

A Joint-Preserving Strategy Using Autologous Osteochondral En Bloc Transplantation and Distal Femoral Osteotomy for Extensive Osteonecrosis of the Lateral Femoral Condyle: A Case Report

Hiroki Yoshida

Nippon Medical School

Yasushi Oshima

y-oshima@nms.ac.jp

Nippon Medical School

Norishige Iizawa

Nippon Medical School

Tokifumi Majima

Nippon Medical School

Makoto Hirao

Nippon Medical School

Case Report

Keywords: Osteonecrosis of the knee, osteochondral transplantation, autograft, distal femoral osteotomy, joint preservation, case report

Posted Date: August 4th, 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-10064606/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations:

No competing interests reported.

Figures are available in the Supplementary Files section.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders on October 9th, 2026. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-026-10570-0>.

1 **Title**

2 A Joint-Preserving Strategy Using Autologous Osteochondral En Bloc Transplantation and Distal Femoral
3 Osteotomy for Extensive Osteonecrosis of the Lateral Femoral Condyle: A Case Report

4

5 **Authors**

6 Hiroki Yoshida, M.D. ¹

7 Yasushi Oshima, M.D., Ph. D. ¹

8 Norishige Iizawa, M.D., Ph. D.¹

9 Tokifumi Majima, M.D., Ph. D.¹

10 Makoto Hirao, M.D., Ph. D. ¹

11

12 **Affiliations**

13 ¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nippon Medical School 1-1-5, Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

14 Corresponding Author Yasushi Oshima Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nippon Medical School 1-1-5,

15 Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan Phone number: +81-3-3822-2131 Correspondence email: [y-

16 oshima@nms.ac.jp]

17

18 **Authors' contributions**

19 HY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Investigation

20 YO: Methodology, Data curation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Investigation

21 NI: Investigation, Supervision

22 TM: Supervision

23 MH: Supervision, Writing - review & editing

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Background: Osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle is a rare condition, and the optimal surgical
27 management for extensive, deep lesions in young or active patients remains a matter of debate. Both
28 unicompartmental knee arthroplasty and total knee arthroplasty raise concerns regarding implant longevity in
29 this population, making joint-preserving surgical strategies desirable.

30 Case presentation: A 52-year-old man with a history of 10-year corticosteroid use was presented with pain on
31 the lateral side of his left knee. Massive osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle (approximately $25 \times 30 \times$
32 15 mm), with concomitant mild valgus malalignment (hip-knee-ankle angle 4°) and a horizontal tear of the
33 lateral meniscus was detected with the radiographical and computed tomography examinations. The patient
34 underwent a combination of autologous osteochondral en bloc transplantation (OCET) of a mass graft which
35 was harvested from the medial trochlea (approximately $20 \times 25 \times 18$ mm) and fixed with four double-
36 threaded screws, arthroscopic lateral meniscus repair, and medial closing-wedge distal femoral osteotomy
37 (DFO) with a correction angle of 5° . At two years postoperatively, we confirmed solid union at both the

38 osteotomy and graft sites with no loss of alignment correction (femorotibial angle 176° , hip-knee-ankle angle
39 -2°), and observed marked improvement in his knee pain, the visual analogue scale score, and all subscales of
40 the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score.

41 Conclusions: The combination of OCET and DFO were shown to be an effective and reliable joint-preserving
42 treatment strategy for extensive and deep osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle with concomitant valgus
43 malalignment in young, active patients, while the long-term follow-up is necessary to evaluate durability.

44

45 **Key words**

46 Osteonecrosis of the knee, osteochondral transplantation, autograft, distal femoral osteotomy, joint
47 preservation, case report

48

49 **Introduction**

50 Osteonecrosis of the knee was first described by Ahlbäck et al. in 1968 as a condition characterized by
51 sudden-onset knee pain [1]. It predominantly affects adults over 50 years of age, presenting with severe
52 nocturnal pain and pain on weight-bearing. The medial femoral condyle is most commonly occurred with
53 more than 80% of cases, followed by the lateral femoral condyle, and the proximal tibia [2, 3]. It is rare, but
54 occurs in bilateral knees [2, 3]. Osteonecrosis of the knee is classified as primary (idiopathic) or secondary.
55 Primary osteonecrosis is thought to arise from microvascular injury associated with osteoporosis or
56 subchondral insufficiency fractures, as well as from meniscal injury or instability that causes uneven load
57 distribution and increased mechanical stress on the subchondral bone [2-6]. Secondary osteonecrosis, in
58 contrast, is associated with systemic conditions such as corticosteroid use, alcohol abuse, and
59 hemoglobinopathies; it may present bilateral knees and can extend into the metaphysis [1, 5, 7].
60 Radiographic staging of osteonecrosis of the knee has been proposed by Koshino et al. [8, 9], and is widely
61 used to guide treatment decisions. Conservative treatment is considered effective when the necrotic lesion
62 involves less than 40% of the condylar width; however, surgical intervention is recommended when necrosis

63 exceeds 40% or when it progressed to the secondary osteoarthritis, with periarticular osteotomy,
64 unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA), and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) [5, 8-10].

65 Lateral femoral condyle osteonecrosis is substantially less common than medial condyle involvement, and
66 reports of treatment strategies for lateral condyle disease are limited, with no clear guidelines established.

67 Furthermore, in young or highly active patients, both UKA and TKA raise concerns regarding implant
68 longevity and the potential need for future revision surgery will be considered [11-15].

69 Recently, periarticular osteotomy has been performed for lateral femoral condyle osteonecrosis and lateral-
70 compartment osteoarthritis in younger patients, with favorable outcomes [16-22]. In addition, core
71 decompression and osteochondral plug transplantation (mosaicplasty) have been reported as effective
72 treatments targeting focal necrosis; however, extensive necrosis can be difficult to address with these
73 techniques alone [23-25]. For focal cartilage defects, microfracture is generally indicated for lesions smaller
74 than 2 cm², while mosaicplasty is considered effective for lesions of 2–4 cm²; however, both techniques have
75 limitations for larger defects [3]. In our experience, the combination of osteochondral en bloc transplantation

(OCET) and opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy has yielded favorable outcomes for extensive osteonecrosis of the medial femoral condyle with varus malalignment.

Here we report a case of extensive and massive osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle successfully treated with a combination of OCET and distal femoral osteotomy (DFO), with satisfactory short-term outcomes.

Case Presentation

A 52-year-old man who had been diagnosed with Crohn's disease at the age of 20 and received corticosteroid therapy for approximately 10 years. Although the disease was in remission without any symptoms, however, he suffered from left knee pain at the age of 50 and was referred to our institution.

On physical examination, the left knee was swollen with tenderness on the lateral joint line level, and a patellar ballotement sign was observed. The knee range of motion (ROM) was 0° in extension and 140° in flexion in both sides. The McMurray test was positive on the lateral side of the left knee. The visual analogue

89 scale (VAS) score was 80/100 mm, and the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) was 60.7
90 in symptoms, 77.8 in pain, 92.6 in activities of daily living, 60.0 in sport/recreation, and 50.0 in quality of life.

91 Plain radiographs revealed osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle classified as Koshino stage 2. The
92 lateral femorotibial angle (FTA) and the hip-knee-ankle (HKA) angle were 174° and 0° on the right, and
93 169° and 4° on the left, respectively [Fig. 1]. Computed tomography (CT) imaging demonstrated an
94 osteonecrotic lesion measuring approximately 25 × 30 in size and 15 mm in depth at the lateral femoral
95 condyle [Fig. 2]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed low intensity area on T1-weighted imaging
96 and a high intensity area on T2-weighted imaging at the same site, along with a horizontal tear of the lateral
97 meniscus involving the middle and posterior thirds of the meniscus. No significant abnormalities were
98 identified in the medial compartment [Fig. 3]. Based on these findings, the patient was diagnosed with
99 osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle, lateral meniscus tear, and valgus knee deformity. As the
100 conservative treatment including medications and physical therapy over three months was not effective,
101 surgical treatment was performed.

102 Arthroscopic inspection of the both medial and lateral compartment revealed early degenerative changes with
103 mild fissuring [Fig. 4a, b]. Arthroscopic surgery of the lateral meniscus was then performed using an all-inside
104 suture technique with two stitches.

105 Following the arthroscopic procedures, a medial parapatellar arthrotomy was performed, and a medial closing-
106 wedge DFO was performed with a correction angle of 5°, fixed using a TomoFix medial distal femur plate
107 (DePuy Synthes, USA).

108 Autologous osteochondral reconstruction was subsequently performed. Intraoperative inspection revealed a
109 cartilage defect measuring approximately 20 × 25 mm on the articular surface of the lateral femoral condyle
110 [Fig. 4c]. An en bloc osteochondral autograft measuring approximately 20 × 25 × 18 mm was harvested from
111 on the edge of the medial trochlea [Fig. 4d], and after thorough debridement of the necrotic tissue, the graft
112 was transplanted into the defect and secured with four double-threaded screws [Fig. 4e]. The donor site was
113 filled with the cancellous bone obtained from the resection of DFO and fixed with two cancellous screws.

114 Postoperatively, the knee ROM was restricted and partial weight-bearing were allowed for eight weeks, with
115 full ROM and weight-bearing permitted at nine weeks postoperatively. Plain radiographs at three months
116 demonstrated no screw loosening, hinge fracture, or correction loss with FTA of 176° and HKA angle of -2°
117 [Fig. 5]. At two years postoperatively, full knee ROM was maintained. Plain radiographs and CT imaging
118 confirmed solid union at both the osteotomy site and the graft site, indicating that the corrected lower
119 extremity alignment was maintained [Fig. 6]. No progression of osteoarthritis on both medial and lateral
120 tibiofemoral compartments, as well as the patellofemoral compartment, screw loosening at the graft site, or
121 complications at the donor site was observed [Fig. 7]. The VAS score improved to be 0/100 mm, and all of
122 KOOS items improved to be 89.3 in symptoms, 100 in pain, 100 in activity of daily living, 100 in
123 sports/recreation, and 100 in quality of life.

124

125 **Discussion**

126 OCET and DFO were performed to the middle age patient with massive osteonecrosis of lateral femoral
127 condyle, and the short-term outcomes were sufficient.

128 Corticosteroid use is a well-recognized cause of secondary osteonecrosis of the knee. In the present case, the
129 contralateral knee showed neutral to slight varus alignment, whereas only the affected knee demonstrated
130 valgus deformity. This discrepancy suggests that corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis or cartilage damage
131 may have initially occurred in the lateral femoral condyle, leading to progressive valgus malalignment and
132 subsequent lateral meniscus injury, which in turn further increased mechanical stress on the lateral
133 compartment and accelerated the progression of osteonecrosis. However, as the knee joints were not evaluated
134 prior to the onset of symptoms, the precise chronological sequence of these pathological changes could not be
135 determined.

136 Osteochondral transplantation is a joint-preserving procedure that enables articular surface reconstruction with
137 hyaline cartilage. Unlike TKA or UKA, which permanently limit high-impact activities, osteochondral
138 transplantation does not require long-term activity restrictions once graft incorporation is achieved, making it

139 particularly advantageous for young and physically active patients [24]. However, for extensive or deep
140 osteonecrotic lesions, conventional osteochondral plug transplantation (i.e., mosaicplasty) using multiple
141 small cylindrical grafts may be insufficient, as it inevitably leaves interfragmentary gaps filled with
142 fibrocartilage. The OCET technique employed in this case utilizes a single, large osteochondral block,
143 allowing complete coverage of a wide defect with a continuous hyaline cartilage surface and rigid screw
144 fixation for immediate mechanical stability [26]. Furthermore, although DFO effectively reduces mechanical
145 load on the lateral compartment, osteotomy alone does not address the damaged articular surface itself. In
146 cases of extensive osteonecrosis, residual stress on the necrotic lesion may persist even after alignment
147 correction, potentially leading to recurrent pain or recurrence of valgus deformity. OCET was therefore
148 performed concomitantly to reconstruct the articular surface and minimize the risk of disease progression.
149 Osteochondral allografting has demonstrated a survivorship of 89% at a mean follow-up of 5.6 years in
150 femoral condyle osteonecrosis [26], and we believe that autologous en bloc transplantation offers comparable
151 biological integration with the additional advantage of no immunological concerns.

152 The present patient also exhibited mild valgus malalignment; therefore, DFO was performed concomitantly to
153 reduce mechanical load on the lateral compartment and protect the transplanted graft. DFO is a joint-
154 preserving procedure that effectively unloads the lateral compartment in valgus knees, with favorable
155 outcomes reported in young, active patients [19, 27, 28]. While postoperative limb alignment within $\pm 3^\circ$ of
156 the mechanical axis is generally associated with good clinical outcomes, reoperation rates of approximately
157 10–40%, including conversion to TKA, have been reported at ten-year follow-up [15, 27, 29]. A recent
158 systematic review also emphasized that TKA conversion after DFO is technically more demanding than
159 primary TKA, primarily due to altered bone anatomy and ligamentous imbalance [29]. Considering the
160 patient's age and activity level, we avoided overcorrection to prevent excessive loading of the medial
161 compartment, while ensuring sufficient unloading of the lateral compartment to protect the transplanted graft.
162 The postoperative alignment of -2° in HKA angle also preserved physiological bone morphology, which
163 would facilitate soft-tissue balancing should future arthroplasty become necessary.

164 In this case, a combination of OCET, meniscal repair, and DFO was employed to address the complex
165 pathology of lateral meniscus tear, valgus malalignment, and extensive osteonecrosis, yielding favorable
166 short-term outcomes. Our goal was not only to delay arthroplasty but also to restore the articular surface and
167 achieve a full return to activity without the activity restrictions associated with arthroplasty. In fact, the patient
168 regained full sports and recreational function postoperatively (KOOS sport/recreation improving from 60 to
169 100). Although the alignment correction by DFO raises concern for potential progression of the pre-existing
170 medial compartment osteoarthritis, this trade-off was considered acceptable given the functional benefit
171 achieved. In addition, the possibility of future degenerative changes at the osteochondral donor site cannot be
172 excluded. Although harvesting a large graft from the medial trochlea raises concerns regarding donor-site
173 morbidity, our strategy of back-filling the defect with the autologous bone wedge derived from the DFO
174 procedure effectively preserved the patellofemoral joint structure. At the two-year follow-up, no radiographic
175 progression of osteoarthritis or clinical patellofemoral pain was observed, confirming the safety of this donor-
176 site management. Long-term follow-up with careful monitoring of alignment changes and the progression of
177 medial compartment osteoarthritis will be necessary.

178

179 **Conclusion**

180 We report a case of extensive, deep osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle with concomitant mild valgus
181 malalignment, treated successfully with a combination of OCET and DFO. Although the follow-up period
182 remains limited to two years, favorable clinical outcomes were achieved.

183

184 **List of abbreviations**

185 UKA: unicompartmental knee arthroplasty

186 TKA: total knee arthroplasty

187 OCET: osteochondral en bloc transplantation

188 DFO: distal femoral osteotomy

189 ROM: range of motion

190 VAS: visual analogue scale

191 KOOS: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score

192 FTA: femorotibial angle

193 HKA: hip-knee-ankle

194 CT: computed tomography

195 MRI: magnetic resonance imaging

196

197 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

198 Ethical approval was not required for this case report in accordance with institutional guidelines. Written

199 informed consent was obtained from the patient for participation in this study.

200

201 **Clinical trial number**

202 Not applicable.

203

204 **Consent for publication**

205 Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and the
206 accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this
207 journal.

208

209 **Availability of data and materials**

210 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

211

212 **Competing interests**

213 The authors declare that they have no competing interests related to this work.

214

215 **Funding**

216 This research did not receive any specific funding.

217

218 **Authors' contributions**

219 HY contributed to conceptualization, data curation, investigation, and writing the original draft. YO
220 contributed to methodology, data curation, investigation, supervision, and manuscript review and editing. NI
221 contributed to investigation and supervision. TM contributed to supervision. MH contributed to supervision
222 and manuscript review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

223

224 **Acknowledgements**

225 Not applicale.

226

227 **References**

228 [1] Sven Ahlbäck, Bauer H, Bohne WH. Spontaneous Osteonecrosis of the Knee. Arthritis and rheumatism.
229 1968 Dec 1;11(6):705–33.

230 <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.1780110602>

231

232 [2] Yamamoto T, Bullough PG. Spontaneous Osteonecrosis of the Knee: The Result of Subchondral
233 Insufficiency Fracture. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-American Volume. 2000 Jun;82(6):858–66.

234 <https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200006000-00013>

235

236 [3] Karim AR, Cherian JJ, Jauregui JJ, Pierce T, Mont Ma. Osteonecrosis of the knee: review. *Annals of*
237 *Translational Medicine*. 2015 Jan;3(1):6.

238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2014.11.13>.

239

240 [4] Mont MA, Rifai A, Baumgarten KM, Sheldon M, Hungerford DS. Total Knee Arthroplasty for
241 Osteonecrosis. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-American Volume*. 2002 Apr;84(4):599–603.

242 <https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200204000-00014>

243

244 [5] Younis Z, Hamid MA, Devasia T, Abdullah F. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty in Spontaneous
245 Osteonecrosis of the Knee: A Narrative Review of Indications, Techniques, and Outcomes. *Cureus*. 2025 Oct
246 5;

247 <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.93859>

248

- 249 [6] Oshima Y, Watanabe N, Kajikawa Y, Yotsumoto T, Watanabe Y, Majima T. A case of subchondral
250 insufficiency fracture of the knee potentially caused by mediolateral knee laxity without structural
251 abnormalities. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2025 Feb 25;26(1).
252 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-025-08452-y>
253
- 254 [7] Bruni D, Iacono F, Giovanni Francesco Raspugli, Stefano Zaffagnini, Marcacci M. Is Unicompartmental
255 Arthroplasty an Acceptable Option for Spontaneous Osteonecrosis of the Knee? Clinical Orthopaedics and
256 Related Research. 2012 May 1;470(5):1442–51.
257 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-012-2246-2>
258
- 259 [8] Koshino T, Okamoto R, Takamura K, Tsuchiya K. Arthroscopy in spontaneous osteonecrosis of the knee.
260 The Orthopedic Clinic of North America. 1979 Jul;10(3):609-18.
261

- [9] Koshino T. The treatment of spontaneous osteonecrosis of the knee by high tibial osteotomy with and without bone-grafting or drilling of the lesion. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American volume*. 1982 Jan;64(1):47-58.
- [10] Aglietti P, Insall J, Buzzi R, Deschamps G. Idiopathic osteonecrosis of the knee. Aetiology, prognosis and treatment. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery British volume*. 1983 Nov;65-B(5):588–97.
<https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.65B5.6643563>
- [11] Chalmers BP, Limberg AK, Athey AG, Perry KI, Pagnano MW, Abdel MP. Total knee arthroplasty after distal femoral osteotomy long-term survivorship and clinical outcomes. 2019 Jun 1;101-B(6):660–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.101B6.BJJ-2018-1334.R2>.
- [12] Tay ML, Young SW, Hooper GJ, Frampton CM. THE LIFETIME REVISION RISK OF UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY. *Orthopaedic Proceedings*. 2023 Apr 11;105-B(SUPP_8):114–4.

277

278 [13] Shah MD, Shah B, Desai SM, Jain A, Harshvardhan N, Shah MR. Lateral unicondylar knee arthroplasty
279 in osteonecrosis of lateral femoral condyle. International journal of health sciences. 2022 Jun 28;6662–70.
280 <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS4.9874>

281

282 [14] Baron G, Ruidíaz S, Torres R. Perfect indications and how to avoid complications in lateral
283 unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Journal of ISAKOS. 2024 Dec;9(6):100342.
284 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisako.2024.100342>

285

286 [15] Yazdi H, Torkaman A, Sahrai R, Raygani MJ, Makhmalbaf A reza, Fathi M. Distal Femoral Varus
287 Osteotomy in Valgus Knee With Lateral Compartment Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. JAAOS: Global
288 Research and Reviews. 2025 Oct;9(10).
289 <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-25-00121>

290

- 291 [16] Forkel P, Achtnich A, Metzlaß S, Thore Zantop, Petersen W. Midterm results following medial closed
292 wedge distal femoral osteotomy stabilized with a locking internal fixation device. *Knee Surgery Sports*
293 *Traumatology Arthroscopy*. 2014 Mar 27;23(7):2061–7.
294 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-014-2953-1>
295
296 [17] Yang T, Xue H, Ma T, Wen T, Xue L, Guan M, et al. Lateral Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty for a
297 secondary osteonecrosis of the lateral femoral condyle. A case report. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2020
298 Aug 31;21(1).
299 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03585-8>
300
301 [18] Nakamura R, Akiyama T, Takeuchi R, Nakayama H, Kondo E. Medial Closed Wedge Distal Femoral
302 Osteotomy Using a Novel Plate With an Optimal Compression System. *Arthroscopy Techniques*. 2021
303 Jun;10(6):e1497–504.
304 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eats.2021.02.016>
305

- 306 [19] Kaibara T, Kondo E, Matsuoka M, Iwasaki K, Onodera T, Momma D, et al. Medial closed-wedge distal
 307 femoral osteotomy with local bone grafts for large collapsed steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the lateral
 308 femoral condyle: A case report. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science*. 2023 Nov;28(6):1470–7.
 309 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jos.2021.06.016>
 310
- 311 [20] Haunschild ED, Gilat R, Aghogho E, Parvaresh KC, Wolfson T, Tauro T, et al. Functional outcomes and
 312 survivorship of distal femoral osteotomy with cartilage restoration of the knee. *Journal of Cartilage & Joint*
 313 *Preservation*. 2021 Mar;1(1):100004.
 314 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjp.2021.100004>
 315
- 316 [21] Piovan G, Farinelli L, Screpis D, Iacono V, Povegliano L, Bonomo M, et al. Distal femoral osteotomy
 317 versus lateral unicompartmental arthroplasty for isolated lateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis with intra-articular
 318 and extra-articular deformity: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Knee Surgery & Related Research*. 2022
 319 Jul 18;34(1).
 320 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43019-022-00164-0>

321

322 [22] Swindell HW, Kerzner B, Obioha OA, Fortier LM, Khan ZA, Dasari SP, et al. Osteochondral Allograft
 323 Transplantation of the Lateral Femoral Condyle and Distal Femoral Osteotomy in the Setting of Failed
 324 Osteochondritis Dissecans Fixation. *Arthroscopy Techniques*. 2022 Jul;11(7):e1301–10.
 325 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eats.2022.03.014>

326

327 [23] Fujita N, Matsumoto T, Kubo S, Matsushita T, Ishida K, Hoshino Y, et al. Autogenous osteochondral
 328 graft transplantation for steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral condyle: A report of three young
 329 patients. *Sports, Medicine, Arthroscopy, Rehabilitation, Therapy & Technology*. 2012 Apr 26;4(1):13.
 330 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2555-4-13>

331

332 [24] Ma J, Ren Y, Wang B, Yue D, Sun W, Wang W. Autologous Osteochondral Transplantation for Young
 333 Patients with Postcollapse Osteonecrosis of the Knee: A Retrospective Cohort Study with an Average 7-Year
 334 Follow-Up. *CARTILAGE*. 2021 Jun 17;13(1_suppl):1291S1297S.
 335 <https://doi.org/10.1177/19476035211023566>

336

337 [25] Kumagai K, Yamada S, Nejima S, Sotozawa M, Inaba Y. Minimum 5-Year Outcomes of Osteochondral
338 Autograft Transplantation with a Concomitant High Tibial Osteotomy for Spontaneous Osteonecrosis of the
339 Knee with a Large Lesion. *CARTILAGE*. 2022 Jul;13(3):194760352211263.

340 <https://doi.org/10.1177/19476035221126341>

341

342 [26] Bugbee W, Cavallo M, Giannini S. Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation in the Knee. *Journal of Knee*
343 *Surgery*. 2012 May 15;25(02):109–16.

344 <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1313743>

345

346 [27] Wylie JD, Jones DB, Hartley MK, Kapron AL, Krych AJ, Aoki SK, et al. Distal Femoral Osteotomy for
347 the Valgus Knee: Medial Closing Wedge Versus Lateral Opening Wedge: A Systematic Review. 2016 Oct
348 1;32(10):2141–7.

349 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2016.04.010>

350

351 [28] Shivji FS, Foster A, Risebury MJ, Wilson AJ, Yasen SK. Ten-year survival rate of 89% after distal
352 femoral osteotomy surgery for lateral compartment osteoarthritis of the knee. *Knee Surgery, Sports*
353 *Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 2020 Apr 13;
354 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-05988-5>

355

356 [29] Luceri F, Jacopo Tamini, Paolo Ferrua, Ricci D, Cécile Batailler, Lustig S, et al. Total knee arthroplasty
357 after distal femoral osteotomy: a systematic review and current concepts. 2020 Sep 1;6:35–5.
358 <https://doi.org/10.1051/sicotj/2020033>

359

360 **Figure legends**

361 Fig. 1: Preoperative plain radiographs. (a) Anteroposterior view showing a radiolucent lesion in the lateral
362 femoral condyle (Koshino stage 2). (b) Lateral view. (c) Weight-bearing long-leg radiograph showing a hip-
363 knee-ankle (HKA) angle of 4° (valgus).

364 Fig. 2: Preoperative computed tomography (CT). (a) Coronal view. (b) Sagittal view showing an extensive
365 osteonecrotic lesion (approx. $25 \times 30 \times 15$ mm) in the lateral femoral condyle.

366 Fig. 3: Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). (a) Coronal T2-weighted image. (b) Sagittal T2-
367 weighted image demonstrating the necrotic lesion and a horizontal tear of the lateral meniscus.

368 Fig. 4: Intraoperative photographs. (a) Arthroscopic view of the medial compartment fissuring. (b)
369 Arthroscopic view of the lateral compartment fissuring. (c) The osteonecrotic lesion on the lateral femoral
370 condyle. (d) The osteochondral block harvested from the trochlea. (e) Final appearance of the transplanted
371 graft secured with screws.

372 Fig. 5: Plain radiographs at three months postoperatively. (a) Anteroposterior view. (b) Lateral view. (c)
373 Weight-bearing long-leg radiograph showing maintained alignment (FTA 176° , HKA angle -2°) with no screw
374 loosening or hinge fracture.

375 Fig. 6: Plain radiographs at two years postoperatively. (a) Anteroposterior view. (b) Lateral view. (c) Weight-
376 bearing long-leg radiograph showing maintained alignment (FTA 176° , HKA angle -2°).

377 Fig. 7. CT images at two years postoperatively. (a) Coronal view. (b) Sagittal view confirming solid union of
378 the graft and osteotomy site with no joint space narrowing at the donor site.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Figures.pdf](#)