)	0.02 (0.35)	-0.15 (0.39)	0.02 (0.17)	-0.07 (0.13)	0.22 (0.27)	-0.07 (0.29)	0.27 (0.13)*
Russia	-0.17 (0.1)	0.06 (0.23)	-0.31 (0.23)	0.31 (0.09)***	-0.07 (0.11)	0.32 (0.26)	-0.15 (0.26)	0.2 (0.1)*	-0.54 (0.09)***	0.28 (0.21)	0.27 (0.21)	-0.16 (0.08)

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Serbia	-0.05 (0.05)	-0.14 (0.11)	-0.06 (0.11)	-0.14 (0.05)**	-0.05 (0.1)	-0.27 (0.22)	-0.51 (0.22)*	-0.24 (0.11)*	-0.23 (0.08)**	0.25 (0.18)	-0.35 (0.18)	-0.20 (0.09)*
Slovak Republic	-0.17 (0.11)	0 (0.23)	-0.06 (0.22)	-0.03 (0.11)	-0.35 (0.12)**	0.09 (0.26)	0.31 (0.24)	-0.21 (0.12)	-0.44 (0.09)***	0.36 (0.18)	-0.27 (0.17)	0.00 (0.09)
South Africa	0.11 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.18)	-0.08 (0.19)	0.04 (0.1)	0.02 (0.14)	-0.08 (0.22)	-0.21 (0.23)	0.06 (0.12)	-0.26 (0.11)*	0.04 (0.18)	-0.08 (0.19)	0.01 (0.1)
Spain	0.05 (0.08)	-0.01 (0.13)	0.26 (0.11)*	-0.21 (0.06)***	0.04 (0.07)	-0.02 (0.12)	0.15 (0.11)	-0.21 (0.06)***	-0.25 (0.08)**	0.39 (0.13)**	0.17 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.06)
Suriname	0.13 (0.16)	-0.56 (0.30)	-0.30 (0.25)	-0.09 (0.15)	0.29 (0.19)	-0.44 (0.36)	0.09 (0.29)	0.06 (0.18)	0.12 (0.15)	-0.15 (0.28)	-0.25 (0.23)	0.06 (0.14)
Sweden	0.35 (0.08)***	-0.31 (0.15)*	-0.28 (0.17)	0.38 (0.08)***	-0.04 (0.11)	-0.03 (0.21)	-0.03 (0.23)	0.18 (0.11)	-0.15 (0.09)	0.15 (0.17)	-0.11 (0.19)	0.09 (0.09)
Switzerland	0.33 (0.11)**	0.27 (0.18)	0.31 (0.21)	0.13 (0.12)	0.08 (0.12)	0.01 (0.19)	0.42 (0.23)	-0.14 (0.13)	-0.49 (0.10)***	0.42 (0.16)**	0.36 (0.18)*	-0.18 (0.11)
Turkey	0.05 (0.07)	0.02 (0.17)	-0.07 (0.16)	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.12 (0.07)	0.26 (0.18)	-0.14 (0.17)	-0.14 (0.07)*	-0.38 (0.06)***	0.34 (0.15)*	-0.34 (0.15)*	-0.06 (0.06)
UEA	0.12 (0.11)	0.1 (0.23)	0.37 (0.22)	-0.05 (0.1)	0.15 (0.12)	-0.26 (0.25)	-0.2 (0.24)	-0.11 (0.11)	-0.2 (0.09)*	0.3 (0.19)	-0.45 (0.18)*	0.19 (0.08)*
USA	-0.04 (0.08)	0.14 (0.13)	-0.07 (0.13)	-0.13 (0.08)	-0.06 (0.09)	0.24 (0.14)	0.43 (0.14)**	-0.21 (0.08)*	-0.41 (0.07)***	0.53 (0.11)***	0.05 (0.11)	-0.13 (0.07)
Ukraine	-0.10 (0.15)	-0.19 (0.38)	-0.59 (0.3)	0.20 (0.14)	-0.18 (0.18)	-0.24 (0.45)	-0.47 (0.36)	-0.03 (0.17)	-0.45 (0.15)**	0.25 (0.36)	-0.16 (0.29)	0.14 (0.14)
Uruguay	-0.09 (0.22)	-0.32 (1.29)	0.05 (0.88)	-0.20 (0.16)	-0.30 (0.23)	-0.08 (1.32)	-0.78 (0.91)	-0.12 (0.16)	-0.64 (0.19)***	0.61 (1.11)	0.57 (0.76)	-0.11 (0.14)

Table S10*By Country Spline Models for Benevolent Sexism Items*

	Paternalism				Heterosexual Intimacy				Gender Differentiation			
	Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic		Communal		Agentic	
	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Vietnam	-0.05 (0.13)	-0.25 (0.29)	-0.38 (0.3)	0.19 (0.12)	0.20 (0.16)	-0.61 (0.36)	-0.79 (0.38)*	0.23 (0.15)	-0.41 (0.12)***	0.44 (0.26)	-0.13 (0.27)	0.23 (0.11)*
Wales	0.08 (0.16)	0.23 (0.65)	-0.63 (0.36)	0.09 (0.15)	0.10 (0.14)	-1.44 (0.59)*	-1.11 (0.33)***	0.19 (0.13)	0.07 (0.14)	-1.33 (0.58)*	-0.84 (0.32)**	0.23 (0.13)

Note. S1 and S2 show the splines 1 and 2 unstandardized effects, respectively. Standard errors in parentheses.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.


Section S11: By Individual Traits Models in Study 2

Similar to Study 1, we ran mixed models predicting each outcome from each communal trait as a predictor. In contrast to Study 1, here the individual traits are rated on a continuous scale ranging from more frequently associated with women than men (1) to more frequently associated with men than women (7) and outcomes are regressed on linear and quadratic communal trait adjusting for the composite of agency traits (both linear and quadratic), gender, social status, and age, specifying a random intercept for countries. Margin plots for these models are shown in Fig. S9. For each of the communal traits, hostile sexism increases positively with trait rating (i.e., ascribing the trait to men). While benevolent sexism shows a U-shaped pattern, with the lowest levels of benevolent sexism at the midpoint and levels increasing moving to either end (i.e., ascribing the trait to either men or women). Denial of sexism shows an inverted U-shape pattern such that levels of denial increase as responses move from associating communal traits with women to the midpoint, and then decrease as responses move away from the midpoint toward the upper end (i.e., ascribing the trait to men).


Figure S9


Hostile Sexism, Benevolent Sexism, and Denial of Sexism as a Function of Communal Traits

Compassionate






Helpful










Sympathetic




Understanding






Sensitive







Soft hearted




Aware of others feelings






Cooperative








Devoted to others






Trusting






Warm



Supportive



Note. Error bands indicate 95% confidence intervals.