Table 1. Network comparison statistics for the Turkish and Syrian youth symptom networks

Variable	Turkish	Syrian	Test statistic	*p*
Network structure (M)	—	—	M = 0.299	< 0.001
Global strength (S)	6.00	6.40	S-diff = 0.40	0.014
Network density (%)	75.8	83.3	—	—
Non-zero edges (of 66)	50	55	—	—
Mean |edge weight|	0.091	0.097	—	—
Largest edge differences				
Loneliness — Earthquake	0.00	−0.30	|Δ| = 0.30	0.001
Intrusion — Hyperarousal	0.42	0.16	|Δ| = 0.26	0.001
Depression — Loneliness	0.34	0.15	|Δ| = 0.20	0.001
Intrusion — Avoidance	0.45	0.27	|Δ| = 0.18	0.001
Social phobia — Loneliness	0.01	0.18	|Δ| = 0.17	0.001
Bridge expected influence				
Loneliness	0.78	0.19	|Δ| = 0.59	< 0.001
Intrusion	−0.15	0.23	|Δ| = 0.38	< 0.001
Depression	0.67	0.99	|Δ| = 0.32	< 0.001
Social phobia	0.20	0.45	|Δ| = 0.25	< 0.001
Panic/Somatic	0.19	0.37	|Δ| = 0.18	0.001
Hyperarousal	0.33	0.48	|Δ| = 0.15	0.002
Earthquake exposure	−0.11	−0.03	|Δ| = 0.08	0.174

Note. Edge weights are regularized partial correlations from a Gaussian Graphical Model (EBIC-tuned graphical LASSO, $\gamma = 0.5$). Structure (M) and global-strength (S) tests used 1.000 permutations; centrality tests used 2.000. Edge-level significance reflects family-wise error correction.